

SCHOOL PSYCHOLOGY

*Preparation to Deliver School
Psychological Services*



Program Handbook

DEPARTMENT OF PSYCHOLOGY AND PHILOSOPHY
COLLEGE OF HUMANITIES AND SOCIAL SCIENCES
SAM HOUSTON STATE UNIVERSITY

A Member of The Texas State University System

TABLE OF CONTENTS

<i>INTRODUCTION</i>	5
Contact Information	6
Mission Statements	8
Program Philosophy	10
Program Goals	13
Program of Studies	17
Recommended Three-Year Sequence	19
Course Descriptions	20
Academic Policies	23
Licensure/Certification/Employment	26
Continuing Professional Development	27
Admission	28
Clinical Training	31
University Requirements	36
Faculty	41
<i>CONSULTATION SEQUENCE</i>	46
Overview of Courses	47
Behavioral Consultation Case PIR Evaluation	48
PSYC 5370 PIR Evaluation	49
<i>PRACTICA</i>	50
Overview of Courses	51
Counseling Case PIR Evaluation	52
<i>ASSESSMENT</i>	53
Overview of Courses	54
Assessment Case PIR Evaluation	56

<i>CANDIDATE & PROGRAM EVALUATION</i>	57
Professional Competencies	58
Academic Training & Research Activities	59
Professional Training	59
Supervision/Oversight/Evaluation	60
Remediation	61
Withdrawal of Professional Practice Privileges	62
Appeals to Privileges Withdrawal or Termination from Program	63
Methods of Candidate/Program Evaluation	64
University Grading System	65
Faculty/Supervisor Ratings of Performance	66
Leaves of Absence	66
<i>INTERNSHIP</i>	67
Comprehensive Exam	68
Applying for Internship	69
Internship Guidelines	72
<i>Minimum</i> Requirements	74
Internship Plan of Practice (IPOP)	76
Responsibilities	78
Portfolio Review	80
Portfolio Evaluation Documents	83
Credentialing Information	94
LSSP Applicant Considerations	96
Internship Affiliation Agreement: Candidate and Independent School District	97
Internship Affiliation Agreement: SHSU and Independent School District	102
<i>PROGRAM OF EVALUATION</i>	110

Performance-Based Assessment Plan	111
Annual Student Evaluations by the Program	112
Candidate Work Dispositions Appraisal	113
Annual Report of Student Progress	115
Program Evaluation by Candidates	116
<i>CANDIDATE RESOURCES</i>	118
<i>SHASP</i>	122
<i>APPENDICES</i>	127
Appendix A: Graduate Degree Enrollment and Completion	128
Appendix B: Academic Probation, Suspension, and Termination	131
Appendix C: Procedures in Cases of Academic Dishonesty	138
Appendix D: Academic Grievance Procedures for Students	143
Appendix E: Practicum Supervisor Evaluation	148
Appendix F: Internship Supervisor Evaluation	168

Purpose of This Handbook

The material in the “School Psychology Program Handbook” seeks to: (a) inform the student, hereafter referred to as ‘candidate,’ about Program requirements and (b) facilitate progress through the Program. This information reflects the general orientation of the graduate program and provides candidates with a detailed description of curriculum requirements.

During your tenure as a graduate candidate, you may see changes in the curriculum. When revisions occur, efforts will be made to restrict the new requirements to candidates entering the Program so that those already in the Program can continue to be guided by the rules in force at the time they were admitted to the Program. However, there may be some changes that will become effective immediately (e.g., due to changes made at the University level or state licensing level) that will apply to all candidates, regardless of year of admission. Please check with the SSP Program Director to ascertain whether any curricular changes apply to you.

If you are uncertain about requirements and/or expectations, or if you find a need for clarification beyond that offered in the Handbook, please do not hesitate to contact the SSP Program Director.

The information in this Handbook supplements that in two other publications of the University: The Graduate School Catalog and the University Student Guidelines. You should refer to the most recent editions of these publications for details not given here. Other documents that provide additional information are referred to as appropriate throughout this Handbook.

Program, Department, College, and University Contact Information

SSP Program Director

Ramona M. Noland, Ph.D. CHSS 385 (936) 294-4310 noland@shsu.edu

Specialist in School Psychology Program Faculty

Justin Allen, Ph.D. CHSS 323 (936) 294-1177 jpa019@shsu.edu

Courtney Banks, Ph.D. CHSS 324 (936) 294-2435 csb028@shsu.edu

James Crosby, Ph.D. CHSS 290F (936) 294-2200 jwc014@shsu.edu

Associated Faculty

Jeffery Anastasi, Ph.D. CHSS 375 (936) 294-3049 jsa001@shsu.edu

Jaime Anderson, Ph.D. CHSS 307 (936) 294-1180 jla068@shsu.edu

Marcus Boccaccini, Ph.D. CHSS 386 (936) 294-1179 boccaccini@shsu.edu

Daniella Cash, Ph.D. CHSS 311 (936) 294-3874 daniellakcash@gmail.com

Mary Alice Conroy, Ph.D. PSC (936) 294-3806 maconroy@shsu.edu

Donna Desforges, Ph.D. CHSS 380 (936) 294-1178 desforges@shsu.edu

Laura Drislane, Ph.D. CHSS 308 (936) 294-1507 led042@shsu.edu

Craig E. Henderson, Ph.D. CHSS 379 (936) 294-3601 ceh003@shsu.edu

Hillary Langley, Ph.D. CHSS 376 (936) 294-3260 hxl022@shsu.edu

Adam Natoli, PsyD. CHSS 318 (936) 294-1176 apn017@shsu.edu

David Nelson, Ph.D. CHSS 317 (936) 294-4709 nelsondv@shsu.edu

Chelsea Ratcliff, Ph.D. CHSS 310 (936) 294-4662 cgr024@shsu.edu

Shelley Riggs, Ph.D. CHSS 380 (936) 294-1178 sxr142@shsu.edu

Jared Ruchensky, Ph.D. CHSS 316 (936) 294-2434 jrr107@shsu.edu

Tiffany D. Russell, Ph.D. CHSS 309 (936) 294-3614 tdr042@shsu.edu

Temilola Salami, Ph.D.	CHSS 307	(936) 294-1180	txs047@shsu.edu
Jorge G. Varela, Ph.D.	CHSS 377	(936) 294-4161	varela@shsu.edu
Stephen W. White, Ph.D.	CHSS 306	(936) 294-1173	sww012@shsu.edu

Department of Psychology and Philosophy (CHSS 390)

Theresa Schlichthorn	Assistant to the Chair	(936) 294-3552	tls093@shsu.edu
Debra Mikulin	Administrative Associate	(936) 294-4933	djh021@shsu.edu
Yuma I. Tomes, Ph.D.	Department Chair	(936) 294-1174	yit002@shsu.edu

College of Humanities and Social Sciences (CHSS 290)

Front Desk		(936) 294-2200	chss@shsu.edu
James Crosby, Ph.D.	Associate Dean	(936) 294-2200	jwc014@shsu.edu
Carroll Nardone, Ph.D.	Associate Dean	(936) 294-2200	cfnardone@shsu.edu
Glenn Sanford, Ph.D.	Associate Dean	(936) 294-2200	sanford@shsu.edu
Abbey Zink, Ph.D.	Dean	(936) 294-2200	alz007@shsu.edu

Office of Graduate Studies

Front Desk		(936) 294-2408	gradstudies@shsu.edu
Ken Hendrickson, Ph.D.	Dean of Graduate Studies	(936) 294-1031	gradstudies@shsu.edu

Mission Statements

Sam Houston State University

University Mission

Sam Houston State University (SHSU) is an inclusive institution whose mission is to provide high quality education, scholarship, and service to candidates and to regional, state, national, and international constituencies.

University Goals

- Promote students' intellectual, social, ethical, and leadership growth.
- Pursue continuous improvement.
- Recruit and retain qualified, dedicated faculty and staff.
- Recruit, motivate, and retain qualified students.
- Provide the necessary library, technology, and other facilities to support quality instruction, research, and public service.
- Promote scholarly and research activities that contribute to knowledge and understanding.
- Promote and support diversity and provide equitable opportunities for underrepresented groups.
- Offer a wide range of pre-professional, baccalaureate, master's, and doctoral programs.
- Promote cooperation with educational institutions, government and non-profit agencies, and the private sector.

College of Humanities and Social Sciences

Mission Statement

The College of Humanities and Social Sciences (CHSS) provides an essential component to a liberal arts education: understanding human beings in their diversity as expressed in their literatures, histories, ideas, values, oral and written expressions, and behavior. By promoting analytic, interpretive, interpersonal, and communication skills, the College of Humanities and Social Sciences facilitates personal growth, competent professionalism, and responsible citizenship.

Vision Statement

To become a "Tier I Balanced" college -- nationally recognized for excellence in both instruction and research/scholarship - in which:

1. all students receive excellent instruction directly from tenure-track faculty,
2. undergraduate and graduate students are actively involved in creative/research activities,
3. graduates are well regarded by employers and graduate and professional schools,
4. faculty are well rounded academics who take equal pride in instructional, scholarly/research, and service activities,
5. instruction and research/scholarship are equally valued and rewarded,
6. the scholarship of teaching and innovation in instruction are promoted and rewarded,
7. the quality of the research, scholarship, and creative activity of the faculty are well regarded internationally,
8. seeking extramural funding for research/scholarship is promoted and rewarded,
9. outstanding scholarship is valued regardless of the attraction of extramural funding,
10. extramural funding for research is used to support students and engage them in the production of knowledge and understanding,
11. an active cross-disciplinary intellectual environment is present that promotes creative interactions of faculty and students,
12. students and faculty are actively engaged in the community,
13. service to the college and the profession are encouraged, valued, and rewarded.

“A teaching institution disseminates knowledge; a research institution discovers knowledge – a balanced institution does both.”

Department of Psychology and Philosophy


The Department of Psychology and Philosophy supports the Mission Statement of the University and that of the College of Humanities and Social Sciences. The Department is committed to providing a quality educational environment conducive to scholarship and the acquisition of knowledge and applicable skills. We recognize that this ideal requires the effective use of faculty expertise and creativity, sensitivity to needs of university and community, as well as a genuine concern for the abilities and goals of students.

Specialist in School Psychology Program

The SHSU Specialist in School Psychology Program is based on the standards of the National Association of School Psychologists (NASP). As such it is a 60-hour program leading to a Specialist degree which meets the academic requirements recognized by the Texas State Board of Examiners of Psychology (TSBEP) for a Licensed Specialist in School Psychology (LSSP). The academic knowledge base for the Program embraces an integrated sequence of foundational and practical coursework as well as field-based experiences and professional practice.

Philosophy

The SHSU Specialist in School Psychology (SSP) Program is based on the philosophy of integrating scientific inquiry and service delivery through the use of data-based intervention and performance-based assessment. The paradigm assumes that the specialist in school psychology is engaged in problem-solving and collaborates with all involved parties in empirically-based decision-making.



Enhancing The Future Through Preparation in School Psychology

Likewise, specialists in school psychology realize the constantly-changing nature of the field of psychology and the need to continue their education beyond graduation, licensure, and employment.

The SSP Program relates research associated with psychology and education in an effort to advance social/emotional and cognitive accomplishments in school-aged young people. Human diversity and individual differences necessitate a variety of interventions to address the many influences that affect students and their performances. Specialists in school psychology should be effective problem-solvers who use appropriate data-gathering procedures to assist various systems associated with students including the individual students themselves, families, teachers, administrators, districts, and communities. Additionally, graduates are expected to be models of mental health in balancing personal and professional domains.

The SSP Program is aligned with the Ethical Guidelines of the National Association of School Psychologists and the American Psychological Association and with the Rules of the Texas State Board of Examiners of Psychologists.

The School Psychology Program is affiliated with the Educator Preparation Programs at SHSU and the Council for Accreditation of Educator Preparation (CAEP). Thus, the School Psychology Program embodies the Conceptual Framework adopted by the Sam Houston State University College of Education:

Through programs dedicated to collaboration in instruction, field experience, and research, the candidates in Sam Houston State University's Educator Preparation Programs acquire the knowledge, dispositions, and skills necessary to create a positive learning environment. Employing a variety of technologies, these candidates learn to plan, implement, assess, and modify instruction to meet the needs of communities' diverse learners.

Further, in alignment with the mission of the Educator Preparation Programs, the SSP Program strives to fulfill the need in our society for quality educators who will advance and positively influence the goals of society through assisting our candidates in achieving the five Conceptual Framework indicators of 1) developing a knowledge base that is comprehensive and directed to the candidates' individual needs, 2) technological mastery and mastery of the more complicated processes of problem-solving and decision-making necessary in a world with complex standards that are at times abstract and perhaps seemingly contradictory, 3) effectively communicating with a diverse group of stakeholders and striving for the highest levels of professionalism in all their interactions, 4) learning how to assess performance and to provide feedback that will lead to growth in their students academically and developmentally, and 5) immersion in field experiences that help them develop the dispositions of leadership, patience, flexibility, and respect for and acceptance of individual differences.

Goals, Objectives, Competencies, and Assessment of the Specialist in School Psychology (SSP) Program

Goal 1: The SSP Program will produce graduates who have a broad knowledge of scientific psychology which is gained through an integrated and sequential program of study and supervised practice that constitutes substantive preparation in the area of School Psychology.

Objective 1: Graduates will be knowledgeable of the core domains of scientific psychology including (a) biological, affective, and cognitive bases for behavior; (b) prevention, crisis intervention, and mental health; and (c) data-based decision-making, accountability, research, and program evaluation.

Outcome/Competency: Broad knowledge of scientific psychology.

Assessment 1: Successful completion of graduate course work, including culminating case experiences for all field-based courses.

Assessment 2: Practica and internship supervisors' ratings of candidate's ability to integrate theory and practice.

Assessment 3: Graduate Records Exam Psychology Test

Assessment 4: Portfolio of samples demonstrating competency to provide comprehensive school psychology services.

Objective 2: Graduates will be knowledgeable regarding the core domains of educational psychology including (a) diversity in human development and learning; (b) effective instruction and cognitive/academic skill development; (c) socialization and life skills development; and (d) information technology.

Outcome/Competency: Broad knowledge of educational psychology.

Assessment 1: Successful completion of graduate course work, including culminating case experiences for all field-based courses.

Assessment 2: Practica and internship supervisors' ratings of candidate's ability to integrate theory and practice.

Assessment 3: Praxis exam

Assessment 4: Portfolio of samples demonstrating competency to provide comprehensive school psychology services.

Objective 3: Graduates will be knowledgeable regarding scientific, methodological, and theoretical foundations in professional school psychology including (a) school and systems organization, policy development, and school environment issues; (b) school psychology practice and development; and (c) consultation and collaboration with home, school, and community.

Assessment 1: Successful completion of graduate course work, including culminating case experiences for all field-based courses.

Assessment 2: Practica and internship supervisors' ratings of candidate's ability to integrate theory and practice.

Assessment 3: Praxis exam

Assessment 4: Portfolio of samples demonstrating competency to provide comprehensive school psychology services.

Goal 2: The SSP Program will produce graduates who recognize human diversity as a strength that is valued and respected.

Objective 1: Graduates will be knowledgeable regarding individual differences in such areas as temperament, learning abilities, culture, ethnicity, identity, socioeconomics, gender, linguistics, religion, and sexual orientation.

Outcome/Competency: Broad knowledge regarding areas of human diversity.

Assessment 1: Successful completion of graduate course work.

Assessment 2: University and field supervisors' evaluations of student ability to integrate theory and practice.

Assessment 3: Praxis exam

Assessment 4: Portfolio of samples demonstrating competency to provide comprehensive school psychology services.

Objective 2: Graduates will demonstrate sensitivity to issues of cultural and individual diversity in professional work and relationships with students, school personnel, families, and community.

Outcome/Competency: Demonstration of sensitivity to issues of cultural and individual diversity in the practice of school psychology.

Assessment 1: University and field supervisors' evaluations of student ability to be sensitive to diversity issues in all areas of school psychology practice.

Goal 3: The SSP Program will produce graduates who have professional identities as school psychologists with commitment to ethical standards and best practices.

Objective 1: Graduates will demonstrate knowledge of school psychology history, professional roles, and the breadth of research and practice.

Outcome/Competency: Demonstration of specific knowledge related to the practice of school psychology.

Assessment 1: Successful completion of graduate course work, including culminating case experiences for all field-based courses.

Assessment 2: University and field supervisors' evaluations of student ability to function as a school psychologist.

Assessment 3: Praxis exam

Assessment 4: Portfolio of samples demonstrating competency to provide comprehensive school psychology services.

Objective 2 Graduates will demonstrate professional identities as school psychologists with encouragement to become members and participation in state and national organization.

Outcome/Competency: Membership and participation in state and national organizations.

Assessment 1: Attendance at state and/or national conferences.

Assessment 2: Memberships in state and/or national professional associations.

Goal 4: The SSP Program will produce graduates who demonstrate knowledge and skills to assist individual, group, family, community, and system-level entities in the areas of educational process and progress, assessment for intervention, direct/ indirect interventions, and program evaluation.

Objective 1: Graduates will demonstrate knowledge and conceptual understanding regarding services to a variety of consumers in the areas of education, assessment, intervention, and program evaluation.

Outcome/Competency: Demonstration of knowledge and conceptual understanding regarding the provision of comprehensive school psychology services.

Assessment 1: Successful completion of graduate course work, including culminating case experiences for all field-based courses.

Assessment 2: University and field supervisors' evaluations of student knowledge in providing comprehensive school psychology services.

Assessment 3: Praxis exam

Assessment 4: Portfolio of samples demonstrating competency to provide comprehensive school psychology services.

Objective 2: Graduates will practice their skills in school settings that are clearly committed to training, supervision, and providing a wide range of training and educational experiences that integrate students' education and training.

Competency/Outcome: Effective performance in school practica and internship.

Assessment 1: Graduate student evaluations of courses and field-based experience sites, including practica sites and Internship sites.

Assessment 2: University supervisors' evaluations of experiences provided by field-based sites.

Objective 3: Graduates will demonstrate ability to function as a school psychologist providing comprehensive services to students, school systems, families, and communities.

Outcome/Competency: Demonstration of ability to provide comprehensive school psychology services to students, school systems, families, and communities.

Assessment 1: University and field supervisors' evaluations of candidate ability to provide comprehensive school psychology services.

Assessment 2: Portfolio of samples demonstrating competency to provide comprehensive school psychology services with the ability to positively impact the outcomes for students, families, and the school systems in which they work.

Program of Studies

Data-Based Decision-Making and Accountability	PSYC 5398 PSYC 5394 PSYC 5395 PSYC 5370* PSYC 6396* PSYC 6397* PSYC 6394*	Advanced Child Assessment Psychometrics Assessment of Intelligence and Achievement Academic Assessment & Consultation Practicum in School Psychology I Practicum in School Psychology II Practicum in Psychometrics
Consultation and Collaboration	PSYC 5338* PSYC 5370* PSYC 6396* PSYC 6397*	Consultation in School Psychology Academic Assessment & Consultation Practicum in School Psychology I Practicum in School Psychology II
Effective Instruction and Development of Cognitive/Academic Skills	PSYC 5381 PSYC 5370* PSYC 5339	Advanced Learning Academic Assessment & Consultation Advanced School Psychology
Socialization and Development of Life Skills	PSYC 5397	Advanced Developmental Psychology
Student Diversity in Development and Learning	PSYC 5380	Psychology of Diversity
School and Systems Organization, Policy Development, and Climate	PSYC 5035 PSYC 5339	Administration & Organization of Schools & System Change Advanced School Psychology

* Contains a field-based experiential component

Prevention, Crisis Intervention, and Mental Health	PSYC 5330 PSYC 5334 PSYC 5339 PSYC 5361 PSYC 5397 PSYC 6396* PSYC 6397*	Psychopathology Psychotherapy II: Child Therapy Advanced School Psychology Neuropsychopharmacology Advanced Developmental Psychology Practicum in School Psychology I Practicum in School Psychology II
Home/School/Community Collaboration	PSYC 5338* PSYC 5339 PSYC 6397*	Consultation in School Psychology Advanced School Psychology Practicum in School Psychology II
Research and Program Evaluation	PSYC 5394 PSYC 5387 PSYC 5370* PSYC 5398	Psychometrics Advanced Statistics Academic Assessmt & Consultation Advanced Child Assessment
School Psychology Practice and Development	PSYC 5339* PSYC 6397* PSYC 5379	Advanced School Psychology Practicum in School Psychology II Ethical Practice in Psychology
FIELD EXPERIENCES/INTERNSHIP		
Field Experiences	PSYC 5338 PSYC 5370 PSYC 6396 PSYC 6397 PSYC 6394	Consultation in School Psychology Academic Assessmt & Consultation Practicum in School Psychology I Practicum in School Psychology II Practicum in Psychometrics
Internship	PSYC 6371 A PSYC 6371 B	Internship - Part I Internship - Part II

* Contains a field-experienced component

*NOTE: Any changes or course substitutions must be approved by the Program Director in **advance** of course enrollment. Alterations of the Program's Sequence of Study are not made lightly, nor necessarily simply for the sake of convenience. The course to be substituted must match the Program-approved course in content as well as academic rigor.*

Three-Year Sequence for the Specialist in School Psychology Program

Year One

Fall Semester

- ___ PSYC 5339 Advanced School Psychology
- ___ PSYC 5394 Psychometrics
- ___ PSYC 5334 Psychotherapy II: Child Therapy
- ___ PSYC 5338 Consultation in the Schools (Behavioral Consultation)

Spring Semester

- ___ PSYC 5387 Advanced Statistics
- ___ PSYC 5397 Advanced Developmental Psychology
- ___ PSYC 5361 Neuropsychopharmacology
- ___ PSYC 5370 Academic Assessment & Consultation

Summer Semester (Summer I)

- ___ PSYC 5330 Psychopathology
- ___ PSYC 5381 Advanced Learning

Year Two

Fall Semester

- ___ PSYC 6396 Practicum in SP I
- ___ PSYC 5395 Assessment of Intelligence & Achievement
- ___ PSYC 5379 Ethical Practice in Psychology

Spring Semester

- ___ PSYC 6397 Practicum in SP II
- ___ PSYC 5398 Advanced Child Assessment
- ___ PSYC 6394 Practicum in Psychometrics

Summer Semester (Summer I)

- ___ PSYC 5335 System Organization, Administration & Change
- ___ PSYC 5380 Psychology of Diversity

Year Three

Fall Semester

- ___ PSYC 6371A Internship in Psychology

Spring Semester

- ___ PSYC 6371B Internship in Psychology

GRADUATE SCHOOL PSYCHOLOGY COURSE DESCRIPTIONS

PSYC 5035 (3 credit hours) SYSTEM ORGANIZATION, ADMINISTRATION AND CHANGE. Students will gain foundational knowledge of systems, including organization, administration, climate, and procedures for policy development, as well as systems-change theory. Schools and local educational structures are examined as a system, with specific challenges facing today's schools explored in-depth. A problem-solving, collaborative approach to change is emphasized.

PSYC 5330 PSYCHOPATHOLOGY. Students examine psychological disorders and review, critically evaluate, and integrate current scientific literature regarding diagnosis, phenomenology, and etiology. Students also explore issues in the application of the diagnostic system in clinical practice.

PSYC 5334 THEORY AND RESEARCH IN PSYCHOTHERAPY II: ADVANCED CHILD THERAPY. Students examine selected advanced systems or techniques of psychotherapy, including child therapy, multicultural psychology, group therapy, or others. Content may vary from semester to semester.

PSYC 5338 CONSULTATION IN SCHOOL PSYCHOLOGY. Students examine and apply various methods and techniques of consultation in schools. Best practices according to the National Association of School Psychologists provide the basis for the curriculum. The course has both didactic and field experience components.

PSYC 5339 ADVANCED SCHOOL PSYCHOLOGY. Students acquire knowledge on the history, theory, delivery models and techniques underlying the practice of school psychology. Students also examine various historical and contemporary roles of the school psychologist, in addition to all applicable federal and state laws. There is also a field experience aspect to the course.

PSYC 5361 NEUROPSYCHOPHARMACOLOGY. Students examine field of behavioral pharmacology: the systematic study of the effects of drugs on behavior and the way in which behavioral principles can help in understanding how drugs work. Students focus primarily on the neurophysiological mechanisms of action of various psychoactive drugs and on the various neurotransmitter systems within the nervous system. Prerequisite: Consent of instructor.

PSYC 5370 ACADEMIC ASSESSMENT AND CONSULTATION. School psychology graduate students develop the skills to assess the academic skill-sets and functioning of school-age children and adolescents as well as their respective instructional environments. Students in this course develop and increased understanding of the problem identification and problem analysis stages of consultation within the context of academic difficulties. The course has both didactic and field experience components. Prerequisite: PSYC 5338.

PSYC 5379 ETHICAL PRACTICE IN PSYCHOLOGY. Students acquire knowledge of ethics, standards, laws, state licensing rules and regulations, and historical foundations of the practice of clinical and school psychology. Students examine case material that features ethical conflicts and controversies and practice the process to resolve ethical dilemmas. Prerequisite: Graduate standing.

PSYC 5380 PSYCHOLOGY OF DIVERSITY. Students examine the roles and influences of cultural and individual differences in mental health. Although the field of multicultural psychology covers a vast array of topics, students in this course focus specifically on diversity as it applies to the delivery of clinical services. Appropriate assessment, diagnosis, and intervention approaches to psychological work with various clinical populations will be discussed. Prerequisite: Graduate standing.

PSYC 5381 ADVANCED LEARNING THEORY. Students examine the processes of learning through a critical discussion of current research and theory in the areas of classical conditioning, operant conditioning, and social and cognitive influences in learning.

PSYC 5387 ADVANCED STATISTICS. Students develop competencies in the use of statistical methods as tools for inferential hypothesis testing. Topics may include consideration of data screening, effect sizes, and simple effects tests, and an introduction to multiple regression. Prerequisite: PSYC 3301 or equivalent.

PSYC 5394 PSYCHOMETRICS. Students develop an understanding of the principles of psychometric theory and applications, including reliability, validity, and test construction. Students will thoroughly investigate tests and scales that measure personality and mental health. Limited practicum is required.

PSYC 5395 ASSESSMENT OF INTELLIGENCE AND ACHIEVEMENT. Students develop competencies in the administration, scoring, interpretation, and reporting of results of the Wechsler Scales and other measures of intelligence, achievement, adaptive behavior, and personality to produce integrated reports. Students receive supervised instruction throughout the duration of the course. Prerequisites: PSYC 5394 or equivalent.

PSYC 5397 ADVANCED DEVELOPMENTAL PSYCHOLOGY. Students engage in an advanced study of growth and development processes throughout the life cycle. Students examine theories and applications of basic research and delve into one of the major topics – such as multicultural aspects of development, cognitive development, social-emotional factors, and physical development – in depth through critical reading of original research.

PSYC 5398 ADVANCED CHILD ASSESSMENT. Students gain in-depth practical experience in the comprehensive assessment of infants, children, and adolescents. Students review a variety of individual testing instruments, including those used to evaluate cognitive, social-emotional,

behavioral and executive functioning. Alternative methods of assessment, such as transdisciplinary play-based assessment, dynamic assessment, and curriculum-based measurement, as well as techniques and instruments specifically designed for the evaluation of Autism and other disabled populations, will be emphasized. Prerequisite: PSYC 5395.

PSYC 6371 INTERNSHIP IN PSYCHOLOGY. This course is Students demonstrate their ability to integrate and apply their knowledge in a course designed to be the culmination of the master's level training in applied psychology. Prerequisite: 30 hours of graduate coursework.

PSYC 6394 PRACTICUM IN PSYCHOMETRICS. Students further develop and apply skills in administration, scoring, interpreting, and reporting of psychological tests in this concluding practicum experience. Students take this practicum near the end of their educational program, with supervised experience intended to prepare the student for the psychological assessment and diagnostic aspects of internship or initial employment. Prerequisite: PSYC 5394 and PSYC 5395 or equivalent, and a co-requisite of PSYC 5398.

PSYC 6396 PRACTICUM IN SCHOOL PSYCHOLOGY I. Students develop the theoretical foundation necessary to design and implement intervention programs to promote mental health wellness and resiliency. Students gain direct field experience with the provision of psychological counseling used to assist children. Students also examine ethical issues related to intervention and prevention services. Prerequisite: PSYC 5339.

PSYC 6397 PRACTICUM IN SCHOOL PSYCHOLOGY II. Students bring theory and practice together to continue to develop skills required in practice. This course is designed as the culminating specialist-level, field-based experience. Students develop their skills in school-based practicum sites where they provide a variety of services (e.g., assessment, intervention) under the supervision of both field and university supervisors. Prerequisite: PSYC 6396.

Academics

Academic Performance

Students must achieve a grade of “B” or above in courses. Students are permitted only one grade of “C” in any course, with a second grade of “C” necessitating a remediation plan to ensure competency in the relevant area of study in accordance with section with the *Clinical Training—Remediation* section of the *Handbook*. A grade of “F” will result in immediate dismissal from the Program (see SHSU Academic Policy Statement 910312, Appendix A)

Advising

Graduate academic advising is coordinated by the SSP Program Director. Each student’s program of study will follow, as closely as possible, the established curriculum for the SSP Program; however, the Program Director may substitute courses on an individual’s curriculum, subject to approval by the Program faculty.

Dropping Courses

Students are encouraged to not drop a required (non-elective) course as this will often times result in the need of taking an additional year to complete the Program. The course sequence from Year 1 to Year 3 is built on the assumption of completion of prerequisite courses. *Students are encourage to consult with the SSP Program Director when faced with circumstances that may require dropping a course (or courses).*

Academic Dishonesty

Academic honesty is expected and required in all phases of student work. Academic dishonesty will result in an "F" which, in turn, leads to disqualification from the graduate program (see Academic Policy 810213; Appendix F). Academic dishonesty includes, but is not limited to, the following:

1. Cheating on examinations, including the written comprehensives.
2. *Plagiarism*. Papers submitted in courses must be the student's own work. Information and opinions drawn from other sources are to be attributed and referenced properly, using the proper form of citation. A student who submits written work without clear attribution to original sources is guilty of plagiarism.
3. Submitting a paper, book critique, evaluation, or any other written work as the student's own which the student, in fact, did not write or had substantial help in writing. This includes using the services of a commercial research paper agency in course submissions.
4. Submitting the same or substantially similar research paper to different courses. The expectation is that a paper is the product of original research (although materials may be derived from secondary sources) and is written for a specific course only. Papers cannot be used to satisfy requirements in multiple courses, except with the prior written permission of the professor to whom it is submitted. The use of papers from previous master's or undergraduate courses is also prohibited.

Grievances Related to Academic Matters

For matters related to academics (e.g., course grades), the Program follows the academic grievance procedures outlined by the University (see *Academic Policy Statement 900823*; Appendix G). For matters related to clinical privileging, the Program applies the procedures outlined in the *Clinical Training* section of this handbook.

Comprehensive Exams

In lieu of a faculty-created qualifying comprehensive exam, school psychology candidates are required to pass the Praxis II exam approved by NASP. Candidates typically sit for the exam during the summer just prior to or during the Internship year.

Practica and Internship

Altogether, field-based practical experience (prior to Internship) of at least 250 - 400 hours will be accrued. Practica are designed to provide professional practice and experience in the areas of the educational process, assessment, and direct and indirect intervention. Close university supervision is provided. Practica are generally conducted in rural and suburban school districts near SHSU so that university supervisors may accompany candidates to field sites as needed. PSYC 6394 is a psychometrics practicum, which allows candidates to focus specifically on completing portions of actual case evaluations as well as at least one Full Individualized Evaluation (FIE). Two separate interventions practica, PSYC 6396 and PSYC 6397, provide over 100 hours each of practice and experience.

The Internship is the culminating experience for the school psychology candidate and requires at least 1200 clock-hours of practice and experience. A broad range of experiences are required that explore the various professional roles that school psychologists utilize as they apply assessment, intervention, behavior management, and consultation for children representing a range of ages, populations and needs. Close on-site supervision is provided by both a university supervisor as well as a field supervisor. Internships are typically provided in school districts of the candidate's choosing, with Program approval. Occasionally, Internships are approved for out-of-state placements if the supervision and assignment requirements can be met.

Annual Report of Student Progress

Students are required to self-reflect on an annual basis at the conclusion of the spring semester and provide to the Program faculty a brief description of their educational activities during the past academic year. School Psychology faculty will convey to candidates a summary of their progress in the Program to date, including any areas or issues of which the Program faculty has concern. For more information, see the Candidate and Program Evaluations section of the Program Handbook.

Licensure/Certification/Employment

Opportunities for employment as a Licensed Specialist in School Psychology are *very* positive at the present time. Graduates of the Sam Houston State University SSP Program typically obtain employment in a school setting immediately upon completion of their Internship. In fact, every graduate from the past 15 cohorts who wishes to be employed as an LSSP is currently employed as such! Frequently, the Internship site employs the graduate. Individuals wishing to relocate to another state should consult regulations for that region. SHSU's program is approved by the National Association of School Psychologists, which is a national association linked with program accreditation for the field through the Council for Accreditation of Educator Preparation, or CAEP. Reciprocity between states, therefore, is likely since Nationally Certified School Psychologists are recognized in most states throughout the nation.

Students are encouraged to take every opportunity to begin establishing themselves as professional academic psychologists from the beginning of their graduate careers. They should consider joining professional associations (see below). These organizations provide student memberships at reduced rates that entitle them to receive one or more journals and will provide information about regional and national meetings. Presenting papers at these meetings is an important opportunity for gaining experience in oral or poster presentations, meeting other colleagues in the field, and to begin the task of establishing a network of professional connections.

National Association of School Psychologists (NASP)

www.nasponline.org

4340 East West Highway, Suite 402

Bethesda, MD 20814

Phone: 307/657-0270

Texas State Board of Examiners of Psychologists (TSBEP)

www.tsbep.state.tx.us

333 Guadalupe

Tower 2, Room 450

Austin, TX 78701

512/305-7700

Texas Association of School Psychologists (TASP)

www.txasp.org

TASP

P.O. Box 141023

Austin, TX 78714-1023

Phone: 1-888-414-8277 (Toll Free)

CONTINUING PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM

The SHSU School Psychology Program is committed to providing an active continuing professional development program for practicing school psychologists. As such, the faculty is closely associated with the Trainers' Committee of the Texas Association of School Psychologists (TASP) and faculty members are encouraged to serve on the executive board. The annual conference and area meetings provide continuing education opportunities in the areas of assessment for intervention, educational process, and direct/indirect interventions. The faculty is also supportive of Texas Psychological Association (TPA), Southwest Psychological Association (SWPA), National Association of School Psychologists (NASP), and American Psychological Association (APA) and frequently present continuing education workshops or research papers at conferences. The State Board of Examiners of Psychologists (TSBEP) requires at least 20 clock-hours of continuing education each year to renew the LSSP. The SHSU SSP Program sometimes hosts area/regional meetings. Practicing school psychologists, graduate students and other personnel from nearby school districts are invited.

Upcoming Opportunities

Texas Association of School Psychologists (TASP) Conference

<http://www.txasp.org>

2020

October 8-10
San Antonio

2021

November 3-6
Austin

2022

November 2-4
Sugar Land

National Association of School Psychologists (NASP) Convention

<http://www.nasponline.org>

2021

February 23-26
Salt Lake City, UT

2022

February 15-18
Boston, MA

2023

February 7-10
Denver, CO

American Psychological Association (APA) Convention

<http://www.apa.org>

2021

August 12-15
San Diego, CA

2022

August 4-7
Minneapolis, MN

2023

August 3-6
Washington, DC

Admission Requirements

Requirements for graduate admission in *Good Standing* include:

- Minimum 3.0 undergraduate grade point average (GPA)
- Graduate Record Examination (GRE) scores (taken within the past five (5) years and grade point average meeting the College admissions formula (Note: Typically, a higher GPA will allow for a somewhat lower GRE performance, and a somewhat higher GRE score will allow for a somewhat lower undergraduate GPA).
- three (3) letters of recommendation, preferably written by a former instructor or someone who can speak to the potential candidate's ability to complete graduate academic work.
- personal statement detailing interest in the field of school psychology

Requirements for *Probationary* graduate admission include:

- Approval of the Department and College Admissions Committees

Advancement to Candidacy

- ❖ 18 hours of graduate study completed

Comprehensive Exam

- ❖ Praxis II School Psychology Exam required for Nationally Certified School Psychologists

RESIDENCY REQUIREMENTS

A *maximum* of 15 credit hours of coursework completed outside of Sam Houston State University may be approved and accepted toward the SSP degree. All other coursework must be completed with SHSU.

REQUIREMENTS FOR RESPECIALIZATION OR RETRAINING IN SCHOOL PSYCHOLOGY

Individuals seeking retraining from other areas of psychology (e.g., clinical) must have a Master's or Doctoral degree in psychology. If accepted for retraining, candidates will be provided an action plan to help them address curricular and experiential deficiencies. Candidates who are NOT graduates of the SHSU Clinical MA program must complete sufficient coursework to adequately assure SSP Program faculty of professional skill competence. Historically, candidates seeking retraining have completed one year of full-time study prior to completing the Internship. Individuals desiring respecialization into school psychology practice from any training area other than psychology (e.g., educational diagnostician, school counselor, teacher, social work) will need to obtain a degree in psychology in order to be eligible for licensure in the field. As per the residency requirements set forth by the Graduate School, a maximum of up to 15 credit hours may be transferred toward the SSP degree. The transfer eligibility of credits is at the sole discretion of the SSP Program faculty. All retraining and respecialization students will be accepted during the regular admissions period based on available space within Program courses.

GRADUATE PROGRAM

The SSP Program is only open to graduate students, and students are not permitted to substitute course credit in the SSP Program through courses, seminars, and other learning experiences not exclusively offered to graduate students. Similarly, no course credit for undergraduate study, remedial courses, or courses designed to remove deficiencies in meeting requirements for program admission may be used toward the Program. A minimum of 60 graduate hours in courses offered ordinarily to graduate students is required.

Part-Time Admissions Status

Students may be admitted as Non-Degree seeking and are allowed to complete up to 15 credit hours before being required to obtain admission into a Graduate Program. Admission into Graduate courses cannot always be guaranteed. Non-Degree status students must apply for full-time admission into the SSP Program during the regular admissions period and will be considered for admission as part of that year's applicant pool. All SSP Program students are admitted on a full-time enrollment basis only.

For more information and to submit application materials, contact:

Ramona M. Noland, Ph.D.
Director, Specialist in School Psychology Program
Campus Box 2447
Department of Psychology and Philosophy
Sam Houston State University
Huntsville, TX 77341-2447
Phone: (936) 294-4310
e-mail: noland@shsu.edu

Priority application deadline for admission:

February 15th*

***PLEASE IDENTIFY YOURSELF AS A SCHOOL PSYCHOLOGY STUDENT**

Students are expected to maintain a University e-mail account through the completion of their Program.

Clinical Training

Graduate study in the SSP Program involves applied professional training. Graduates of the Program will be eligible to become Licensed Specialists in School Psychology (in Texas; school psychologists through most other state departments of education), and ultimately may diagnose and treat a variety of disorders commonly diagnosed in children. As a result, students must demonstrate competence in school psychological practice.

Our program affirms the principle that individuals working in the field of school psychology should be trained to offer services to diverse populations. In pursuit of this goal, students entering our training program will be required to provide services to persons whose cultural backgrounds, beliefs, religious values, or lifestyles may be different from their own. Should unanticipated conflicts arise for any student, faculty are committed to working with the student to be certain cultural competence is achieved.

Clinical Privileges

Upon enrollment in the consultation sequence (PSYC 5338 and PSYC 5370) students shall be granted clinical privileges. These privileges mean that they are permitted to engage in school-based psychological activities as directed by and under the supervision of the relevant faculty members involved in their training. *To maintain these privileges, students must continue to demonstrate a level of professional competence appropriate to their level of training and development.*

Supervision/Oversight/Evaluation

SSP Program faculty must balance their roles as instructor/mentor with that of a gatekeeper who is responsible for credentialing future members of the profession. Consistent with the APA and NASP Ethics Codes under which psychologists practice, concerns for social welfare must take priority over the needs of particular students. Consequently, in decisions where student needs are pitted against social harm that might be engendered by allowing a student to continue in the Program, the benefit of the doubt goes to the prevention of social harm. Under no circumstances will any faculty member be required to provide clinical supervision to a student whom the faculty member believes to be clinically incompetent.

Clinical competence encompasses the application of techniques of assessment and treatment learned in the classroom to actual clinical situations. However, it also includes appropriate professional demeanor, the practical application of ethical principles, the

ability to work and consult effectively with other professionals, to function well as both supervisor and supervisee, and to project an appropriate professional image to the public. This involves the development of both technical expertise and interpersonal skills. Deficiencies in clinical competence may arise from (a) a lack of technical training, (b) interpersonal problems, (c) problems with supervision, (d) emotional instability, and/or (e) cognitive limitations. Failure to address such deficiencies may result in harm to clients, colleagues, or others to whom there is a professional obligation. Ethical principles obligate psychologists to refrain from engaging in professional activity when they know that personal problems may prevent them from performing competently. Clinical supervisors, therefore, have a special obligation to note personal problems that may interfere with a supervisee's clinical performance and to take appropriate remedial action (e.g., professional assistance or consultation, limiting or suspending practical work-related activities).

Remediation

All remediation plans are predicated on the assumption that the difficulty can be remediated. If the faculty determine that the problem is unlikely to be remediated (e.g., the student has engaged in grossly unethical behavior), then the student will be terminated from the Program.

Remediation procedures differ depending on the context in which the problem occurs. When difficulties occur in a non-practicum setting, the Program faculty will convene to develop a remediation plan. Areas in need of remediation may include, but are not limited to, classroom performance, professionalism, academic integrity, research ethics, and timeliness in completing work. Specific strategies for the remediation may include a reduction in coursework, completion of remedial coursework, and increased levels of supervision.

When deficiencies specifically dealing with practicum work are identified, the first steps are taken within the context of regular supervision. Specific strategies may include increased levels of supervision, a change of supervisor, a reduction in caseload, or a change of focus (e.g., more direct observation, more work in conjunction with the supervisor, additional work as part of an independent study).

If at any time during the semester a supervisor identifies significant deficiencies and the student does not appear responsive to initial interventions, the SSP Program Director and/or Faculty may be informed. Students will be given the opportunity to meet with the supervisors to discuss specific feedback if they wish.

If the supervisor(s) identify functional deficiencies and the student has not been responsive to routine supervisory intervention, remedial measures will be considered. Functional deficiencies

may include a lack of technical expertise that would be expected of a student at the particular level of training, an inability or unwillingness to respond to supervision, inattention to ethical concerns, inappropriate professional demeanor, or deficits in interpersonal skills which impair the formation of appropriate clinical relationships. Once such deficiencies are identified, it is incumbent upon the supervisor(s) to work with the student in formulating a remediation plan. The plan will be given to the student in writing and will include: (a) a description of the specific deficiencies, (b) an outline of the goals of remediation, (c) a definition of specific strategies to be applied, (d) the criteria for successful remediation, and (e) the timeline for review of the remediation plan.

Remediation plans must, by nature, be individualized to the student. Depending on the particular problem situation, a wide range of interventions might be applied. These may include: (a) student-initiated change in behavior, (b) additional field experience, (c) additional coursework, (d) additional practicum, (e) specialized tutoring/mentoring, (f) a reduction in caseload, and/or (g) a leave of absence. If personal or personality difficulties underlie or are contributing to performance deficits, strategies may include: (a) mobilization of additional support systems, (b) an independent assessment, and/or (c) personal therapy. If an independent assessment or therapy is required, the practitioner offering the services must be approved by the SSP Program faculty. The student will bear the costs of these services, but the faculty will work with the student to try to find a qualified practitioner with reasonable rates. In no case, however, would a member of the clinical faculty conduct psychological assessment or personal therapy of a student. It will be up to the supervisors to determine whether remediation efforts can occur while some clinical work continues or if clinical privileges will be suspended pending remediation. Should any remediation issues remain unresolved at the time a student is applying for internship, it is the ethical obligation of the faculty to inform the potential internship site.

Once the deadline for remediation is reached, the faculty will evaluate whether or not the student has met the criteria for successful outcome. If the criteria for successful outcome have been reached, the remediation plan will be terminated and the student will continue in training. If the student has made progress toward the goals of the remediation plan, but has not met the criteria for success, the faculty may revise the plan and allow for continued efforts toward a successful outcome. If the student has failed to meet the goals of the remediation plan and a successful outcome is not considered possible, then they will be considered to have failed the remediation plan. A student who fails their remediation plan will be dismissed from training. Any student who is terminated is entitled to the due process outlined in the “Withdrawal of Clinical Privileges or Termination from the Program” section of this handbook or the due process outlines in the SHSU Academic Policy Statement 900823 (see Appendix D). In all cases, the student will be informed in writing of the faculty decisions and will have the opportunity to discuss the outcome with the SSP Program faculty member(s) involved in the remediation and/or the Director of the SSP Program.

Withdrawal of Clinical Privileges

A student who fails to attain an adequate level of professional competence, despite remediation efforts (or who is deemed incapable of remediation), will have all clinical privileges withdrawn; this will preclude successful completion of the Program. When clinical privileges are withdrawn the student will receive written notice of the reasons, accompanied by copies of all prior clinical evaluations, remediation plans, and appeal procedures. The decision to withdraw clinical privileges is one that is made by the standing clinical supervisors, which consists of the entire Program faculty who have been trained as LSSPs and/or licensed psychologists.

The clinical standards to which students will be held with regard to clinical competence, of course, will be a function of development. No student will be held to the standard of an experienced clinician. Nevertheless, at any time, certain behaviors will constitute grounds for dismissal from the Program on the grounds of inadequate clinical competence. Among these are:

1. gross ethical violations of which the student is or reasonably should be aware;
2. clinical practices which, by act or omission, constitute a serious threat to client welfare and which are inconsistent with the student's level of training and experience;
3. failure to recognize situations which necessitate consultation with one's clinical supervisor; and/or
4. consistent failure to make adequate progress in the acquisition of clinical skills.

Appeals of Withdrawal of Clinical Privileges or Termination from the Program

1. Students may request an appeal of such decisions directly to the Dean of the College of Humanities and Social Science in writing within 60 days of the decision.
2. The Dean, within 30 days of receipt of the appeal request, shall convene a panel of at least three LSSPs or psychologists (who must be licensed clinicians if the issue is clinical privileges) who are on the graduate faculty of SHSU to hear the appeal.
3. No supervisors responsible for the original decision may serve on the panel hearing the appeal.
4. The student shall be given 7 days' written notice in advance of the scheduled appeal by first class mail addressed to their address of record.

5. The Dean will designate one member of the panel as the Chair and that person shall conduct the proceeding.

- a. At the appeal proceeding, one of the supervisors responsible for the original decision will present the evidence on which the committee's decision was based.
- b. The student will have an opportunity to challenge the evidence offered on behalf of the committee and to present testimony and other relevant evidence on their behalf.
- c. Formal rules of evidence will not apply.

The panel's decision shall be by simple majority and will be final. If the panel decides in favor of the student, the Program Faculty will reverse any decision to withdraw privileges or terminate the student from the program and will determine the proper remedial training efforts for the student.

UNIVERSITY REQUIREMENTS

Course Load

Normal Load

Master's and/or Specialist students desiring to enroll in more than twelve graduate credit hours in any one semester must obtain approval from the dean of the college in which they are enrolled.

It is important to note that the University requirements for full-time status and requirements of various financial aid programs may differ. It is recommended that students consult with the University's Financial Aid Office to determine how assistantships and fellowships may impact financial aid.

Course Load for Graduate Assistants

Graduate students employed by the University at least half-time as graduate assistants, research assistants, or teaching assistants should enroll in a minimum of six graduate credit hours per semester to be considered full-time graduate students.

For students who are not on a half-time assistantship, the load for a full-time graduate student is nine to twelve credit hours per fall or spring semester and six credit hours in the summer term. Students enrolled in master's or Specialist degree programs should enroll in a minimum of nine graduate credit hours in both the fall and spring semesters and six credit hours in the summer to be considered full-time graduate students. However, while on internship, the minimum number of credit hours is three hours of Internship (PSYC 6371) for both the Fall and Spring semesters. For the purposes of loan forbearance, students on Internship will be considered enrolled full-time and their loan repayment will not begin until six months beyond the date of graduation. Under the University's continuous enrollment policy, a student must be enrolled for a minimum of three credit hours each Fall or Spring semester through completion of the Program.

Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act (FERPA)

The Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act of 1974, as amended, is a federal law which provides that colleges and universities will maintain the confidentiality of student education records. This law also affords students certain rights with respect to their education records.

The law basically says that no one outside the institution shall have access to students' education records nor will the institution disclose any information from those records without the written consent of the student. There are exceptions, of course, so that certain personnel within the institution may see the records, including persons in an emergency in order to protect the health or safety of students or other persons.

Additionally, under FERPA, students have the right to:

- Inspect and review their education records
- Seek to amend their education records
- Have some control over the disclosure of information from their education records (Buckley Amendment)
- File a complaint for an alleged violation of FERPA rights

FERPA law provides that an institution of higher education shall state what information in a student education record is to be considered Directory Information which may be released without prior student consent. Under FERPA, Sam Houston State University has established the following as directory information:

- Name
- Local/Home/E-mail Addresses
- Major/Minor
- Local/Home Telephone Numbers
- Degrees, Diplomas, Certificates and Date of Award
- Honors and Awards
- Classification
- Extracurricular Activities
- Birth Date and Place of Birth
- Names and Addresses of Parents/Legal Guardians
- Weight, Height, and Related Information of Athletic Team Member

- The above directory information will be available for release to the general public. However, the Buckley Amendment under FERPA, states that each student has the right to inform Sam Houston State University that any or all of the above information is not to be released. Sam Houston State University will honor the student's request to restrict the release of "Directory Information" as listed.

A student may restrict the release of directory information by submitting the Buckley Amendment Form (found on this page under the Forms link) to the Registrar's Office located on the 3rd floor of the Estill Building. Forms must be submitted to the Registrar's Office prior to the twelfth class day of the fall and spring terms and the fourth class day of the summer term. Additionally, the restriction of information remains on the students' record until the student takes action to remove it. If the student restricts their information, the university campus staff and faculty will view a confidential message on all student records found in our current Banner student information system and NO information can be released on that student without the written permission of the student. This includes the restriction of the student's name being listed in the commencement program, the honor's list, and the Dean's/President's list. Release of information contained on a student's academic transcript without the written consent of the person(s) identified on the document is in violation of Sec. 438 Public Law 90-247 (FERPA).

Additional FERPA information regarding our University policies on student rights is available under the Student Guidelines maintained by the Dean of Students. For more information, please visit:

http://www.shsu.edu/dept/registrar/students/student_privacy_information.html

Title IX

Title IX of the Education Amendments of 1972 is a federal law that prohibits sex discrimination in education. "No person in the United States shall, on the basis of sex, be excluded from participation in, be denied the benefits of, or be subjected to discrimination under any education program or activity receiving Federal financial assistance."

Sam Houston State University complies with Title IX of the Higher Education Amendments of 1972 (Title IX), which prohibits discrimination on the basis of sex in educational programs or activities; Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 (Title VII), which prohibits sex discrimination in employment; and the Campus Sexual Violence Elimination Act. Sexual misconduct, as defined in the University's Sexual Misconduct Policy, constitutes a form of sex discrimination prohibited by Title IX and Title VII.

Sex discrimination includes sexual harassment and sexual assault. While it is often thought of as a law that applies to athletics programs, Title IX is much broader than Athletics and applies to many programs at Sam Houston State University. While compliance with the law is everyone's responsibility at SHSU, below is a list of staff members that have primary responsibility for Title IX Compliance.

Title IX Coordinator
Jeanine Bias Nelson
Associate Dean of Students
Thomason Bldg 302
936-294-3080
jbias@shsu.edu

The Title IX Coordinator's responsibilities include providing leadership, consultation, and oversight of Title IX compliance activities at the University, including coordination of training, education, communications, and administration of grievance procedures for faculty, staff, students and other members of the University community.

SHSU encourages everyone to immediately consult with or report incidents of sex discrimination, sexual harassment (including sexual violence) or sexual misconduct to the Title IX Coordinator or Deputy Title IX coordinators. For additional information, visit:

<http://www.shsu.edu/titleix/>

FACULTY

Program and Associated Faculty

SSP Program Faculty

Justin P. Allen, Ph.D. *Assistant Professor of Psychology.* B.A., Kansas State University; M.A., Washburn University; Ph.D., University of Kansas. Provisionally Licensed Psychologist. Licensed Specialist in School Psychology. Research interests include assessment and decision-making in manifestation determination reviews, as well as psychoeducational interventions for children with emotional and behavioral disorders.

Courtney Banks, Ph.D. *Assistant Professor of Psychology.* B.A., Baylor University; S.S.P., Texas A&M University-Commerce; Ph.D., Texas A&M University. Licensed Psychologist. Licensed Specialist in School Psychology. Research interests include parent involvement and engagement in tiered school behavior interventions, parent socialization practices, and bullying participant roles.

James W. Crosby, Ph.D. *Associate Dean of the College of Humanities and Social Sciences and Associate Professor of Psychology.* B.A., Abilene Christian University; M.S.; Abilene Christian University; Ph.D. Oklahoma State University. Licensed Psychologist. Licensed Specialist in School Psychology. Research interests include bullying, victimization, school psychology, and family psychology.

Ramona M. Noland, Ph.D. *Associate Professor of Psychology.* B.A., Wheeling Jesuit University; Ph.D., University of Tennessee. Licensed Psychologist. Licensed Specialist in School Psychology. Research interests include general issues in school psychology, autism spectrum disorders, and issues related to testing and assessment.

Associated Program Faculty

Jeffrey Anastasi, Ph.D. *Associate Professor of Psychology,* B.S., M.A. & Ph.D., Binghamton University (SUNY). Research interests include development of false memories in children, the effect of memory monitoring on false memory reduction, and face recognition and accuracy.

Jaime L. Anderson, Ph.D. *Assistant Professor of Psychology.* B.A., University of Dayton; M.S., Eastern Kentucky University; Ph.D., University of Alabama. Licensed Psychologist. Research interests include personality disorder conceptualization and diagnosis and applied psychological assessment in forensic settings.

Marcus T. Boccaccini, Ph.D. *Professor of Psychology and Associate Director of Clinical Training.* B.S., Santa Clara University; M.A. & Ph.D., University of Alabama. Research interests include test construction, mental retardation in death penalty cases, witness testimony, and jury research.

Daniella K. Cash, Ph.D. *Assistant Professor of Psychology.* B.S., Florida Southern College; M.S., University of Alabama in Huntsville; Ph.D., Louisiana State University. Research interests include how social and cognitive principles are at play within the legal system. This includes eyewitness memory, jury decision-making, and deception detection.

Mary Alice Conroy, Ph.D., ABPP. *Professor of Psychology and Director of the Psychological Services Center.* B.A., Michigan State University; M.A., Colorado State University; Ph.D., University of Houston. Licensed Psychologist. Diplomate of the American Board of Professional Psychology (Forensic). Research interests include forensic clinical psychology, risk assessment, and civil commitment evaluations.

Laura E. Drislane, Ph.D. *Assistant Professor of Psychology.* B.A., Northwestern University; M.S. & Ph.D., Florida State University. Research interests include psychopathy, impulse control (“externalizing”) disorders, dimensional models of psychopathology, psychophysiology, quantitative methods, scale development and psychometrics.

Craig E. Henderson, Ph.D. *Professor of Psychology,* B.A., Howard Payne University; M.A. Hardin-Simmons University; Ph.D., University of North Texas. Licensed Psychologist. Research interests include family psychology, juvenile substance abuse treatment, custodial grand parenting, and advanced data analytic methodology.

Hillary Langley, Ph.D. *Assistant Professor of Psychology.* B.A., The University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill; Ph.D., University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill. Research interests include developmental psychology and children’s mental health; children’s eyewitness testimony and the impact of stress and anxiety on children’s memory for traumatic experiences; protective effects of prosocial behaviors and emotions (e.g., empathy, gratitude) on children’s psychological well-being

Adam P. Natoli, Ph.D. *Assistant Professor of Psychology.* B.A. (McNair Scholar), Rider University; M.S., Eastern Michigan University; Ph.D., Adelphi University. Research interests include the psychological and physiological processes of assessment, personality and personality pathology, meta-analytic research, and the psychodynamic/psychoanalytic approaches to conceptualizing and treating personality and psychopathology.

David V. Nelson, Ph.D., ABPP. *Associate Professor of Psychology*, B.S., Brigham Young University; B.S., Arizona State University; Ph.D., University of Washington. Licensed Psychologist. National Register of Health Service Providers in Psychology. Diplomate of the American Board of Professional Psychology (Clinical Health). Research interests include behavioral medicine/health psychology and neurobehavioral functioning.

Chelsea G. Ratcliff, Ph.D. *Assistant Professor of Psychology*. B.A., Trinity University; M.A., University of Houston; Ph.D., University of Houston. Licensed Psychologist. Research interests include health psychology, particularly effect of behavioral interventions for medically ill individuals, and relations among physical health, psychosocial adjustment, and quality of life.

Shelley A. Riggs, Ph.D. *Professor of Psychology*. B.A., M.A., and Ph.D., University of Texas at Austin. Licensed Psychologist. Research interests include family systems, attachment relationships throughout the life cycle, trauma and loss, military psychology, and clinical applications of systems and attachment theories.

Jared Ruchensky, Ph.D. *Assistant Professor of Psychology*. B.A., Creighton University; M.S. & Ph.D., Texas A&M University. Research interests include psychological assessment of personality pathology and constructs relevant to forensic/correctional settings.

Tiffany D. Russell, Ph.D. *Assistant Professor of Psychology*. B.A., University of Central Oklahoma; M.A. & Ph.D., University of North Dakota. Research interests include the perpetration of interpersonal violence, with a specific focus on the effects of childhood maltreatment, personality, and individual differences on sexual violence perpetration. Other research interests include dimensional models of personality disorders in clinical and forensic settings, statistical modeling, and scale development.

Temilola K. Salami, Ph.D. *Assistant Professor of Psychology*. B.A., McGill University; M.S. & Ph.D., University of Georgia. Licensed Psychologist. Research interests include understanding how sociocultural, cognitive and interpersonal factors interact in the onset and maintenance of internalizing symptoms (e.g., trauma symptoms, depression, anxiety and suicidality).

Jorge G. Varela, Ph.D. *Associate Professor of Psychology and Director of Clinical Training*. B.A., Florida International University; M.A. & Ph.D., University of Alabama. Licensed Psychologist. Research interests include language and cultural diversity issues in forensic assessment, psychology and law enforcement, and psychology and military service.

Stephen W. White, Ph.D. *Assistant Professor of Psychology.* B.A., M.S., & Ph.D., University of Mississippi; Research interests include: the development, validation, and utilization of animal models of psychiatric syndromes for research, translational validity of animal models, pre-clinical drug discovery focusing on identifying novel antidepressants.

Consultation Sequence

Overview

The sequence of courses focusing on the development of both behavioral and academic consultation skills, as well as data-based assessment of behavioral and academic difficulties, occur in the Fall and Spring semesters of candidates' first year in the Program. By placing these courses in the first year of training, Program faculty hope to emphasize their importance as *foundational* to the best practice of School Psychology. In addition to classroom lecture and discussion, candidates participate in field-based experiences similar to those obtained during Practica for counseling and assessment skill development. The result of the field-based experience is a completed consultation with a teacher coupled with a full consultation report. These experiences directly inform candidates' academic and behavioral consultation efforts during their year of Internship.

Consultation in School Psychology – PSYC 5338

The primary purpose of this course is to provide an overview of consultation theory, research, and practice in psychology and education. An emphasis will be placed on a school-based problem-solving model of consultation. The course is also designed to be broad in coverage and present the role consultation plays in assessment, prevention, intervention, and program evaluation. An applied practicum component that relates the academic aspects of the course to school psychology practice is required.

Academic Assessment and Consultation – PSYC 5370

The purpose of this course is to provide school psychology graduate candidates with the skills to assess academic skill-sets and functioning of school-age children and adolescents as well as their respective instructional environments. Candidates in this course will develop an increased understanding of the problem-identification and problem-analysis stages of consultation within an “academic difficulties” context. Further, emphases will be placed on curriculum-based assessment within a decision-making model, as well as linking empirically-validated interventions with assessment results. Applied learning activities will include: teacher interviews for academic difficulties, record reviews, curriculum-based assessment development and administration, and intervention planning.

Procedural Integrity Rubric - Behavioral Consultation Case¹ -

Score	Level	Description
0	Incomplete	Task is not fully complete.
1	Needs Improvement	Task is completed, with some issues of concern (e.g., not completed in a timely fashion, fails to utilize best practice methodology, etc.).
2	Completed Satisfactorily	Task is completed accurately, with no areas of concern. Competency met.
3	Exemplary Performance	Task is completed at a level <i>above expectations</i> .

Procedural Integrity Tasks	Rating ²	Date
1. Obtains Informed Consent and Assent		
2. Conducts Problem Identification Interview with Teacher(s), identifying specific area(s) of concern		
3. Conducts systematic structured behavioral observation(s)		
4. Establishes adequate baseline in appropriate setting(s)		
5. Identifies posited function(s) of behavior(s)		
6. Conducts Problem Analysis Interview with Teacher(s)		
7. Collaboratively selects an intervention(s) to address behavior(s)		
8. Monitors intervention implementation for integrity, making any necessary changes or providing feedback		
9. Conducts Plan Evaluation Interview with teacher(s), reviewing the process, graphed data (baseline and intervention), and treatment integrity		
10. Provides recommendations to the teacher(s), including maintaining improvement, generalization, fading contingencies, and contacts for follow-up		
11. Completes written report, providing an approved copy to parent(s)/teacher(s) along with verbal feedback.		
TOTAL Procedural Integrity Score		
Total Ratings of '0'		

Instructor Signature

Candidate Signature

¹ This PIR should be utilized for cases in PSYC 5338, Practicum Courses (6396/6397), and Internship (6371).

² Ratings are assigned by the *course instructor* throughout the term. A signature from the candidate does not indicate agreement with the rating(s).

Procedural Integrity Rubric
 - PSYC 5370: Instructional Assessment Report -

Score	Level	Description
0	Incomplete	Task is not fully complete.
1	Needs Improvement	Task is completed, with some issues of concern (e.g., not completed in a timely fashion, fails to utilize best practice methodology, etc.).
2	Completed Satisfactorily	Task is completed accurately, with no areas of concern. Competency met.
3	Exemplary Performance	Task is completed at a level <i>above expectations</i> .

Procedural Integrity Tasks	Rating ³	Date
1. Obtains Informed Consent and Assent		
2. Conducts review of student record(s)		
3. Conducts Problem Identification Interview with Teacher(s), identifying specific area(s) of concern		
4. Conducts review of permanent products (supplied by teacher, parent)		
5. Conducts systematic structured behavioral observation(s) (minimum of two observations in setting of concern)		
6. Conducts student interview		
7. Specifically identifies academic skill deficit(s)		
8. Selects an empirically supported intervention(s) to address to deficit(s)		
9. Provides recommendations to the teacher(s), including implementation, generalization, maintenance, fading contingencies, and contacts for follow-up		
10. Completes written report, providing an approved copy to parent(s) along with verbal feedback.		
TOTAL Procedural Integrity Score		
Total Ratings of '0'		

 Instructor Signature

 Candidate Signature

³ Ratings are assigned by the *course instructor* throughout the term. A signature from the candidate does not indicate agreement with the rating(s).

PRACTICA

Practicum in School Psychology I – PSYC 6396

This course is designed to provide candidates with an opportunity to develop interviewing skills, provide an introduction to psychotherapy, and bring together theory and practice as a developing school psychologist. It is assumed that the candidate has had little to no experience in this domain. Ethical issues involved in clinical practice are thoroughly explored.

The approach taken in the course includes lectures, group discussions, demonstrations, role plays, mock interviews and counseling sessions, observation, audio/video recordings and reviews, individual and group supervision, volunteer placements, logs and journals, creative work products, other written assignments, and research presentations.

100 clock-hours are required, with the following approximate breakdown:

41 class	13 individual supervision
10 face-to-face adult role-play	36 applied hours (at school site)

Clock-hour logs are required. Initial counseling sessions with adult volunteers are videotaped for use during supervision, and sessions with students are audiotaped to facilitate supervision.

Practicum in School Psychology II – PSYC 6397

This course is designed to be the culminating practicum experience in interventions for SHSU school psychology candidates. Candidates will gain applied experience (i.e., an average of 8 hours per week over the semester; total of **at least 125 practicum hours**) within a school district placement. The instructor for this course will serve as the university supervisor, while one or more practitioners will serve as on-site supervisors. Emphasis is placed on obtaining experiences related to individual counseling, group counseling, behavioral consultation and intervention and academic consultation and intervention.

The approach taken in the course includes lectures, group discussions, demonstrations, role plays, observation, audio/video recordings and reviews, individual and group supervision, and logs. Candidates will also gain experience in presenting didactic materials to their peers on a given area of child/adolescent pathology.

Clock-hour logs are required. Field supervision provided by on-site supervisor; University group supervision provided in class.

Practica are aligned with the Rules of the Texas State Board of Examiners of Psychologists and the Ethical Guidelines of the American Psychological Association and the National Association of School Psychologists.

Procedural Integrity Rubric - *Counseling Case*⁴ -

Score	Level	Description
0	Incomplete	Task is not fully complete.
1	Needs Improvement	Task is completed, with some issues of concern (e.g., not completed in a timely fashion, fails to utilize best practice methodology, etc.).
2	Completed Satisfactorily	Task is completed accurately, with no areas of concern. Competency met.
3	Exemplary Performance	Task is completed at a level <i>above expectations</i> .

Procedural Integrity Tasks	Rating ⁵	Date
1. Obtains Informed Consent and Assent (in the case of a group, this is done for each member).		
2. Conducts intake interview with the individual client or with each individual member of a group.		
3. Explains confidentiality and its limitations prior to beginning any intervention work.		
4. Identifies deficits in functioning to be addressed.		
5. Develops an appropriate Treatment Plan.		
6. Establishes adequate therapeutic baseline.		
7. Selects an evidence-based intervention(s) to address difficulties in functioning.		
8. Performs ongoing data collection during the intervention implementation.		
9. Follows Treatment Plan, making adjustments as necessary based on ongoing data collection.		
10. Provides appropriate support to transition the client(s) from services, and gives appropriate community and/or school contacts for follow-up		
11. Provides IEP goal progress reports, when appropriate, or provides an approved written report of progress to parent(s) along with verbal feedback.		
TOTAL Procedural Integrity Score		
Total Ratings of '0'		

Instructor Signature

Candidate Signature

⁴ This PIR should be utilized for cases in Practicum Courses (6396/6397) and Internship (6371).

⁵ Ratings are assigned by the *course instructor* throughout the term. A signature from the candidate does not indicate agreement with the rating(s).

Assessment

Assessment of Intelligence and Achievement - PSYC 5395

The **PSY 5395: Assessment of Intelligence and Achievement** course is designed to acquaint candidates with the theory, problems, ethical standards, and techniques of administering individual tests of intelligence and achievement. Specifically, each candidate will learn to administer, score, and interpret the following instruments:

- Wechsler Adult Intelligence Scale – Fourth Edition (WAIS-IV)
- Woodcock-Johnson Tests of Cognitive Abilities, 4th Ed. (WJ-IV COG)
- Woodcock-Johnson Tests of Achievement – 4th Ed. (WJ-IV ACH)
- Kaufman Tests of Achievement, Third Edition (KTEA-3)
- Wechsler Intelligence Scale for Children, Fifth Edition (WISC-V)

Additionally, students will gain introductory practice with comprehensive case conceptualization, including cross-battery assessment, as well as integrated assessment report writing.

Approximately 50 practical clock-hours are accrued.

Advanced Child Assessment – PSYC 5398

The **PSYC 5398: Advanced Child Assessment** course is designed to provide candidates with in-depth, practical experience in the comprehensive assessment of infants, children, and adolescents. A variety of individual testing instruments will be reviewed, including those used to evaluate cognitive, social-emotional, behavioral and executive functioning. Alternative methods of assessment such as transdisciplinary play-based assessment (TPBA) and dynamic assessment, as well as techniques and instruments specifically designed for the evaluation of Autism and other disabled populations, will be emphasized. Candidates will also increase their knowledge of assessment of special populations and tests designed for this purpose such as the Autism Diagnostic Observation Schedule (ADOS) and the Autism Diagnostic Inventory – Revised (ADI-R). Heavy emphasis will be placed on practical application of skills, including review of case examples, presentation of practice cases, and small group work.

Approximately 50 practical clock-hours are accrued.

Practicum in Psychometrics - PSY 6394

The **Practicum in Psychometrics** course is designed to provide candidates with opportunities to further develop and apply skills in the administration, scoring, interpretation, and the reporting of psychological testing results with clients. Candidates can expect to experience a variety of instructional methods, including lecture during the initial month, cooperative learning (group work), and applied work occurring primarily in the form of supervision. Emphasis will be placed on case conceptualization, assessment for intervention, and improving integrated report writing skills. Candidates will gain supervised experience working within their school-based practicum site or at the on-campus Psychological Services Center (PSC).

One complete assessment case, from case conceptualization to feedback session, must be completed over the course of the semester. Additionally, portions of other evaluation cases may be completed at the discretion of the field supervisor. Approximately 125 practical clock-hours are accrued.

Procedural Integrity Rubric

- Assessment Case⁶ -

Score	Level	Description
0	Incomplete	Task is not fully complete.
1	Needs Improvement	Task is completed, with some issues of concern (e.g., not completed in a timely fashion, fails to utilize best practice methodology, etc.).
2	Completed Satisfactorily	Task is completed accurately, with no areas of concern. Competency met.
3	Exemplary Performance	Task is completed at a level <i>above expectations</i> .

Procedural Integrity Tasks	Rating ⁷	Date
Obtains informed consent and assent.		
Indicates explanation of confidentiality and its limitations prior to beginning any assessment work.		
Conducts a record review.		
Selects assessment procedures to address the referral question.		
Conducts a clinical interview of parent(s).		
Conducts a clinical interview of teacher(s) and other school personnel.		
Conducts a clinical interview of student.		
Conducts behavioral observations of the student.		
Assesses cognitive functioning using formal measures.		
Assesses academic functioning using formal measures.		
Assesses social/emotional/behavioral functioning using at least one broad rating scale (BASC-2, CBRS, ASEBA, etc.)		
Uses targeted brief rating scales specific to the referral question or in follow-up to the results obtained on the broad measure (e.g., RCMAS-2, CDI-2, ASDS)		
Provides interpretation of cognitive measure results.		
Provides interpretation of academic achievement measure results.		
Provides interpretation of social/emotional/behavioral measures' results.		
Provides an integrated interpretation of the assessment data gathered.		
Provides diagnostic determinations for TEA criteria and DSM-IV criteria, as appropriate.		
Provides recommendations that are supported by the data obtained.		
Report is completed within the designated timeline.		
Results and recommendations are reviewed with the parent(s), or appropriate attempts are made to review results, prior to the ARD meeting.		
Results and recommendations are presented in the ARD Meeting.		
TOTAL Procedural Integrity Score		
Total Ratings of '0'		

⁶ This PIR should be utilized for cases in the Practicum in Psychometrics course (6394).

⁷ Ratings are assigned by the *course instructor* throughout the term. A signature from the candidate does not indicate agreement with the rating(s).

**CANDIDATE
AND
PROGRAM
EVALUATION**

Professional Competencies (Adapted from Council of Chairs of Training Councils Model Policy)

Candidates in professional psychology programs should know that faculty, supervisors, and administrators have a professional, ethical, and potentially legal obligation to: (a) establish criteria and methods through which aspects of competence other than, and in addition to, a candidate's knowledge or skills may be assessed (including, but not limited to, emotional stability and well-being, interpersonal skills, professional development, and personal fitness for practice); and (b) ensure—insofar as possible—that the candidates who complete their programs are competent to manage future relationships (e.g., client, collegial, professional, public, scholarly, supervisory, teaching) in an effective and appropriate manner. Because of this commitment, and within the parameters of their administrative authority, the SSP Program faculty, training staff, supervisors, and administrators will not advance, graduate, or recommend, students or trainees with demonstrable problems (e.g., cognitive, emotional, psychological, interpersonal, technical, and ethical) that may interfere with professional competence to other programs, the profession, employers, or the public at large.

As such, within a developmental framework, and with due regard for the inherent power difference between candidates and faculty, candidates should know that their faculty and supervisors will evaluate their competence in areas other than, and in addition to, coursework, seminars, scholarship, comprehensive examinations, or related SSP Program requirements. These evaluative areas include, but are not limited to, demonstration of sufficient: (a) **interpersonal and professional competence** (e.g., the ways in which candidates relate to clients, peers, faculty, allied professionals, the public, and individuals from diverse backgrounds or histories); (b) **self-awareness, self-reflection, and self-evaluation** (e.g., knowledge of the content and potential impact of one's own beliefs and values on clients, peers, faculty, allied professionals, the public, and individuals from diverse backgrounds or histories); (c) **openness to processes of supervision** (e.g., the ability and willingness to explore issues that either interfere with the appropriate provision of care or impede professional development or functioning); and (d) **resolution of issues or problems that interfere with professional development or functioning in a satisfactory manner** (e.g., by responding constructively to feedback from supervisors or SSP Program faculty, by the successful completion of remediation plans, or by participating in personal therapy in order to resolve issues or problems).

This policy is applicable to settings and contexts in which evaluation would appropriately occur (e.g., coursework, research activities, practica, supervision), rather than settings and contexts that are unrelated to the formal process of education and training (e.g., nonacademic, social contexts). However, irrespective of setting or context, when a candidate's conduct clearly and

demonstrably (a) **impacts the performance, development, or functioning of the candidate**, (b) **negatively impacts the development of other candidates**, (c) **raises questions of an ethical nature**, (d) **represents a risk to public safety**, or (e) **damages the representation of psychology to the profession or public**, appropriate representatives of the SSP Program may review such conduct within the context of the Program's evaluation processes. In addition, both the **NASP Principles for Professional Ethics** and the **APA Ethics Code** require and the faculty expects that when candidates become aware of a colleague's unprofessional behavior or questionable ethical conduct they have an obligation to seek to resolve the situation in a manner consistent with the Principles and the Code. This may include addressing the concern directly with the colleague, consulting with faculty, informing clinical supervisors, and informing the Program Director.

When questions about a candidate's competency and behavior arise, the first question that the faculty will address is whether the problem can likely be remediated. If the faculty determines that the problem cannot be remediated, the student will not be permitted to continue in the Program. Otherwise, a remediation plan will be developed (see below).

Academic Training and Research Activities

It is essential that candidates learn to apply the highest ethical and professional standards to their academic and research activities. It is expected that candidate work be consistent with what would be expected at the person's developmental level. (For special provisions, see the University policy on Academic Honesty.) When academic performance or academic conduct determined to be unacceptable by the program faculty occurs, the first step will be an attempt at remediation. All remediation plans are predicated on the assumption that the difficulty can be remediated. If the faculty determine that the problem is unlikely to be remediated (e.g., the candidate has engaged in grossly unethical behavior) then the candidate will be terminated from the Program. Otherwise an appropriate remediation plan will be negotiated, similar to those developed for professional remediation as described below. A candidate who fails to attain an adequate level of professional competence, despite remediation efforts (or who is deemed incapable of remediation), will be terminated from the Program.

Professional Training

Graduate study in the Specialist in School Psychology Program involves applied professional training. Graduates of the SSP Program will be eligible to seek licensure to practice in the field

of school psychology, and ultimately may diagnose and treat educational and/or mental disorders. Thus, candidates must demonstrate competence in applied psychological practice. Upon enrollment in the SSP Program, candidates shall be granted professional practice privileges. These privileges mean that they are permitted to engage in professional, psychological activities as directed by and under the supervision of the relevant faculty members involved in their training. To maintain these privileges, candidates must continue to demonstrate a level of professional competence appropriate to their level of training and development.

Supervision/Oversight/Evaluation

SSP Program faculty must balance their roles as instructor/mentor with that of a gatekeeper who is responsible for credentialing future members of the profession. Consistent with the NASP Principles for Professional Ethics the APA Ethics Code, under which individuals practicing in the field of school psychology operate, concerns for social welfare must take priority over the needs of particular candidates. Consequently, in decisions where candidate needs are pitted against social harm that might be engendered by allowing a candidate to continue in the Program, the benefit of the doubt goes to the prevention of social harm. Under no circumstances will any faculty member be required to provide professional practice supervision to a student whom the faculty member believes to be professionally incompetent.

Professional competence encompasses the application of techniques of assessment, consultation, and intervention learned in the classroom to actual professional situations. However, it also includes appropriate professional demeanor, the practical application of ethical principles, the ability to work and consult effectively with other professionals, to function well as a supervisee, and to project an appropriate professional image to the public. This involves the development of both technical expertise and interpersonal skills. Deficiencies in professional competence may arise from (a) a lack of technical training, (b) interpersonal problems, (c) problems with supervision, (d) emotional instability, or (e) cognitive limitations. Failure to address such deficiencies may result in harm to clients, colleagues, or others to whom there is a professional obligation. Ethical principles obligate those practicing in the field of school psychology to refrain from engaging in professional activity when they know that personal problems may prevent them from performing competently. Professional supervisors, therefore, have a special obligation to note personal problems that may interfere with a supervisee's professional performance and to take appropriate remedial action (e.g., professional assistance or consultation, limiting or suspending work-related activities).

Remediation

All remediation plans are predicated on the assumption that the difficulty can be remediated. If the faculty determine that the problem is unlikely to be remediated (e.g., the candidate has engaged in grossly unethical behavior) then the candidate will be terminated from the SSP Program. Remediation procedures differ depending on the context in which the problem occurs. When difficulties occur in a non-practicum setting, the Program faculty will convene to develop a remediation plan. Areas in need of remediation may include, but are not limited to, classroom performance, professionalism, academic integrity, research ethics, and timeliness in completing work. Specific strategies for the remediation may include a reduction in coursework, completion of remedial coursework, and increased levels of supervision. When deficiencies specifically dealing with professional practice or practicum work are identified, the first steps are taken within the context of regular supervision. Specific strategies may include increased levels of supervision, a change of supervisor, a reduction in caseload, or a change of focus (e.g., more direct observation, more work in conjunction with the supervisor, additional practical coursework).

At the end of each academic year, and more frequently if necessary, the faculty will provide a written evaluation of each student enrolled in the SSP Program. Supervisors from outside practicum sites may be invited to participate at the discretion of the faculty. If at any time during the semester a supervisor identifies significant deficiencies and the candidate does not appear responsive to initial interventions, the faculty may be convened. Candidates will be given the opportunity to meet with the faculty to discuss specific feedback if they wish. If the faculty identifies functional deficiencies and the candidate has not been responsive to routine supervisory intervention, remedial measures will be considered.

Functional deficiencies may include a lack of technical expertise that would be expected of a candidate at the particular level of training, an inability or unwillingness to respond to supervision, inattention to ethical concerns, inappropriate professional demeanor, or deficits in interpersonal skills which impair the formation of appropriate professional relationships. Once such deficiencies are identified, it is incumbent upon the faculty to work with the candidate in formulating a remediation plan. The plan will be given to the candidate in writing and will include: (a) **a description of the specific deficiencies**, (b) **an outline of the goals of remediation**, (c) **a definition of specific strategies to be applied**, (d) **the criteria for successful remediation**, and (e) **the timeline for review of the remediation plan**.

Remediation plans must, by nature, be individualized to the candidate. Depending on the particular problem situation, a wide range of interventions might be applied. These may include: (a) **candidate-initiated change in behavior** (b) **additional field experience**, (c) **additional coursework**, (d) **additional practicum**, (e) **specialized tutoring/mentoring**, (f) **a reduction in**

caseload, or (g) **a leave of absence**. If personal or personality difficulties appear to be precipitating the functional deficiencies other strategies may include: (a) **mobilization of additional support systems**, (b) **an independent assessment**, or (c) **personal therapy**. If an independent assessment or therapy is required, the practitioner offering the services must be approved by the faculty. The candidate will bear the costs of these services, but the faculty will work with the candidate to try to find a qualified practitioner with reasonable rates. In no case, however, would a member of the Departmental faculty conduct psychological assessment or personal therapy of a candidate. It will be up to the faculty to determine whether remediation efforts can occur while some professional practice work continues or if professional practice privileges will be suspended pending remediation. Should any remediation issues remain unresolved at the time a candidate is applying for internship, it is the ethical obligation of the faculty to inform the potential internship site.

Withdrawal of Professional Practice Privileges

A candidate who fails to attain an adequate level of professional competence, despite remediation efforts (or who is deemed incapable of remediation), will have all professional practice privileges withdrawn. This will preclude successful completion of the Program. When professional practice privileges are withdrawn the student will receive written notice of the reasons, accompanied by copies of all prior clinical evaluations, remediation plans, and appeal procedures. The decision to withdraw professional practice privileges is one that is made by the entire Program faculty.

The professional standards to which candidates will be held with regard to professional competence, of course, will be a function of development. No candidate will be held to the standard of an experienced professional. Nevertheless, at any time, certain behaviors will constitute grounds for dismissal from the Program on the grounds of inadequate professional competence. Among these are: (a) **gross ethical violations of which the candidate is or reasonably should be aware**, (b) **professional practices which, by act or omission, constitute a serious threat to client welfare and which are inconsistent with the candidate's level of training and experience**, (c) **failure to recognize situations which necessitate consultation with one's supervisor**, and (d) **consistent failure to make adequate progress in the acquisition of clinical skills**.

Appeals of Withdrawal of Professional Practice Privileges or Termination from the Program

1. Candidates may request an appeal of such decisions directly to the Dean of the College of Humanities and Social Science in writing within 60 days of the decision.
2. The Dean, within 30 days of receipt of the appeal request, shall convene a panel of at least three psychologists (who must be licensed clinicians if the issue is clinical privileges) who are on the graduate faculty of SHSU to hear the appeal.
3. Only one member of the faculty committee responsible for the original decision may serve on the panel hearing the appeal.
4. The candidate shall be given 7 days written notice in advance of the scheduled appeal by first class mail addressed to her or his address of record.
5. The Dean will designate one member of the panel as the Chair and that Person shall conduct the proceeding.
 - (a) At the appeal proceeding one member of the faculty committee originally responsible for the decision will present the evidence on which the committee's decision was based.
 - (b) The candidate will have an opportunity to challenge the evidence offered on behalf of the committee and to present testimony and other relevant evidence on her or his behalf.
 - (c) Formal rules of evidence will not apply.
6. The panel's decision shall be by simple majority and will be final.
If the panel decides in favor of the candidate, the Program faculty will reverse any decision to withdraw privileges or terminate the candidate from the program and will determine the proper remedial training efforts for the candidate.

METHODS OF CANDIDATE/PROGRAM EVALUATION

Both formative and summative evaluations are utilized to evaluate candidates, Program elements, and the overall Program.

Evaluation of candidates may include but not be limited to:

- assessment reports
- audio and/or video recordings
- case reports
- class participation
- comprehensive exam
- consultation reports
- formal course examinations
- participation in department activities (e.g., SHASP, program meetings)
- presentations
- simulations/role plays
- supervisor ratings of candidate performance
- timeliness in completing program milestones (e.g., coursework, PRAXIS, internship)

Evaluation of the Program may include but not be limited to:

- annual review of program by candidates
- course evaluations
- graduate employment records
- intern evaluations
- practicum site evaluations
- practicum student evaluations
- programmatic issues raised by candidates
- review of candidate publication and presentation activity
- supervisor evaluations

University Grading System and Academic Probation

Sam Houston State University generally uses the following grades for graduate courses:

- A: Academic excellence
- B: Acceptable performance
- C: Insufficient achievement, but is a passing grade
- F: Failure

In addition, the following grades are applied for special courses and/or under special circumstances:

- CR/NC: *Credit (CR) or No Credit (NC)*; applied to thesis and dissertation courses
- IP: *In progress*; applied to courses that cannot be completed in one semester (e.g., dissertation).
- W: *Withdrawn*; applied to resignation or withdrawal from final class (a graduate student who elects to drop all courses—i.e., resign from the University—must notify the Registrar’s Office and process a resignation request.
- X: *Incomplete*; applied when a student does not complete course requirements in the semester when the class was offered due to extenuating circumstances. This grade automatically changes to a “F” if requirements are not met during the next semester.
- Q: *Drop*; applied when a student drops a course after the deadline for receiving a “W” but before the final drop deadline during the semester.

Please note a student who receives a grade of “C” will be placed on a remediation plan to ensure competency in the relevant domain. Student who receives two grades of “C” or a grade of “F” in any course within the academic program will be terminated from graduate studies (see SHSU Academic Policy 910312; Appendix B).

Faculty/Supervisor Ratings of Ongoing Performance

Faculty and field supervisors closely evaluate candidate performance to better monitor and promote student skill development. Each semester, faculty (and field supervisors when appropriate) will use the Candidate Work Dispositions form (see below), the Technology Competencies form (see below), and any applicable course-related evaluation materials and forms to evaluate candidate performance. Ratings and results of performance are reviewed by the Program faculty at the end of each semester to track student progress in general, but they are also used to identify areas in which the candidate may need to improve and areas that should be the focus of subsequent practicum training and professional supervision.

Leaves of Absence

Graduate candidates who have not completed their formal course requirements are expected to enroll continuously in the Program, taking courses in each consecutive Fall and Spring semester after initial registration. Candidates who do not expect to be enrolled should request a leave of absence in a letter to the Program faculty stating the reason for the continued leave. A leave of absence is granted at the discretion of the Dean.

During the internship year, this requirement is satisfied by the Program requirement that students enroll in 3 credit hours of Internship (PSYC 6371) each semester, Fall and Spring. Candidates also are subject to the six-year limitation for degree completion. During a leave of absence, a candidate cannot make use of college or university resources, nor can a candidate take comprehensive exams or defend a proposal or thesis.

INTERNSHIP

COMPREHENSIVE EXAM

During the internship year, the candidate is to take the Praxis II School Psychology Exam which serves as the comprehensive examination requirement for the SHSU SSP Program in addition to being a requirement for obtaining your license to practice. Each candidate is responsible for scheduling and paying for their own examination, and they are further expected to have scores reported directly to SHSU through the use of the institution's ID code 6643. There is a deadline from the College for score reporting related to graduation. Candidates need to be aware of such deadlines as exceptions will not be requested by the Program or the Department.

Currently, the Texas State Board of Examiners of Psychologists (TSBEP) accepts the Praxis II School Psychology exam in lieu of further knowledge assessment. Candidates are advised to have scores sent to TSBEP in preparation for their licensure application.

More information about the exam and registration for it is available through the Educational Testing Service (ETS):

www.ets.org/praxis

Recently, some candidates have preferred to take the exam initially during the summer term prior to the start of the Internship year. This way, if another examination attempt is required it will not affect graduation.

Applying for Internship

Each fall semester your graduate student organization, the Sam Houston Association of School Psychology, or SHASP, will schedule a meeting for candidates and faculty to discuss requirements and application procedures. It is the responsibility of each individual candidate to locate their respective Internship site. *The Program faculty will retain the **final approval** of the Internship site to insure the quality of this final training experience.* Program faculty maintain a record of Internship sites that highlights the site's philosophy, type of experiences available, number of Interns accepted, and so on. Internship sites that will support both breadth and depth of experience, and especially sites that can support candidates' ability to meet the NASP requirements, will be highlighted and emphasized.

Some districts in the Houston area determine their choice of Interns by as early as November or shortly thereafter. Other districts wait until January, February or later to make their decisions. It is important to apply to a number of districts and to seek information from the districts early in the process. Attendance at the Texas Association of School Psychologists (TASP) Annual Conference gives candidates opportunities to talk with districts seeking Interns. It is possible to complete the Internship at an out-of-state location.

Requirements for SSP Program Approval of the School Psychology Internship Site. The most important requirement for an internship program is a commitment on the part of the school administration and the school psychological services staff to the training of an intern. The intern must be a *graduate student* first and a *deliverer of services* second. The following is a list of requirements for an approvable internship site.

- a. The internship site must have a sufficiently diverse pupil population to ensure that the intern receives exposure to a wide variety of educational and behavioral problems as well as regular education programs. This population must include students between the ages of 3 and 21 years.
- b. The internship site must have access to a special education program in which there are specific services for a variety of exceptional children and in which the intern will receive experiences involving all classifications of children with disabilities and special education programs.
- c. The internship site must have the services of at least one school psychologist who meets Texas licensure requirements as a specialist in school psychology. ***The intern supervisor must be a full-time employee of the hiring district or cooperative which employs the intern and must provide direct school psychological services to students, parents and staff.***
- d. The internship site must have a mutual agreement between the administration and the intern supervisor for offering or continuing to offer an internship program, which stresses training, not service. The intern must not be given the same work load as a certified school psychologist.

- e. The internship site may hire interns only if the intern supervisor and the administration of the hiring district have agreed upon the candidate.
- f. The internship site must make it possible for the intern supervisor to provide direct and personal supervision of the intern for a minimum of two hours per week.
- g. Upon verbal agreement between the intern and the hiring site, and with approval of the SSP Program, the intern and the hiring district must enter into a written contractual agreement. To acknowledge the internship offer, the hiring district should provide the intern with a letter detailing, at minimum, the length of the contract (e.g., typically this would be for one academic year {August/September through May/June} and a minimum of 1200 hours) and the expected minimum salary. If there are specific details to be determined, the letter should detail the procedures to be undergone and the approximate timeline for resolution. The intern should then, in kind, reply to the district in writing of their intention to serve as an intern for that district during the coming school year. The exchange of written intent will be considered binding by all parties unless the terms of the contract change substantially (e.g., the salary offer for the intern is withdrawn). *It is inappropriate for an intern to abandon, without cause⁸, an internship site once an agreement has been reached. Such change initiated by the intern will not be approved by the SSP Program and, consequently, the intern will not be approved for enrollment in the PSYC 6371 Internship in Psychology course. It is the responsibility of the intern to establish immediate contact with the Program Director if for any reason the established internship arrangement is in jeopardy.*

The intern must be on the same schedule and calendar as other full time school psychologists on the staff during the regular school year. When appropriate to training and if not in opposition to district policy or negotiated agreements, the intern is expected to participate in meetings and programs beyond the normal workday and week in the same manner expected of other professionals. The intern is expected to meet these demands without concern for an hourly schedule. These specifications are in no way different from the expectation for regular staff members, but are often overlooked when considering the needs of the psychological services program.

⁸ Cause for leaving an internship site must be related to a breach in verbal understanding of the terms of the internship experience including, but not limited to, removal of or substantial decrease in promised salary, substantial alteration of supervision to be provided to the intern which would not allow the candidate to meet licensure or Program graduation requirements, or substantial alteration of professional experiences to be gained which would not allow the candidate to meet licensure or Program graduation requirements. Cause for leaving the internship and requesting a leave of absence from the Program might include, but not be limited to, unexpected health concerns of the candidate or unforeseen family circumstances requiring postponement of graduate work.

Qualifications and Requirements for the Licensed Specialist in School Psychology (LSSP) or Site Intern Supervisor. It is essential that the school psychologist on-site intern supervisor possess specific skills and knowledge essential for a successful school psychology internship experience.

- a. Possession of a current TSBEP license and/or school psychologist endorsement in the state the internship is located.
- b. A minimum of three academic years of experience as an LSSP or equivalent⁹.
- c. The intern supervisor must be employed as a full-time LSSP in the district or cooperative hiring the intern and provide direct school psychological services to students, parents and staff. *LSSPs employed on a contractual or casework basis will not be approved as intern supervisors.*
- d. Previous employment of the school psychologist in the hiring district or cooperative for a period of at least one school year prior to the hiring of the intern. The employment must have been full-time in the hiring district or cooperative.

Desirable Features of Intern Supervisors.

- a. Ability to work independently.
- b. Ability to provide a model of professional ethics and responsibility to the intern.
- c. Ability to communicate effectively and to consider opinions and beliefs of the intern and other professional persons with whom they come in contact.
- d. Ability to work effectively with members of other disciplines in the school and community.
- e. Ability to develop a plan of supervision for an intern, taking into consideration the intern's skills and needs, as well as the state and local requirements for an internship.
- f. Ability and willingness to support the intern in difficult situations.
- g. Knowledge of specific areas of exceptionality in terms of educational, social, and vocational needs and limitations.
- h. Knowledge of referral sources and other resources in the community.
- i. Ability to supervise the work of interns according to the highest standards of thoroughness, competence, ethics, and interprofessional relationships.
- j. Membership in at least one state and/or national school psychology organization.
- k. Active participation in a regional school psychology organization
- l. Thorough knowledge of professional standards and ethics.

⁹ The number of years of experience is a requirement of the Texas State Board of Examiners of Psychologists (TSBEP). It is a further requirement of the SSP Program that the supervisor has a minimum of one of these three years practicing in the state in which the internship is to take place. Any exceptions to the SSP Program requirement will be made following a meeting of the Program faculty with majority approval granted.

Internship Guidelines

The Internship in school psychology (PSYC 6371) is the final training component that requires the Intern to take a full-time school psychology position (or part-time position for two consecutive years) that is closely supervised and comprehensive in scope. The Internship provides an opportunity for the Intern to develop a clear professional identity and explore the full responsibilities of a practicing school psychologist while having solid supervisory support from an experienced school psychology field supervisor. The Internship is typically the point in training where candidates fully integrate all previous training experiences. It is designed to meet the specific training objectives of the National Association of School Psychologists (NASP) and the licensing requirements of the Texas State Board of Examiners of Psychologists (TSBEP). The Intern is required to accumulate at least 1200 practice hours within a school setting, and the SSP Program strongly encourages at least 25% of the total hours to be completed in activities of *direct client contact*.

It is preferable that all coursework be completed before the Internship year. However, if that is impossible for some reason, then no more than two courses should be remaining once the Internship begins. Only one course per semester may be taken in addition to the Internship course.

The Internship is aligned with the Rules of the Texas State Board of Examiners of Psychologists and the Ethical Guidelines of the American Psychological Association and the National Association of School Psychologists.

- ❖ An Internship is designed to provide the trainee with a sequence of experiences that will enhance professional attitudes, responsibility, communication skills, critical judgment and technical skills. The Internship is the culminating training experience and follows a programmed sequence of experiences including practice and field experiences. The Internship placement must allow the Intern the opportunity for carrying out major professional functions under appropriate supervision.
- ❖ The Internship provides training in a range of intervention and assessment activities conducted with and for children and youth needing school psychology services.
- ❖ The Internship agency employs a clearly designated and actively licensed psychologist/licensed specialist in school psychology who is responsible for the integrity and quality of the Internship. For any portion of the Internship completed outside a school setting, an appropriately credentialed psychologist must supervise.

- ❖ Reports by the Intern to consumers must be cosigned by the supervising psychologists/licensed specialists in school psychology.
- ❖ The Internship includes an average of at least two hours per week of regularly scheduled, formal, face-to-face supervision ***with at least one hour being exclusively individual supervision.***
- ❖ A field supervisor shall not supervise more than ***two*** Interns in one semester.
- ❖ In addition to the scheduled supervision, there is additional opportunity for learning experiences that could include case conferences, professional issues seminars, in-service training, and other activities approved by the field supervisor.
- ❖ The total Internship experience may occur in more than one setting but must include a minimum of 1200 hours and must be completed within 24 months. At least 600 of the 1200 total hours must be completed in a “school setting.”
- ❖ Supervision should comprise at least 10% (120 hours) of the Intern’s total time (assuming 1200 total hours), although some of this time may occur during times outside the regular workday.
- ❖ At least 25% (300 hours) of the Intern’s time is in direct client contact.
- ❖ School psychology internships require a full-time experience for either the academic or calendar year or a half-time experience for two years.

Goals

- ❖ Provide direct interventions to school-aged young people, parents, teachers, and other school/professional personnel employing techniques such as assessment, psychotherapy, and consultation.
- ❖ Provide indirect intervention services to school-aged young people, parents, teachers, and other school/professional personnel employing techniques such as consultation, in-service training, and program development.
- ❖ Demonstrate skills as a data-based problem solver and program evaluator as evidenced in assessment, program development, and program evaluation.

- ❖ Demonstrate skills in knowledge of human diversity/individual differences when working with school-aged young people, parents, teachers, and other school/professional personnel.

Internship *Minimum* Requirements

Breadth of Experiences

Interns should have experiences at *all levels* of service, including Infant/Preschool, Elementary School, Middle/Jr. High School, and High School. For the professional skills evaluated through the Portfolio Evaluation (i.e., assessment, counseling, behavioral intervention, and academic consultation) candidates should provide evidence of work within both the early developmental ages (i.e., Infant/Preschool and Elementary School) and the later developmental ages (i.e., Middle/Jr. High School and High School). Faculty can, at any time throughout the Portfolio Case Review sequence, recommend using a different case for the final Portfolio submission. Therefore, candidates are encouraged to identify a minimum of three potential cases for each of the four submission areas in the event that their initially submitted case is deemed for whatever reason to be unacceptable. Other required internship activities documented on the Internship Plan of Practice form should occur across all developmental levels of service as much as possible within the scope of the Intern’s work assignments and with the understanding that some activities (e.g., Manifestation Determination Reviews) occur far more frequently in some levels than in others.

Required Activities

Assessment¹⁰

Emotional Disturbance/ADHD Evaluations	5
Specific Learning Disability Evaluations	2
Intellectual Disability (Including IQ/Ach/AdBeh)	2

Counseling¹¹

Individual Therapy Cases	5
Group Therapy Cases	2

¹⁰ *At least three* of the assessment cases reported should be Full Independent Evaluations (FIEs), which means that the Intern has completed the assessment in its *entirety*, including interviews, observations, cognitive and academic achievement testing, and measurements of social, emotional, and behavioral functioning.

¹¹ *At least three* of the counseling cases reported should include the Intern as the lead or co-lead therapist, and as such the Intern is expected to have drafted the treatment goals and objectives as well as monitored the progress of the counseling intervention.

<u>Behavioral Consultation¹²</u>	
Teacher Consultation Cases	3
Parent Consultation Case	1
<u>Academic Intervention¹³</u>	
Teacher Consultation Cases	3
<u>Behavior Intervention Plan Development</u>	
Any individual student	4
<u>Functional Behavioral Assessment</u>	
Any individual student	4
<u>Manifestation Determination Review (MDR) Meetings</u>	
Attendance only	3
<u>IEP Team (ARD) Meetings</u>	
Attendance only	6
Meeting Facilitator	2

Recommended Professional Activities

Professional Development/In-Service Training *Participation*
Professional Development/In-Service Training *Presentation*
Attendance at the Texas Association of School Psychologists (TASP) annual conference
Building Intervention Team Meeting Participation (sit as a team member if possible)
Attendance at one or more *national* conferences
Involvement in RtI development and/or implementation (either academic or behavior, or both)
Crisis Intervention Training and/or program development
Participation on the district crisis intervention team

¹² *At least three* of the behavioral consultation cases reported should follow best practices expectations with regard to baseline data collection, ongoing data collection, and monitoring of treatment integrity and effectiveness – all performed under the direct guidance of the Intern.

¹³ *All three* of the academic intervention cases reported should follow best practices expectations with regard to conducting an initial assessment of academic skill to determine specific areas of weakness as well as ongoing data collection and monitoring of treatment integrity and effectiveness – all performed under the direct guidance of the Intern.

Internship Plan of Practice (IPOP)

Activity Completed	Client Name	Developmental Age Level	DOB	School	Date
Assessment Cases					
<u>Emotional Disturbance/ADHD</u> (assessment requiring, at minimum, an examination of psychological functioning)	1. M. Martinez 2. 3. 4. 5.	Elementary	06/06/00	Anywhere	11/09/11
<u>Learning Disability</u> (SSP Intern to administer the IQ and Achievement)	1. 2.				
<u>Intellectual Disability</u> (SSP Intern to administer IQ, Achievement & Ad. Beh.)	1. 2.				
Counseling Cases					
Individual	1. 2. 3. 4. 5.				
Group	1. 2.				
Academic Consultation Cases					
Teacher	1. 2. 3.				

Behavioral Intervention Cases					
Teacher	1.				
	2.				
	3.				
Parent	1.				
Behavior Intervention Plan (BIP) Development					
Individual	1.				
	2.				
	3.				
	4.				
Functional Behavioral Assessments (FBA)					
Individual	1.				
	2.				
	3.				
	4.				
Manifestation Determination Review (MDR)					
Attendance only	1.				
	2.				
	3.				
IEP Team (ARD) Meetings					
Attendance only	1.				
	2.				
	3.				
	4.				
	5.				
	6.				
Facilitator	1.				
	2.				

Supervisor Signature: _____ Date: _____

Intern Signature: _____ Date: _____

Responsibilities

Field-Based Supervisor

The Field-Based Supervisor is a full-time employee of, or under full-time contract by, the school district/cooperative. The Field-Based Supervisor is required by the Texas State Board of Examiners of Psychologists (TSBEP) to have at least three years of work experience as a practicing school psychologist and should hold a valid credential as a Licensed Specialist in School Psychology issued by TSBEP. Further, the SSP Program requires that the supervisor have at least one full-time year of work experience within the district in which the internship is being provided. It is, of course, preferable that the Field-Based Supervisor have some experience as a supervisor as well. The Field-Based Supervisor is expected to fulfill the following responsibilities:

- Negotiate with the Intern an Internship Plan consistent with Program goals and objectives that includes the minimum recommended experiences.
- Be responsible for no more than two (2) Interns at any given time.
- Provide an average of two hours of direct supervision each week per Intern. Be available for supervision of the Intern on an as-needed basis throughout the work week.
- Systematically evaluate whether the Intern has met specific Program goals and objectives as well as field-based requirements.
- Provide Intern with a broad range of professional experiences.
- Contact the University Supervisor if any significant problem occurs with the Intern's assignment.
- Participate in formal evaluations of Intern's progress with University Supervisor at two points during the year.
- Conduct supervision in a manner consistent with current legal/ethical professional standards.
- Ensures that the school district provides appropriate support including:
 - commitment to the Internship as a *training* experience.
 - written contractual agreement specifying the period of appointment and the terms of compensation,
 - expense reimbursement consistent with policies pertaining to agency school psychologists,
 - a schedule of appointment consistent with that of the agency school psychologists (e.g., calendar, participation in continuing professional development activities,
 - provision for participation in continuing professional development activities,
 - an appropriate work environment including adequate supplies, materials, secretarial services, and office space, and
 - release time for Internship supervisors

University Supervisor

The University Supervisor is a representative of the Sam Houston State University SSP Program. The University Supervisor should hold a valid Licensed Specialist in School Psychology credential issued by the Texas State Board of Examiners of Psychologists. The responsibilities of the University Supervisor will include the following:

- Be responsible for ensuring that the district has a commitment to the Internship as a training experience.
- Monitor the Internship to ensure it is consistent with Program goals.
- Limit supervision provided by Field Supervisors to no more than two (2) Interns at any time.
- Maintain an ongoing relationship with the Intern and the Intern's field-based supervisor and provide at least one field-based contact per semester for each Intern.
- Be available to Interns to provide mediation of difficulties, technical assistance, and any additional services needed.
- Be responsible for documenting that the Intern is meeting the Program objectives in a manner that is consistent with current legal/ethical standards of the profession.
- Provide documentation to the University when the student has completed all requirements of the Internship, including a final course grade, through collaboration with the student and field supervisor.

Intern

The Intern must have completed sufficient coursework required by the SSP Program and have approval of the Program Director and University Supervisor to complete the Internship. The Intern is expected to fulfill the following responsibilities:

- Review with the Field-Based Supervisor all Intern duties, supervision, work hours, work space, supplies, etc.
- Review and jointly create with the Field-Based Supervisor an Internship Plan consistent with Program goals and objectives.
- Complete Internship Logs and obtain Field-Based Supervisor's signature frequently.
- Notify the University Supervisor of any major change of assignment or difficulties associated with the Internship.

PORTFOLIO REVIEW

The Sam Houston State University School Psychology Program employs a systematic process to ensure that all candidates, prior to the conclusion of the internship experience, are able to integrate domains of knowledge and applied professional skills in delivering a comprehensive range of services that result in measurable positive outcomes regarding the educational and mental health needs of children.

Over the course of the internship year, candidates are required to submit portions of a portfolio which will ultimately include an academic assessment and intervention case along with either a behavioral intervention case or a counseling case. The portfolio is evaluated by a committee of Program faculty to ensure that candidates are able to integrate theory and practice in delivering appropriately *effective* services. The portfolio as a whole will be evaluated as exceeding expected competencies, meeting expected competencies, or below expected competency levels for an entry-level professional in the field of school psychology. Each portfolio case will be evaluated using the following methodologies:

1. Procedural Integrity Rating (PIR) form
2. Faculty Rating form (FRF)
3. Data-based case evaluation (as appropriate: GAS, effect size, and/or Percentage of Non-Overlapping Data points will be used to assess case monitoring and effectiveness of the intervention implemented.

The evaluation of each portfolio case, along with timeliness in meeting other deadlines and regularity with University supervisor communication, will determine the candidate's fall and spring semester internship course grades.

The final portfolio will be a collection of electronically submitted documents that are clearly marked to allow for better organization and access to materials and possible future revising. The portfolio should include:

- **Academic Intervention Case**
 - Candidates should include a sanitized version of a final academic assessment/consultation report from a case that is representative of the *academic* assessment and intervention experiences they have obtained during their internship year.
 - While reports will take on the special nuances of the school district in which the consultation took place, all reports should include the following minimum sections: reason for referral, background information and record review, problem identification, behavioral observations, student interview, problem analysis, intervention implementation, plan evaluation (including positive impact), summary, and recommendations.

- **Behavioral Intervention Case**

- Candidates should include a sanitized version of a final consultation report from a consultation case that is representative of the *behavioral consultation* experiences they have obtained during their internship year.
- While reports will take on the special nuances of the school district in which the consultation took place, all reports should include the following minimum sections: reason for referral, background information and record review, problem identification, behavioral observations, baseline data, posited function(s) of the behavior(s), problem analysis, intervention plan, plan evaluation (including positive impact), summary, and recommendations.
- In addition, candidates should attach functional behavioral assessment (FBA) information and/or report as well as behavioral intervention plan (BIP) information and paperwork developed *as part of this behavioral intervention case* (e.g., not developed as part of a traditional assessment or developed in isolation without a co-occurring behavioral consultation).

The Portfolio Review of the two cases submitted is actually a sequence of evaluations conducted over the course of the internship year (please refer to the PSYC 6371 course syllabus for specific information regarding due dates, etc.). Candidates are to select the case that best represents the work they have completed thus far in each area, and those case reports will be edited by one faculty member. Candidates are to apply the feedback as they continue to complete the submitted case and other cases throughout the course of the internship. Unless the faculty reviewer recommends the use of a different case, the initial case submitted may be used during each revision sequence. The final cases submitted as part of the Portfolio Review will be evaluated by two faculty members. Faculty will use the PIR and the FRF documents for each respective case (see documents that follow). Candidates are well-advised to carefully review these forms at the onset of their internship experience and then again periodically throughout their Internship year as they work to complete internship requirements and select cases that best represent their *best practices* field experiences. A candidate should *never* receive a rating of 0 on any PIR form. Interns should consult with the University Internship Supervisor for ongoing guidance required for the Portfolio review submissions.

In addition to the PIR and FRF ratings, cases submitted with data will also be evaluated for the level of positive impact on student learning. **Candidates are expected to report both baseline and intervention data for each Portfolio cases submitted in both textual and graphic form.** This information can easily be used to calculate the candidate's positive impact on student learning through the use of several different analyses, with the two most common methods including the Percentage of Non-Overlapping Data (PND) and Effect Size. The PND is used to show how many data points during the intervention phase were above the most extreme baseline data point (highest point if the desired effect is an increase; lowest point if the desired effect is a reduction). Effect size calculation for the cases could be based on either standard mean

difference between baseline and intervention phases or percentage of treatment reduction from baseline. **Candidates are expected to calculate and report their positive impact on student(s) for each Portfolio case submitted.** SSP Program faculty would encourage candidates to select cases that not only meet the procedural and standards-based expectations, but that also demonstrate moderate intervention effectiveness for PND (i.e., 50-70% or higher), effect size (.8 or higher) and R^2 (.20 or higher). Candidates are expected to demonstrate moderate intervention effectiveness for at least one of the two cases submitted.

Procedural Integrity Rubric
- *Academic Intervention Case*¹⁴ -

Score	Level	Description
0	Incomplete	Task is not fully complete.
1	Needs Improvement	Task is completed, with some issues of concern (e.g., not completed in a timely fashion, fails to utilize best practice methodology, etc.).
2	Completed Satisfactorily	Task is completed accurately, with no areas of concern. Competency met.
3	Exemplary Performance	Task is completed at a level <i>above expectations</i> .

Procedural Integrity Tasks	Rating ¹⁵	Date
1. Obtains Informed Consent and Assent		
2. Conducts review of student record(s)		
3. Conducts Problem Identification Interview with Teacher(s), identifying specific area(s) of concern		
4. Conducts review of permanent products (supplied by teacher, parent)		
5. Conducts systematic structured behavioral observation(s) (minimum of two observations in setting of concern)		
6. Conducts student interview		
7. Specifically identifies academic skill deficit(s)		
8. Conducts Problem Analysis Interview with Teacher(s)		
9. Collaboratively selects an empirically-supported intervention(s) to address behavior(s)		
10. Monitors intervention implementation for integrity, making any necessary changes or providing feedback		
11. Conducts Plan Evaluation Interview with teacher(s), reviewing the process, graphed data (baseline and intervention), and treatment integrity		
12. Provides recommendations to the teacher(s), including maintaining improvement, generalization, fading contingencies, and contacts for follow-up		
13. Completes written report, providing an approved copy to parent(s)/teacher(s) along with verbal feedback.		
TOTAL Procedural Integrity Score		
Total Ratings of '0'		

Instructor Signature

Candidate Signature

¹⁴ This PIR should be utilized for cases in Practicum Courses (6396/6397) and Internship (6371).

¹⁵ Ratings are assigned by the *course instructor* throughout the term. A signature from the candidate does not indicate agreement with the rating(s).

Portfolio Review
Faculty Rating Form
Academic Intervention Case

Candidate	Brenda Juarez	Date	
Placement	Denton ISD	Reviewer	

Performance Rating	
<i>(Based on quality of professional practice expected during Internship)</i>	
P (1 point)	Pass (Average to Excellent)
NP (0 points)	No Pass (Below Average to Poor)
NI (0 points)	Not Included in the case presented
NA	Not Applicable to the case presented

II. Data-Based Decision-Making and Accountability					Rating
A. Demonstrates skill in the use of curriculum-based, norm-referenced and non-norm-referenced assessment measures.	P ₁	NP ₀	NI ₀	NA	
B. Demonstrates skill in applying a variety of data collection strategies.	P ₁	NP ₀	NI ₀	NA	
C. Demonstrates skill in applying technological resources to support data collection, data management, and the interpretation and presentation of results.	P ₁	NP ₀	NI ₀	NA	
D. Demonstrates the ability to apply results of data collection in order to design services and programs.	P ₁	NP ₀	NI ₀	NA	
E. Demonstrates the ability to implement services and programs based on the results of assessment data collection efforts.	P ₁	NP ₀	NI ₀	NA	
F. Demonstrates the ability to evaluate the response to services and programs provided as a result of assessment data collection efforts.	P ₁	NP ₀	NI ₀	NA	

<i>Total Domain Score</i>					0
III. Consultation and Collaboration					Rating
A. Demonstrates skill in consulting, collaborating, and communicating with others in order to design services and programs.	P ₁	NP ₀	NI ₀	NA	
B. Demonstrates skill in consulting, collaborating, and communicating with others in order to implement services and programs.	P ₁	NP ₀	NI ₀	NA	
C. Demonstrates skill in consulting, collaborating, and communicating with others in order to evaluate services and programs.	P ₁	NP ₀	NI ₀	NA	
<i>Total Domain Score</i>					0
IV. Student Level Services					
4.1: Interventions and Instructional Support to Develop Academic Skills					Rating
A. The candidate, in collaboration with others, demonstrates skills necessary to use assessment and data-collection methods that support cognitive and academic skills.	P ₁	NP ₀	NI ₀	NA	
B. The candidate, in collaboration with others, demonstrates skills necessary to implement services that support cognitive and academic skills.	P ₁	NP ₀	NI ₀	NA	
C. The candidate, in collaboration with others, demonstrates skills necessary to evaluate services that support cognitive and academic skills.	P ₁	NP ₀	NI ₀	NA	
<i>Total Domain Score</i>					0
VI. Direct and Indirect Services: Systems Level Services - Family-School Collaboration					Rating
A. The candidate, in collaboration with others, demonstrates skills necessary to design services that respond to culture and context and facilitate family and school partnership for enhancement of academic and social-behavioral outcomes for children.	P ₁	NP ₀	NI ₀	NA	
B. The candidate, in collaboration with others, demonstrates skills necessary to implement services that respond to culture and context and facilitate family and school partnership for enhancement of academic and social-behavioral outcomes for children.	P ₁	NP ₀	NI ₀	NA	
<i>Total Domain Score</i>					0
VII. Diversity					Rating
A. Demonstrates skills necessary to provide professional services that promote effective functioning for individuals, families, and schools with diverse characteristics, cultures, and backgrounds.	P ₁	NP ₀	NI ₀	NA	

B. Demonstrates skills necessary to provide professional services <i>sensitive</i> to diverse characteristics, cultures, and backgrounds across multiple contexts.	P ₁	NP ₀	NI ₀	NA	
<i>Total Domain Score</i>		0			
VIII. Research, Program Evaluation, Legal, Ethical and Professional Practice					
8.1: Research and Program Evaluation					Rating
A. Demonstrates skills necessary to evaluate and apply research as a foundation for service delivery.	P ₁	NP ₀	NI ₀	NA	
B. The candidate, in collaboration with others, demonstrates skills necessary to use various techniques and technology resources for data collection, measurement, analysis, and program evaluation to support effective practices <i>at the individual level</i> .	P ₁	NP ₀	NI ₀	NA	
C. The candidate, in collaboration with others, demonstrates skills necessary to use various techniques and technology resources for data collection, measurement, analysis, and program evaluation to support effective practices <i>at the group level</i> .	P ₁	NP ₀	NI ₀	NA	
<i>Total Domain Score</i>		0			
8.2: Legal, Ethical, and Professional Practice					Rating
A. Demonstrates skills necessary to provide services consistent with ethical, legal, and professional standards.	P ₁	NP ₀	NI ₀	NA	
B. Demonstrates skills necessary to collaborate with other professionals.	P ₁	NP ₀	NI ₀	NA	
C. Demonstrates professionally relevant communication skills.	P ₁	NP ₀	NI ₀	NA	
<i>Total Domain Score</i>		0			
Total Competency Areas Passed		0		Percentage	
		of		0.00%	
		23			

OVERALL RATING

Academic Consultation & Intervention Case

Final Performance Rating	
1	Very Poor
2	Poor
3	Average
4	Good
5	Very Good

Overall rating of Academic Intervention Case	Rate 1 to 5			
	<input style="width: 80px; height: 20px;" type="text"/>			

NOTES:

Reviewer Signature

Date

Procedural Integrity Rubric
- Behavioral Intervention Case¹⁶ -

Score	Level	Description
0	Incomplete	Task is not fully complete.
1	Needs Improvement	Task is completed, with some issues of concern (e.g., not completed in a timely fashion, fails to utilize best practice methodology, etc.).
2	Completed Satisfactorily	Task is completed accurately, with no areas of concern. Competency met.
3	Exemplary Performance	Task is completed at a level <i>above expectations</i> .

Procedural Integrity Tasks	Rating ¹⁷	Date
12. Obtains Informed Consent and Assent		
13. Conducts Problem Identification Interview with Teacher(s), identifying specific area(s) of concern		
14. Conducts systematic structured behavioral observation(s)		
15. Establishes adequate baseline in appropriate setting(s)		
16. Identifies posited function(s) of behavior(s)		
17. Conducts Problem Analysis Interview with Teacher(s)		
18. Collaboratively selects an intervention(s) to address behavior(s)		
19. Monitors intervention implementation for integrity, making any necessary changes or providing feedback		
20. Conducts Plan Evaluation Interview with teacher(s), reviewing the process, graphed data (baseline and intervention), and treatment integrity		
21. Provides recommendations to the teacher(s), including maintaining improvement, generalization, fading contingencies, and contacts for follow-up		
22. Completes written report, providing an approved copy to parent(s)/teacher(s) along with verbal feedback.		
TOTAL Procedural Integrity Score		
Total Ratings of '0'		

Instructor Signature

Candidate Signature

¹⁶ This PIR should be utilized for cases in PSYC 5338, Practicum Courses (6396/6397), and Internship (6371).

¹⁷ Ratings are assigned by the *course instructor* throughout the term. A signature from the candidate does not indicate agreement with the rating(s).

Portfolio Review
Faculty Rating Form
Behavioral Intervention Case

Candidate	<i>Candidate</i>	Date	
Placement	<i>School District</i>	Reviewer	<i>Faculty</i>

Performance Rating	
<i>(Based on quality of professional practice expected during Internship)</i>	
P (1 point)	Pass (Average to Excellent)
NP (0 points)	No Pass (Below Average to Poor)
NI (0 points)	Not Included in the case presented
NA	Not Applicable to the case presented

II. Data-Based Decision-Making and Accountability					Rating
A. Demonstrates skill in applying a variety of data collection strategies.	P 1	NP 0	NI 0	NA	
B. Demonstrates skill in applying technological resources to support data collection, data management, and the interpretation and presentation of results.	P 1	NP 0	NI 0	NA	
C. Demonstrates the ability to apply results of data collection in order to design services and programs.	P 1	NP 0	NI 0	NA	
D. Demonstrates the ability to implement services and programs based on the results of assessment data collection efforts.	P 1	NP 0	NI 0	NA	
E. Demonstrates the ability to evaluate the response to services and programs provided as a result of assessment data collection efforts.	P 1	NP 0	NI 0	NA	
<i>Domain Total Score</i>			0		
III. Consultation and Collaboration					Rating

A. Demonstrates skill in consulting, collaborating, and communicating with others in order to <i>design</i> services and programs.	P 1	NP 0	NI 0	N A	
B. Demonstrates skill in consulting, collaborating, and communicating with others in order to <i>implement</i> services and programs.	P 1	NP 0	NI 0	N A	
C. Demonstrates skill in consulting, collaborating, and communicating with others in order to <i>evaluate</i> services and programs.	P 1	NP 0	NI 0	N A	
<i>Domain Total Score</i>					0
VI. Direct and Indirect Services: Systems Level Services - Family-School Collaboration					Ratin g
A. The candidate, in collaboration with others, demonstrates skills necessary to design services that respond to culture and context and facilitate family and school partnership for enhancement of academic and social-behavioral outcomes for children.	P 1	NP 0	NI 0	N A	
B. The candidate, in collaboration with others, demonstrates skills necessary to implement services that respond to culture and context and facilitate family and school partnership for enhancement of academic and social-behavioral outcomes for children.	P 1	NP 0	NI 0	N A	
<i>Domain Total Score</i>					0
VII. Diversity					Ratin g
A. Demonstrates skills necessary to provide professional services that promote effective functioning for individuals, families, and schools with diverse characteristics, cultures, and backgrounds.	P 1	NP 0	NI 0	N A	
B. Demonstrates skills necessary to provide professional services sensitive to diverse characteristics, cultures, and backgrounds across multiple contexts.	P 1	NP 0	NI 0	N A	
<i>Domain Total Score</i>					0
VIII. Research, Program Evaluation, Legal, Ethical and Professional Practice					
8.1: Research and Program Evaluation					Ratin g
A. Demonstrates skills necessary to evaluate and apply research as a foundation for service delivery.	P 1	NP 0	NI 0	N A	
B. The candidate, in collaboration with others, demonstrates skills necessary to use various techniques and technology resources for data collection, measurement, analysis, and program evaluation to support effective practices at the individual level.	P 1	NP 0	NI 0	N A	

C. The candidate, in collaboration with others, demonstrates skills necessary to use various techniques and technology resources for data collection, measurement, analysis, and program evaluation to support effective practices at the group level.	P 1	NP 0	NI 0	N A	
<i>Domain Total Score</i>					0
8.2: Legal, Ethical, and Professional Practice					Ratin g
A. Demonstrates skills necessary to provide services consistent with ethical, legal, and professional standards.	P 1	NP 0	NI 0	N A	
B. Demonstrates skills necessary to collaborate with other professionals.	P 1	NP 0	NI 0	N A	
C. Demonstrates professionally relevant communication skills.	P 1	NP 0	NI 0	N A	
<i>Domain Total Score</i>					0
Total Rating of Competency Areas	0		Percentage 0.00%		
	of				
	18				

OVERALL RATING

Behavioral Consultation and Intervention Case

Final Performance Rating	
1	Very Poor
2	Poor

3	Average
4	Good
5	Very Good

Rate 1 to 5	
Overall Rating of Behavioral Intervention Case	<input type="text"/>

NOTES:

Reviewer Signature

Date

Credentialing Information

Licensed Specialist in School Psychology (LSSP) & National Certified School Psychologist (NCSP)

LSSP (if you plan to work in public schools in Texas)

*This application **MUST** be submitted to the Texas State Board of Examiners of Psychologists (TSBEP) **PRIOR** to your graduation in May from the SSP Program. Please refer to the document that follows which gives the specific Board statement addressing the need for prompt application submission.*

****Access the instructional PDF for licensure application for the LSSP license from the TSBEP website.****

The Board indicates an approximate processing time for LSSP applications of **25 days** after your application is received. If you have heard nothing from the Board after five weeks, you may wish to call the Board and ask about the status of your application.

Once you have been notified by TSBEP that your LSSP application has been approved, you will be able to complete your Internship experience and you will be able to apply to take the **Jurisprudence Exam**. The Jurisprudence Exam is a take-home, open book exam that will be mailed to you upon your pre-payment, and you will have 2 weeks to complete the exam and mail it back to TSBEP. You may work as an “**LSSP Trainee**” in the public schools under supervision for one year while waiting to take and pass the TSBEP Jurisprudence Exam. However, once you pass the Jurisprudence Exam, you will receive your regular **LSSP** license. **The LSSP is not a license for private practice.**

NCSP

You may download the NCSP application from

http://www.nasponline.org/certification/becoming_NCSP.html

If you have graduated from the program anytime since **2000**, you may check that you graduated from a **NASP Approved** program.

Be sure you send to NASP:

- ❖ Praxis exam scores (sent directly from ETS)
- ❖ The completed NCSP application with:
 - ❖ A copy of official transcript indicating the receipt of your degree
 - ❖ The application fee
 - ❖ All related forms with Program Advisor's signature

When sending important documents, it is advisable to send the material by **certified mail** with return receipt requested.

Provision of Psychological Services in the Public Schools without a License by LSSP Applicants for Licensure

The Texas State Board of Examiners of Psychologists has recently adopted an amendment to Board rule 463.9 concerning licensed specialists in school psychology (LSSPs). There are now three separate stages under which an individual seeking licensure as an LSSP may be allowed to provide psychological services in the public schools without a license.

First Stage: Board rule 463.9 requires that an applicant for the LSSP have completed an Internship of 1200 hours, at least 600 hours of which must be in the public schools. During this Internship, the individual is allowed to provide school psychological services as an LSSP intern.

Second Stage: After the individual has completed an Internship AND has submitted an application for licensure for LSSP with the Board, the individual/applicant may provide school psychological services without a license and would still be considered an LSSP Intern. However, if the applicant receives a letter from the Board indicating that the applicant does NOT meet the training requirements for this licensure, the applicant may no longer legally provide psychological services in the public schools and must cease to do so immediately. Note: there should be no gap in time between the individual completing the Internship and submitting an application for licensure to the Board. This means that the individual would have to submit the application for licensure in a prompt manner as soon as the Internship is completed. If the application is not submitted in a timely manner and the formal Internship is complete and the individual continues to provide psychological services in the interim, they would be providing services illegally. Also, if the application cannot be accepted by the Board and is returned to the applicant then the applicant must immediately stop providing school psychological services. An application would be returned to the applicant for various reasons such as for being incomplete or failing to provide required documentation.

Third Stage: The majority of LSSP applicants are found by the Board to have met the training requirements and therefore will be issued an LSSP Trainee status letter. This letter informs the applicant that they have met the training requirements and therefore may function as an LSSP Trainee under supervision for up to one year, while they take and pass the Jurisprudence Examination. This then is the third stage or condition that allows for providing psychological services in the public schools without a license.

Summary: The applicant for the LSSP must complete their formal Internship before applying for licensure. Thus, all documents are sent to the Board just prior to graduation, and a final transcript is sent just following graduation. Also, the applicant for the LSSP must take and pass the National School Psychology Exam (Praxis II) before the end of their formal Internship (this comprehensive exam information is due to the Dean's Office no later than the end of April). Passage of this exam at the Texas cutoff is required information on the application for licensure.

Affiliation Agreement:
Candidate and Independent School District

STATEMENT OF AGREEMENT
BETWEEN
ANYWHERE INDEPENDENT SCHOOL DISTRICT
AND
CANDIDATE NAME (STUDENT)

THIS AGREEMENT (“Agreement”) is entered into by and between Anywhere Independent School District (the “Facility”) located in Anywhere, Texas, and Candidate Name, a student who will be fulfilling the role of Intern with the Facility during the 2020-2021 school year (“Intern”). Facility and Intern collectively are “the Parties” and singularly “a Party” or “the Party.”

WHEREAS, Facility will provide *training* in the provision of psychological services, to include assessment, consultation, behavioral and academic intervention, and both individual and group counseling, to the Intern, who is enrolled in the culminating internship course of the Specialist in School Psychology Program within the Psychology Department at Sam Houston State University (the “University”);

WHEREAS, Facility and University have agreed to terms of the internship program under separate agreement;

WHEREAS, Intern seeks to receive *training* in the provision of psychological services at the Facility during the 2020-2021 school year;

WHEREAS, the Parties hereto agree it is of mutual interest and advantage to give Intern the opportunity to utilize the Facility for educational purposes;

NOW, THEREFORE, the Parties agree as follows:

ARTICLE I
RESPONSIBILITIES OF FACILITY

The Facility shall agree to the following terms, obligations, and covenants:

- (1) Provide a commitment, as part of this agreement with both the University and the Intern, to the application of the internship as a *training experience*, with the express

- understanding that the completion of required training expectations and competencies is the primary objective for the Intern;
- (2) Provide supervised learning experiences for Intern in accordance with National Association of School Psychologists (“NASP”) guidelines for agreed upon learning objectives, skill development areas, learning experiences, and intended learning outcomes;
 - (3) Provide wages, social security taxes, medical insurance, or workers’ compensation insurance for Intern. Such wages shall be at a rate of _____ per _____;
 - (4) Assign a qualified supervisor(s) employed full-time by the Facility, to work with Intern;
 - (5) Ensure that the assigned supervisor(s) will provide, at minimum, two hours of supervision per week of employment;
 - (6) Ensure that the time of supervision available to Intern is based on need, as determined by Intern and Intern’s assigned caseload demands, and not limited to fulfillment of the minimum requirement only, with Intern’s need for supervision expected to fluctuate on a weekly basis over the course of the internship experience;
 - (7) Provide periodic performance information to Intern as prescribed;
 - (8) Provide Intern with essential conditions and materials for his/her work, including space, privacy, and technological supports;
 - (9) Provide an atmosphere for learning that is supportive and free from discrimination based on race, ethnicity, religion, gender, age, veteran status, disability, sexual preferences, or gender identity. In execution of this Agreement, Facility, its contractors, subcontractors, their respective employees, and others acting by or through them shall comply with all federal and state policies and laws prohibiting discrimination, harassment, and *sexual misconduct*. Any breach of this covenant may result in termination of this Agreement;
 - (10) Provide Intern with information regarding policies and procedures of the Facility, and with orientation experiences to ensure that Intern will be able to meet the requirements of the Facility.

ARTICLE II RESPONSIBILITIES OF INTERN

The Intern shall agree to the following terms, obligations, and covenants:

- (1) Participate fully in supervised learning experiences in accordance with NASP guidelines for agreed upon learning objectives, skill development areas, learning experiences, and intended learning outcomes;
- (2) Provide a commitment, as part of this Agreement to the application of the internship as a *training experience*, with the express understanding that the completion of required training expectations and competencies is the primary objective;
- (3) Monitor participation in the supervised learning experiences provided to ensure that they are sufficient to meet the NASP requirements for internship and completion of the final portfolio evaluation. *I will inform the Facility if I need additional experiences*;
- (4) Complete the learning agreement with the Facility supervisor and monitor progress toward meeting these goals;
- (5) Ensure understanding of the obligation to meet all education expectations of the internship course;
- (6) Maintain frequent communication with Facility personnel to assist in the monitoring of progress;
- (7) Acknowledge and comply with the policies and procedures of the Facility, and notify Facility supervisor immediately if there is any reason why you are not be able to meet the requirements of the Facility;
- (8) Adhere strictly to all applicable administrative policies, rules, standards, schedules, and practices of the Facility;
- (9) Comply with Facility rules, regulations, policies and procedures, state, and federal law in the performance of your duties as required by the University and Facility;
- (10) Maintain confidentiality of all Facility matters, proceedings, and information to the extent required by law, including, but not limited to, client records and information. *This confidentiality shall extend beyond the termination of this agreement.*

ARTICLE IV TERM AND TERMINATION

- (1) This Agreement is effective upon execution and shall remain in effect until the end of Spring, 2021 semester.

- (2) The Facility shall have the right to demand immediate removal of Intern from its premises, upon a determination by the administrator in charge that the Intern poses a threat to the safety of the Facility’s clients or personnel or to the orderly business functioning of Facility.

ARTICLE V
GENERAL PROVISION

- (1) This Agreement shall be governed by and construed in accordance with the laws of the State of Texas.
- (2) The Parties may modify the terms and conditions of this Agreement upon mutual written consent.
- (3) This Agreement and all terms and conditions contained herein shall become effective as of the date of the last signature below (“Effective Date”).
- (4) Facility represents that the individual executing this Agreement on behalf of Facility has authority to do so, and thereby to bind the Facility.
- (5) If a dispute, controversy, or claim arises out of or relates to this Agreement, the Parties shall make a good faith attempt to resolve the issues.

IN WITNESS THEREOF, the Parties have executed this Agreement in multiple counterparts. The effective date of this agreement will be the date of the last signature below:

ANYWHERE Independent School District

Intern

By: _____

By: _____

(Print): _____

(Print): _____

Title: _____

Date: _____

Date: _____

Affiliation Agreement:
SHSU and Independent School District

STATEMENT OF AGREEMENT

BETWEEN

SAM HOUSTON STATE UNIVERSITY
PSYCHOLOGY DEPARTMENT SPECIALIST IN SCHOOL PSYCHOLOGY PROGRAM

AND

ANYWHERE INDEPENDENT SCHOOL DISTRICT

THIS AGREEMENT (“Agreement”) is entered into by and between the Specialist in School Psychology Program (“SSP Program”) in the Psychology Department of Sam Houston State University, an institution of higher education in the State of Texas and a component of The Texas State University System (the “University”), located in Huntsville, Texas and Anywhere Independent School District (the “Facility”), located in Anywhere, Texas. University and Facility shall be known collectively as “the Parties” and singularly as “a Party” or “the Party.”

WHEREAS, University and Facility seek to provide *training* in the provision of psychological services in the Facility, to include assessment, consultation, behavioral and academic intervention, and both individual and group counseling, for the students enrolled in the culminating internship course of the Specialist in School Psychology Program within the Psychology Department at the University;

WHEREAS, it is agreed by the Parties hereto to be of mutual interest and advantage that students be given the opportunity to utilize the Facility for educational purposes.

NOW, THEREFORE, the Parties agree as follows:

ARTICLE I
RESPONSIBILITIES OF UNIVERSITY

University shall agree to the following terms, obligations, and covenants:

- (1) Provide information and assistance to the Facility to implement a program of educational experiences suitable to each University student, including students with disabilities;
- (2) Inform the Facility of the name, address, and telephone number of the Director of Internship Training who will be available to assist the Facility personnel and student, and who will be responsible to maintain on-going contact with the Facility’s designated representative;

- (3) Acquaint the Facility designated representative and participating staff with the goals, objectives, methods, and specific expectations of the SSP Program's internship experience;
- (4) Assign to the Facility only those students who have fulfilled prerequisites for the educational experience;
- (5) Assign only one student per agreement;
- (6) Notify the Facility as soon as possible of the name and academic arrival date of the student;
- (7) Ensure that the student assigned to Facility has professional liability insurance coverage in amounts not to be lower than \$1,000,000 for each claim and \$1,000,000 aggregate;
- (8) Inform student about his or her obligations to adhere strictly to all applicable administrative policies, rules, standards, schedules, and practices of the Facility;
- (9) Inform the student and faculty members of the obligation to maintain confidentiality of all Facility matters, proceedings, and information to the extent required by law, including, but not limited to, client records and information. *This confidentiality shall extend beyond the termination of this agreement;*
- (10) Inform student and Facility representative of the obligation to meet all education expectations of the internship course as well as all University degree requirement deadlines in order for student to graduate with the Specialist in School Psychology degree, a requirement for future professional licensure;
- (11) Upon notice to the University by either the student or any other concerned party, inform Facility of any adverse circumstances to which the Facility may be exposed as a result of the behaviors deemed to be dangerous by the student;
- (12) Upon notice to University, notify the Facility of any complaint, claim, investigation, or lawsuit involving student;
- (13) Notify student of his or her obligation to comply with University and Facility rules, regulations, policies and procedures, state, and federal law in the performance of his or her duties as required by University and the Facility;
- (14) Accept overall responsibility for the education, evaluation, qualifications, and competency development of student.

ARTICLE II
RESPONSIBILITIES OF FACILITY

The Facility shall fulfill the following terms, obligations, and covenants:

- (4) Provide a commitment, as part of this agreement with both the University and the student, to the application of the internship as a *training experience*, with the express understanding that the completion of required training expectations and competencies is the primary objective for the student;
- (5) Provide supervised learning experiences for student in accordance with National Association of School Psychologists (“NASP”) guidelines for agreed upon learning objectives, skill development areas, learning experiences, and intended learning outcomes;
- (6) Provide wages, social security taxes, medical insurance, or workers’ compensation insurance for student. Such wages and other applicable employment benefits will be provided under separate agreement between the Facility and the student, of which the University is not a party;
- (7) Assign a qualified supervisor(s) employed full-time by the Facility, to work with student and identify one individual to act as a liaison with the internship course instructor;
- (8) Ensure that the assigned supervisor(s) will provide, at minimum, two hours of supervision per week of employment;
- (9) Ensure that the time of supervision available to student is based on need, as determined by student and the student’s assigned caseload demands, and not limited to fulfillment of the minimum requirement only, with student’s need for supervision expected to fluctuate on a weekly basis over the course of the internship experience;
- (10) Provide the internship course instructor with a learning agreement and a performance appraisal for each student in a form prescribed by the University;
- (11) Provide periodic performance information to the student and to the University as prescribed, and immediately report any unsatisfactory conduct or performance of the student;
- (12) Permit designated faculty members the right to visit with student and Facility supervisors regarding the progress of student at the Facility;

- (10) Provide student with essential conditions and materials for their work, including space, privacy, and technological supports;
- (11) Provide an atmosphere for learning that is supportive and free from discrimination based on race, ethnicity, religion, gender, age, veteran status, disability, sexual preferences, or gender identity. In execution of this Agreement, Facility, its contractors, subcontractors, their respective employees, and others acting by or through them shall comply with all federal and state policies and laws prohibiting discrimination, harassment, and *sexual misconduct*. Any breach of this covenant may result in termination of this Agreement;
- (12) Provide student with information regarding policies and procedures of the Facility, and with orientation experiences to ensure that student will be able to meet the requirements of Facility.

ARTICLE III RESPONSIBILITIES OF THE PARTIES

The Parties agree to the following terms, obligations, and covenants:

- (1) University and any University personnel, including faculty, shall not be considered employees, agents, borrowed servants, partners, or joint venturers of the Facility;
- (2) Nothing in this Agreement is to be construed as transferring responsibility from one Party to another;
- (3) Without limitation of any provision set forth in the Agreement, the Parties expressly agree to abide by all applicable federal and/or state equal employment opportunity statutes, rules, and regulations;
- (4) The Facility shall have the right to refuse to allow any student who is not judged to have the requisite skills, attitudes, or previous training for proper provision of assigned tasks to participate in the activities at Facility;

ARTICLE IV TERM AND TERMINATION

- (3) This Agreement shall commence with the date full execution and remain in effect until the end of the University's academic year, potentially including a portion of summer, and shall be valid for one academic year only;

- (4) Any breach of the covenants stated in Article I of this Agreement by University shall be considered a material breach of the Agreement. In the event of a material breach, the Facility shall have the right to terminate this Agreement immediately;
- (5) Any breach of the covenants stated in Article II of this Agreement by the Facility shall be considered a material breach of the Agreement. In the event of a material breach, the University shall have the right to terminate this Agreement immediately;
- (6) Notwithstanding any other provision of this Agreement, the Parties shall have the right to terminate this Agreement after thirty (30) calendar days written notice is given to the other Party. If either Party exercises this option, the Parties agree to make reasonable efforts so that the student, if already in training at the Facility, will be allowed to complete or make appropriate transfers of cases in progress which were undertaken as part of his/her course of study.
- (7) The Facility shall further have the right to demand immediate removal of the student from its premises, upon a determination by the administrator in charge that the student poses a threat to the safety of the Facility's clients or personnel or to the orderly business functioning of Facility.

ARTICLE V GENERAL PROVISION

- (1) This Agreement shall be governed by and construed in accordance with the laws of the State of Texas. The venue of any suit arising under this Agreement is fixed in any court of competent jurisdiction of Huntsville, Walker County, Texas.
- (2) The terms and conditions of this Agreement may be modified upon mutual written consent of the Parties.
- (3) This Agreement and all terms and conditions contained herein shall become effective as of the date of the last signature below ("Effective Date").
- (4) Any notice required or permitted under this Agreement shall be considered effective as of the date sent by certified mail, return receipt requested.
- (5) No Party may assign any rights or obligations under this Agreement without the prior written consent of the other Parties.
- (6) Each individual executing this Agreement on behalf of any Party represents that he/she has authority to do so, and thereby to bind the Party on behalf of whom he/she signs.

- (7) If any part of this Agreement is determined to be invalid, illegal, inoperative, or contrary to applicable law, statute, regulation, University or Facility policies, that part of the Agreement shall be reformed, if reasonably possible to comply with applicable law, statute, or regulation and in any event, the remaining parts of this Agreement shall be fully effective and operative in so far as reasonably possible.
- (8) A waiver by either Party of the breach or violation of any provision of the Agreement shall not operate as, or be construed to be, a waiver of any subsequent breach of the Agreement.
- (9) Neither Party shall be liable nor deemed to be in default for any delay or failure in performance under the Agreement or any other interruption of service deemed resulting, directly or indirectly, from acts of God, acts of public enemy, war, accidents, fires, explosions, hurricanes, floods, failures of transportation, strikes, or other work interruptions by either Party's employees, or any other similar cause beyond reasonable control of either Party.
- (10) This Agreement is entered into by and between the Parties hereto and for their benefit. Unless explicitly provided in this Agreement, there is no intent by either Party to create or establish third party beneficiary status or rights in any third party, and no such third party shall have any right to enforce any right or enjoy any benefit created under this Agreement.
- (11) Unless expressly provided herein, the Parties do not assume or become liable for any of the existing or future obligations, liabilities, or debt of the other.
- (12) If a dispute, controversy, or claim arises out of or relates to this Agreement, the Parties shall make a good faith attempt to resolve the issues. If the dispute cannot be settled by the Parties, the Parties agree to follow the dispute resolution process set forth in Chapter 2260 of the Texas Government Code.
- (13) Notwithstanding any provision of this Agreement, nothing herein constitutes a waiver of the constitutional, statutory or common law rights, privileges, defenses or immunities of the Parties.
- (14) This is the entire Agreement between the Parties and supersedes all prior agreements, proposals, or understandings, whether written or oral.

IN WITNESS THEREOF, the Parties have executed this Agreement in multiple counterparts. The effective date of this agreement will be the date of the last signature below:

**Anywhere Independent
School District**

Sam Houston State University

By _____

By: _____

(Print): _____

(Print): Dr. Richard Eglsaer

Title: _____

Title: Provost

Date: _____

Date: _____

By: _____

(Print): Ramona M. Noland, Ph.D., NCSP, LSSP

Title: Director, SSP Program

Date: _____

SHSU Contact Information:

Internship Coordinator: Ramona M. Noland, Ph.D., NCSP, LSSP

Email Address: noland@shsu.edu

Phone Number: (936) 294-4310

Facility Contact Information:

Direct Supervisor: _____

Email Address: _____

Phone Number: _____

PROGRAM OF EVALUATION

**Sam Houston State University
Performance Based Assessment Plan
Specialist in School Psychology**

Admission	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Praxis Exam	Post-Graduate Follow-up ^a
<p>Admission to Graduate School and Program: - 3.0 Undergraduate GPA - Adequate GRE performance</p> <p>Graduate Program Committee makes admission decisions based on undergraduate GPA, GRE/MAT scores, letters of recommendation, personal statement and potential for success in the profession of school psychology</p>	<p>Maintain 3.0 GPA for all courses</p> <p>Core Faculty Evaluation of Candidates' Annual Progress</p> <p>Candidates' evaluation of courses and Program</p>	<p>Maintain 3.0 GPA for all courses</p> <p>Core Faculty Evaluation of Candidates' Annual Progress</p> <p>Evaluation by Practicum Site Supervisor</p>	<p>Evaluation by Internship Site Supervisor, Mid-Term and Final</p> <p>Portfolio review of candidates' Internship Year Progress</p>	<p>The Praxis Exam meets the Comprehensive Exam requirement for all Program candidates</p> <p>A passing score is defined as a score equal to or greater than that required to be a Nationally Certified School Psychologist (NCSP).</p>	<p>Employment data</p> <p>One to five year post-graduate evaluation of Program</p> <p>Employer survey of graduate performance</p>

^a Proposed assessment to be implemented during the 2020-2021 academic year.

Annual Student Evaluations by the Program

Each candidate in the Program receives a yearly evaluation letter, and a copy is stored in the candidate's file. This letter addresses the candidate's performance in the areas of (a) **academics**, (b) **professional practice**, (c) **research**, and (d) **overall program participation**. At the conclusion of each spring semester candidates are to provide a self-evaluation letter in which they assess their performance in these areas, list any conference presentations or other research activity they had in the past year, and note any other special accomplishments. This letter is shared with the faculty, who draft an evaluation letter. If there are any concerns noted in the evaluation letter, the candidate will be asked to meet with the Program Director to discuss the concerns. If concerns warrant it, a remediation plan will be developed by the faculty and shared with the candidate. The aims of the process and the letter are to:

- ensure that at least once each year the entire Program faculty share their assessments of each candidate in the Program
- help provide candidates with an overview of their annual performance
- provide a formal means for ensuring that candidates are aware of their appropriate progress in the Program, including any approaching deadlines
- provide candidates with feedback about aspects of their performance and professional development that might not otherwise be formally evaluated (e.g., ability to work with peers)
- provide candidates with guidance regarding any problems that require remediation.

SHSU SSP Program Candidate Work Dispositions Appraisal Year-End Evaluation

Candidate: _____ Rater: _____

Year in Program: 1st 2nd 3rd

Date: _____

Please rate the student on each item using the scale below. The *maximum* rating to be given should correspond to the student's stage of training (i.e., a "3" for a 1st year student, "4" for a 2nd year trainee, and "5" for a 3rd year intern). Comments on any particular strength or weakness and recommendations, if any, may be written at the end of the form.

Rating Scale	
No	No opportunity to observe/don't know
1	Needs substantial improvement to be at level appropriate for end of 1st year
2	Needs some improvement to be at level appropriate for end of 1st year
3	Exhibits level appropriate for end of 1st year/start of traineeship
4	Exhibits level appropriate for end of 2nd year/start of internship
5	Exhibits level appropriate for end of 3rd year/entry into profession

Characteristic	Rating (circle one)					
Initiative – initiates activities when appropriate; does not wait to be asked or told when to begin an anticipated task.	No	1	2	3	4	5
Task Dependability – can be counted on to follow through on a task once a commitment to it has been made; reliably completes assignments in a timely manner.	No	1	2	3	4	5
Communication Dependability – returns telephone calls and email messages and responds to communication promptly, appropriately, and professionally.	No	1	2	3	4	5
Time Management/Work Organization – organizes work and manages time effectively.	No	1	2	3	4	5
Problem-Solving/Critical Thinking – thinks critically; effectively analyzes problem situations and conceptualizes alternative approaches and solutions.	No	1	2	3	4	5
Respect for Human Diversity – respects racial, cultural, socioeconomic, religious, gender-related, sexual orientation, and other human differences; demonstrates the sensitivity and skills needed to work with diverse populations.	No	1	2	3	4	5
Oral Communication – expresses self orally in a clear, coherent, and effective manner.	No	1	2	3	4	5
Written Communication – writes in a clear, coherent, and effective manner.	No	1	2	3	4	5
Attending/Listening Skills – attends to important communications; listens attentively	No	1	2	3	4	5
Effective Interpersonal Communication – displays appropriate empathy and emotional tone; Solicits and considers the viewpoints of others; Respects the expertise/contribution of others.	No	1	2	3	4	5

Rating Scale

- No** No opportunity to observe/don't know
1 Needs substantial improvement to be at level appropriate for end of 1st year
2 Needs some improvement to be at level appropriate for end of 1st year
3 Exhibits level appropriate for end of 1st year/start of traineeship
4 Exhibits level appropriate for end of 2nd year/start of internship
5 Exhibits level appropriate for end of 3rd year/entry into profession

Characteristic	Rating (circle one)					
Effective Interpersonal Relations – relates effectively to colleagues, faculty, supervisors, and clients.	No	1	2	3	4	5
Teamwork – works well with others; collaborates effectively with others on assignments/projects.	No	1	2	3	4	5
Adaptability/Flexibility – adapts effectively to the demands of a situation; is sufficiently flexible to deal with change.	No	1	2	3	4	5
Professionalism Foundations – adheres to SSP Program as well as school district policies and procedures (attendance and punctuality; dress and personal hygiene; case-related policies and procedures; employment-related policies and procedures). Respects the authority of SSP Program faculty, university and field supervisors, school administrators, etc.	No	1	2	3	4	5
Responsiveness to Supervision/Feedback – is open to supervision/feedback and responds to such appropriately.	No	1	2	3	4	5
Self-Awareness – shows realistic awareness of personal strengths and weaknesses and impact this has on professional functioning and relationships with others.	No	1	2	3	4	5
Self-Accountability – accepts responsibility for own behavior (acknowledges errors; works toward improvement). Recognizes own limitations; seeks advice and information as circumstances dictate.	No	1	2	3	4	5
Professional Identity/Development – appears to identify with the profession of school psychology; conducts oneself as a professional; concerned with own professional growth.	No	1	2	3	4	5
Independent Functioning – functions with minimal supervision or independently, when appropriate.	No	1	2	3	4	5
Data-Based Case Conceptualization – able to use data/information to conceptualize cases and generate hypotheses and possible solutions; uses evidence to evaluate outcomes.	No	1	2	3	4	5
Systems orientation - understands that schools, families, and organizations are systems; recognizes and effectively utilizes rules, policies, and other characteristics of systems.	No	1	2	3	4	5
Professional Learner – demonstrates a commitment to ongoing building of knowledge, skills, and dispositions necessary to work effectively in an increasingly changing and diverse professional setting.	No	1	2	3	4	5

ANNUAL REPORT OF STUDENT PROGRESS
School Psychology Program
Sam Houston State University

Student:

Year in Program:

Date:

Please provide the following information in a brief description of your educational activities during the past academic year.

COURSES COMPLETED: List all courses you have taken and provide course grades. Describe significant learning experiences and/or include unique personal products resulting from courses.

PROFESSIONAL ACTIVITIES: List any significant educational activities in which you participated.

OTHER WORK COMMUNITY ACTIVITIES: List any significant experiences you have had working within the community, at a place of employment, etc.

CONFERENCES and/or WORKSHOPS ATTENDED: List all local, state, and national meetings you have attended and specify the title and presenter of any workshops/presentations you attended.

PRESENTATIONS: Reference the topic, occasion, and date of any presentations you made at professional meetings. Also, include any teacher/parent in-services you have provided here.

PUBLICATIONS: List the journal and citation of any publications that were accepted or published during the past year.

GOALS FOR NEXT 12 MONTHS:

Student Signature: _____

Program Evaluation by Candidates

Candidates are afforded the opportunity to provide feedback and evaluations of the SSP Program in several ways. Each semester, candidates evaluate their academic courses, supervision received, and professional practice placements (e.g., the amount and quality of their supervision, client contact, etc.). Additionally, candidates have representation by their peers and are encouraged to participate in the Sam Houston Association of School Psychologists (SHASP).

A formal annual evaluation of the Program is requested of all candidates. The current form of the Annual Evaluation of SSP Program by Candidates is provided on the next page of the Handbook. The evaluations are submitted to the SHASP President who compiles them into one summary. The summary is submitted to the Program Director who distributes it to the Program faculty. The results are discussed during a Program faculty meeting. The faculty may then create a plan to make improvements or adjustments to the Program.

Annual Evaluation of Program by Students

1. Describe the program's strengths and weaknesses as they relate to your coursework during the last year.
2. Describe strengths and weaknesses as they relate to professional practice training and supervision you receive.
3. Describe strengths and weaknesses as they relate to research opportunities and mentorship you receive.
4. Please list any changes that might be helpful in improving the training Program and the Program environment.
5. Comment on your workload and balance of activities.
6. Describe one or two things you think the Program does especially well.
7. Describe one or two things you think the Program does NOT do especially well.
8. Describe the Program's strengths and weaknesses as they relate to program administration.
9. Describe the Program's strengths and weaknesses as they relate to resources.
10. Other comments?

CANDIDATE RESOURCES

Funding

Financial Awards

The University offers several types of financial awards to eligible graduate students. The amount may vary from year to year. These are:

Scholarships

Graduate Studies offers competitive scholarships to all current graduate students, including those who are on Internship. Visit the Graduate Studies webpage for more information about how to apply.

CHSS offers competitive scholarships to all current graduate students, including those who are on Internship. Visit the CHSS webpage for more information about how to apply.

Financial Awards through the University Financial Aid Office

Students are encouraged to seek ongoing advice from the Financial Aid officers regarding available funds to support their graduate education. Financial aid is only available to those students who are enrolled full-time and only for those courses that are applicable to their degree program.

Assistantships

There are a limited number of 10 hour per week teaching assistantships within the Department for graduate students in all of the psychology graduate programs. Students in the SSP Program are not guaranteed work through an assistantship, but the Program Director and faculty do their best to continuously fill assistantships on campus with our students. As openings become available students will be notified. You can also seek out your own employment on campus through Jobs4Kats. Students are also encouraged to apply for external funding, such as research grants, fellowships for graduate study, scholarships, etc. Students who identify potential funding sources should work with their faculty mentor(s) to prepare the most competitive application package possible.

Travel Funding

Students' scholarly activities will be supported as much as is possible in light of available resources. The Office of Graduate Studies provides funding for graduate student travel on a competitive basis. Students should notify faculty as soon as possible of intentions to attend and participate in professional meetings.

Student Services

Library

The SHSU Newton Gresham Library maintains substantial holdings in psychology, psychiatry, criminal justice, and related fields. Doctoral students are eligible for extended loan privileges at the discretion of the Director of Library Services and may initiate a request for this privilege by contacting the library. A limited number of study carrels also is available for student use; contact Library Services for further information.

Information Technology Services

Graduate students have access to the SHSU network. This network provides on-campus access to various software packages and the Internet. Each graduate student office is equipped with at least one computer with network access. Additional, free-standing computers are available in graduate student offices for the purpose of word-processing. There are also several computer laboratories available to faculty, staff, and students.

Other Services

Academic Enrichment Center	(936) 294-3680
Bookstore	(936) 294-1862
Information Technology Services.....	(936) 294-1950
University Counseling Center.....	(936) 294-1720
International Programs.....	(936) 294-3892
Legal Services.....	(936) 294-1717
Lowman Student Center	(936) 294-4902
Office of Student Life	(936) 294-1785
Post Office	(936) 294-1936

Registrar.....(936) 294-1040
Student Activities.....(936) 294-4180

Leaves of Absence

Graduate students who have not completed their formal course requirements are expected to enroll continuously in the Program, taking courses in each consecutive Fall, Spring and Summer semester after initial registration. The University allows for leaves of absence for students who choose not to enroll for a semester, but this will obviously alter your trajectory through the Program’s course sequence and ultimately impact your graduation date.

We encourage students who may need accommodations or are considering a leave of absence to consult with the Program Director before formally applying for a leave of absence. In most cases, it is the student who will need to initiate this consultation. Faculty members respect students’ right to privacy. For that reason, faculty members likely will not know when or if you are experiencing health, family, or other issues that may be leading you to consider requesting a leave. At times, there are options for students other than a leave of absence.

Students who would like to request a leave of absence should do so in a letter to the Program faculty stating the reason for the continued leave. A leave of absence is granted at the discretion of the Dean.

Students also are subject to the six-year limitation for degree completion. During a leave of absence, a student cannot make use of college or university resources, nor can a student take comprehensive exams (Praxis II).

**SAM HOUSTON
ASSOCIATION OF
SCHOOL
PSYCHOLOGY
(SHASP)**



**Sam Houston Association of School Psychology
(S.H.A.S.P.)**

CONSTITUTION

Article I

The name of this organization shall be known as the Sam Houston Association of School Psychology, or S.H.A.S.P.

Article II

Purpose and Objectives

- Purpose
 - It is the mission of the Sam Houston Association of School Psychology to support the personal and professional development of its members through the promotion of community, leadership, professionalism, academic excellence, and interpersonal skills in order to enhance the mental health and educational competence of the youth community.

- Objectives
 - To cultivate an accepting and professional environment that encourages the free exchange of ideas, resources, and experiences, as well as promotes cooperative interactions among its members.
 - To encourage the academic success of its members by creating an open line of communication between faculty and students.
 - To create a social support network for school psychology students in which they, with the guidance of faculty members, can address any questions or concerns relating to their program. This allows for collaboration and discussion of topics related to the field of school psychology as well as to academic life at Sam Houston State University.
 - To serve as a forum for faculty, interns, and students to discuss current trends in school psychology as well as allow the opportunity to address any upcoming programmatic changes or concerns a student or faculty member might have regarding the program.
 - To encourage fellowship among its members by providing opportunities for social activities.
 - To advance students' multicultural competency and proficiency in the delivery of psychological services to the community.
 - To encourage ethical conduct in the school psychology profession and academic integrity in educational pursuits and activities.

Article III

Membership

Membership into the Sam Houston Association of School Psychology shall consist of and is open to any School Psychology Program graduate student at Sam Houston State University.

Article IV
Meetings

S.H.A.S.P. officer meetings will take place on the second Thursday of each month at 5:00 PM. Meetings for the general membership will be held immediately following.

- Eight members shall constitute a quorum for purposes of conducting the organization's business.

Article V
Officers

Elected Officers

1. President shall:

- Lead regular and executive meetings of the S.H.A.S.P organization
- Oversee group events and activities
- Communicate with officers and advisors on all matters
- Serve as liaison between S.H.A.S.P members and the faculty of the school psychology program

2. The Vice-President shall:

- Lead meetings in the absence of the president
- Assist the president in the coordination of group events and activities.

3. The Secretary shall:

- Record minutes at all formal meetings
- Maintain necessary correspondence with group members
- Submit report of officers and advisor(s), including their names and addresses by the twelfth class day of each semester to the Student Activities Office
- Submit changes to officers positions to the Student Activities Office

4. Treasurer shall:

- Keep record of organization's revenue and disbursements
- Assure accuracy and maintenance account information
- Prepare monthly reports for the faculty advisor and other officers

5. First-Year Representative shall:

- Serve as representative for first-year S.H.A.S.P members

- Act as liaison between first-year S.H.A.S.P. members, S.H.A.S.P. officers, and the general S.H.A.S.P. membership

Appointed Officers

6. Programming Chair shall:
 - Serve as chair of the S.H.A.S.P. fundraising committee, coordinating and overseeing fundraising events.
 - Serve as chair of the S.H.A.S.P. social events committee, coordinating and overseeing social events.
7. Other Officers
 - May be established by the organization to meet programmatic needs of its members
 - These offices may be either appointed or elected offices.

Article VI ***Committees***

The following standing committees shall exist:

1. Fund raising
 - Led by the programming chair, the fundraising committee will coordinate and execute S.H.A.S.P. fundraising events
2. Social Events
 - Led by the programming chair, the social events committee will coordinate and execute S.H.A.S.P. social events
3. Other
 - May be established by the organization to meet needs

Article VII ***Officer Elections and Appointments***

1. The term of office for all positions is for one year.
2. Elections will be held each spring semester during the month of April. Elections of the First-Year Representative will be held during the first two weeks of the Fall semester.
3. Voting will consist of officers being elected based on a majority vote by the general membership. The First-Year Representative will be elected by a majority vote of the first-year organization members.
4. Appointed officer positions will be determined by the faculty sponsor within two weeks of the general officer elections.

Article VII ***University Registration/Recognition***

At the beginning of each Fall, Spring, and Summer Semester, this organization shall submit an updated report with the names and addresses of its officers and advisor(s). This report shall be submitted to the Student Activities Office (Suite 328 of the Lowman Student Center) no later than the twelfth class day. Changes in officers that occur during the semester must be reported promptly. If this organization fails to abide by this policy, this organization must resubmit the “Student Organization Registration Application” to the Student Activities Office and subsequently go before the Student Organizations’ Board for consideration to once again become an officially recognized student organization.

Article IX
Amendments

1. This constitution shall be amended by a majority vote of the membership.
2. A copy of all constitutional changes and amendment will be submitted to the Student Activities Office as described above.

Appendices

**Appendix A:
Graduate Degree Enrollment and Completion
Requirements
(Academic Policy Statement 930129)**

1. PURPOSE

The purpose of this policy is to establish requirements for the enrollment of graduate students in thesis or dissertation courses and requirements for graduate degree completion timeframes at Sam Houston State University.

2. GENERAL

2.01 Once a student enrolls in a thesis/dissertation course, the student must enroll in such course in each subsequent fall, spring, and summer semester until the thesis/dissertation is successfully completed. The dean may waive the continuous enrollment requirement when appropriate.

2.02 Students are required to enroll in the appropriate thesis or dissertation course in the semester in which the student is approved for graduation. If the degree in question is not awarded at the end of that semester, re-enrollment in the appropriate thesis or dissertation course is required during the subsequent semester in which the degree is again expected to be awarded.

3. LEAVE OF ABSENCE

3.01 A student who is unable to work on the thesis or dissertation for a period of time may present to the appropriate academic dean a written request for a leave of absence of up to one year. The dean's approval of such a request must also be in writing. An approved request must be submitted to the Office of the Registrar through the Dean of Graduate Studies. Additional requests for leaves of absence may be approved but must be resubmitted after the previous leave has lapsed.

3.02 A student is required to complete the master's degree within a six-year period, measured from the date of initial enrollment for graduate credit in a particular degree program. The period of time a student is on an approved leave of absence will not be counted as time accumulated towards that six-year deadline for completion of the degree.

3.03 A student is required to complete the doctoral degree within an eight-year period, measured from the date of initial enrollment for graduate credit in a particular degree program. The period of time a student is on an approved leave of absence will not be counted as time accumulated towards that eight-year deadline for completion of the degree.

- 3.04 Any extension of either the master’s degree six-year deadline or the doctoral degree eight-year deadline must be approved in writing by the appropriate academic dean. A student on a leave of absence will be considered an active student for purposes of official records but will not have access to University services.

APPROVED: _____ < signed >
 Dana G. Hoyt, President

DATED: _____ 5/28/19

CERTIFICATION STATEMENT

This academic policy statement (APS) has been approved by the reviewer(s) listed below and represents SHSU’s Division of Academic Affairs’ policy from the date of this document until superseded.

Original:	January 29, 1993	Review Cycle:	Five years*
Reviewer(s):	Council of Academic Deans	Review Date:	Spring 2023
	Faculty Senate		
	Academic Affairs Council		

Approved: _____ < signed > _____ Date: _____ 5/28/19
 Richard Eglsaer
 Provost and Vice President
 for Academic Affairs

*Effective January 2018, Academic Policy Statements will be reviewed on a rotating 5-year schedule. To transition to a distributed review load, some policies may be reviewed prior to the 5-year timeframe, with subsequent reviews transitioning to the 5-year schedule.

Appendix B:
Academic Probation, Suspension, and Termination
(Academic Policy Statement 910312)

1. GENERAL

This academic policy statement details the probation, suspension, and termination regulations for undergraduate and graduate students at Sam Houston State University.

2. ACADEMIC GOOD STANDING FOR UNDERGRADUATE STUDENTS

2.01 Academic good standing is defined as a minimum 2.0 grade point average for all academic courses for which degree credit is earned.

2.02 The appropriate academic dean may place on probation or retain on probation or suspend any student deficient in grade points.

2.03 Students admitted with less than a 2.0 grade point average by the appropriate academic dean are permitted to enroll but are not considered to be in academic good standing.

3. ACADEMIC PROBATION OR SUSPENSION FOR UNDERGRADUATE STUDENTS

3.01 An undergraduate student who falls below a 2.0 overall grade point average at the close of any semester or summer semester during which one or more semester hours are attempted will be placed on probation. Summer semester (two sessions) is considered to be a unit equivalent to a semester. If an enrolled student on probation fails to achieve a minimum of a 2.0 overall grade point average at the close of the next semester or summer semester following the starting of the probation, the student will be suspended.

3.02 Undergraduate transfer students who are admitted with less than a 2.0 overall grade point average must achieve a minimum overall grade point average (including all transfer work) of 2.0 at the close of the semester or summer semester for which the student is admitted or the student will be placed on probation. If the student fails to achieve a minimum of a 2.0 overall grade point average at the close of the next semester or summer semester following the starting of the probation, the student will be suspended.

- 3.03 Academic actions are based on the total Sam Houston State University record and are implemented at the close of the fall semester, the spring semester, and summer semester.
 - 3.04 The cumulative grade point average is based only on course work at Sam Houston State University for which the student earns the grade of A, B, C, D, or F. The marks of Q, W, CR, NC, IP, and X are not counted as hours attempted in computing the cumulative grade point average. The marks earned in developmental courses (A*, B*, C*, D*, F*, CR*, and NC*) also are not counted as hours attempted in computing the cumulative grade point average.
 - 3.05 Deficient grade points on transferred work must be made up sufficiently in order to earn a degree at Sam Houston State University.
 - 3.06 Only hours earned at Sam Houston State University and hours accepted in transfer from other institutions will count toward the student's classification and graduation. Credit earned in developmental courses is not used in determining the classification of a student by hours completed. In addition, developmental courses may not be used to satisfy any degree requirements at SHSU.
 - 3.07 The official classification is determined by the Registrar.
 - 3.08 Credit awarded by examination and hours earned with the mark of CR are counted in determining classification but are not included in determining grade point average.
4. REMOVAL OF ACADEMIC PROBATION FOR UNDERGRADUATE STUDENTS

The student will be removed from probation at the close of the semester or summer semester in which the cumulative grade point average achieves the required standard.

5. READMISSION TO UNDERGRADUATE STUDIES AFTER SUSPENSION

- 5.01 The period of academic suspension and the subsequent readmission of a student on academic probation are based on the entire Sam Houston State University academic record. Readmission from suspension must be

approved by the academic dean of the college in which the student was enrolled at the time of his/her suspension. The petition for readmission must be made in writing.

- 5.02 Students who are subject to suspension under the stated terms of the Academic Probation, Suspension, and Termination policy and register for enrollment at Sam Houston State University do so at their own risk and monies paid for fees and tuition are subject to the refund regulations as stated in the Sam Houston State University catalog.

5. APPEALS PROCESS FOR PROBATION, SUSPENSION OR TERMINATION FOR UNDERGRADUATE STUDENTS

A student who believes he or she has been wrongly placed on probation, suspension, or has been terminated may appeal using the following procedures:

- 6.01 The student must first appeal to the associate dean in the appropriate college for a resolution and must do so in writing and within ten (10) working days following the notification of probation, suspension, or termination. The associate dean must respond to the appeal in writing within ten (10) working days of receipt.
- 6.02 If the appeal to the associate dean is not satisfactorily resolved, the student may appeal in writing to the academic dean in the appropriate college within ten (10) working days following the associate dean's decision. The academic dean must respond to the appeal in writing within ten (10) working days of receipt.
- 6.03 If the appeal is not resolved with the academic dean, the student may appeal in writing to the Provost and Vice President for Academic Affairs, or his/her designee, within ten (10) working days following the academic dean's decision. The decision of the Provost and Vice President for Academic Affairs is final.

7. ACADEMIC GOOD STANDING FOR GRADUATE STUDENTS

- 7.01 A minimum grade point average of 3.0 is required in all graduate course work. All grades earned (A, B, C, F) in courses listed for graduate credit on the student's official Degree Plan will be included in computing the grade

point average. The marks of Q, W, CR, NC, IP, and X are not counted as hours attempted in computing the cumulative grade point average.

- 7.02 Grades earned at another institution may not be used to remove a grade of “C” or lower earned at Sam Houston State University.
- 7.03 The appropriate academic dean may place on probation, retain on probation or terminate any student deficient in grade points.

8. ACADEMIC PROBATION OR TERMINATION FOR GRADUATE STUDENTS

- 8.01 A graduate student who falls below a 3.0 overall grade point average at the close of any semester or summer semester during which one or more semester hours are attempted will be placed on probation. Two summer sessions are equivalent to one long semester. If an enrolled student on probation fails to achieve a minimum of a 3.0 overall grade point average at the close of the next semester following the starting of the probation, the student will be terminated from graduate studies.
- 8.02 A graduate student who earns a grade of F in any graduate course will be terminated from graduate studies.
- 8.03 A student who earns a grade of C in any course (repeated or distinct course) within the academic program may have his/her graduate status reviewed by a committee of the department or college graduate faculty. The committee will recommend an appropriate remediation for the student. A student who earns two grades of C in distinct or repeated courses within their academic program will be terminated from graduate studies. Those students who earn a second or subsequent grade of C in any combination of courses within their academic program during the semester or summer session of their anticipated graduation will be terminated from graduate studies and will not be eligible to graduate in that term.

9. REMOVAL OF ACADEMIC PROBATION FOR GRADUATE STUDENTS

The student will be removed from probation at the close of the semester or summer semester in which the cumulative grade point average achieves the required standard.

10. APPEALS PROCESS FOR TERMINATION FOR GRADUATE STUDENTS

A student who believes he or she has been wrongly terminated from graduate studies may appeal using the following procedures:

10.01 The student must first appeal to the graduate advisor for a resolution and must do so in writing and within ten (10) working days following the notification of probation or termination. The graduate advisor must respond to the appeal in writing within ten (10) working days of receipt.

10.02 If the appeal to the graduate advisor is not satisfactorily resolved, the student may appeal in writing to the chair of the department within ten (10) working days following the graduate advisor's decision. The department chair must respond to the appeal in writing within ten (10) working days of receipt.

The student may appeal the decision of the chair in writing to the appropriate academic dean within ten (10) working days following the chair's decision. The academic dean may elect to create appeal committees at the program, department/school, or college level to hear student appeals for readmission. These committees will be charged with making a recommendation to the academic dean. The academic dean must respond to the appeal in writing within ten (10) working days of receipt.

10.03 If the appeal is not resolved with the academic dean, the student may appeal in writing to the Provost and Vice President for Academic Affairs, or his/her designee, within ten (10) working days following the academic dean's decision. The decision of the Provost is final.

APPROVED: < signed >
Dana G. Hoyt, President

DATED: 5/11/18

CERTIFICATION STATEMENT

This academic policy statement (APS) has been approved by the reviewer(s) listed below and represents SHSU's Division of Academic Affairs' policy from the date of this document until superseded.

Original:	March 12, 1991	Review Cycle:	Five years*
Reviewer(s):	Council of Academic Deans Faculty Senate Academic Affairs Council	Review Date:	Spring 2023

Approved:	< signed >	Date:	5/15/18
	Richard Eglsaer Provost and Vice President for Academic Affairs		

*Effective January 2018, Academic Policy Statements will be reviewed on a rotating 5-year schedule. To transition to a distributed review load, some policies may be reviewed prior to the 5-year timeframe, with subsequent reviews transitioning to the 5-year schedule.

**Appendix C:
Procedures in Cases of Academic Dishonesty
(Academic Policy Statement 810213)**

1. GENERAL

Academic honesty is defined in paragraph 5.3, Chapter VI, of the *Rules and Regulations*, Board of Regents, The Texas State University System, and in the Sam Houston State University *Student Guidelines* published by the Office of Student Life.

2. ACADEMIC HONESTY

The University expects all students to engage in all academic pursuits in a manner that is above reproach. Students are expected to maintain complete honesty and integrity in the academic experiences both in and out of the classroom. The University may initiate disciplinary proceedings against a student accused of any form of academic dishonesty, including but not limited to, cheating, plagiarism, collusion, falsification of research data, or the abuse of resource materials on an examination or other academic work. Any student accused of dishonesty is subject to academic penalties and may be referred to the Dean of Student's office for additional disciplinary action.

2.01 "Cheating" includes the following and similar actions:

- (1) Copying from another student's test paper, laboratory report, other report, or computer files, data listings, and/or programs;
- (2) Using, during a test, materials not authorized by the person giving the test;
- (3) Collaborating, without authorization, with another person during an examination or in preparing academic work;
- (4) Knowingly, and without authorization, using, buying, selling, stealing, transporting, soliciting, copying, or possessing, in whole or in part, the contents of an unadministered test;
- (5) Substituting for another student, permitting any other person, or otherwise assisting any other person to substitute for oneself or for another student in the taking of an examination or test or the preparation of academic work to be submitted for academic credit, placement, or qualification;
- (6) Bribing another person to obtain an unadministered test or information about an unadministered test;

- (7) Purchasing, or otherwise acquiring and submitting as one's own work any research paper or other writing assignment prepared by an individual or firm. This section does not apply to the typing of the rough and/or final versions of an assignment by a professional typist.
- 2.02 "Plagiarism" means the appropriation and the unacknowledged incorporation of another's work or idea into one's own work offered for credit.
- 2.03 "Collusion" means the unauthorized collaboration with another person in preparing work offered for credit.
- 2.04 "Abuse of resource materials" means the mutilation, destruction, concealment, theft or alteration of materials provided to assist students in the mastery of course materials.
- 2.05 "Academic work" means the preparation of an essay, dissertation, thesis, report, problem, assignment, or other project that the student submits as a course requirement or for a grade.
- 2.06 "Falsification of Data" means the representation, claim, or use of research, data, statistics, records, files, results, or information that is falsified, fabricated, fraudulently altered, or otherwise misappropriated or misrepresented.

DISCIPLINARY PROCEDURES FOR ACADEMIC DISHONESTY

- 3.01 Academic Penalties and Student Rights. If a faculty member discovers that a student has engaged in an act of academic dishonesty, he or she may assign an academic penalty to the student. However, the faculty member must provide the student written notice of the offense, the penalty, and the student's due process rights, before the penalty may be imposed. The procedure for student appeals of academic policy violations is as follows:
- a. The student must be advised in writing by the instructor that the allegation and penalty may be appealed to the department chair, then to the dean and, eventually to the Provost and Vice President for Academic Affairs or his or her designated representatives.

- b. The student's written appeal must be initiated within ten (10) working days of the receipt of written notice of the penalty decision or of the decision of the reviewing administrator.
 - c. The student shall have an opportunity to respond to the allegation of academic dishonesty and proposed penalty at each stage of the process.
 - d. The reviewing administrator shall provide an impartial, written decision as to the merits of the appeal within ten (10) working days of receipt.
 - e. The student has ten (10) working days from the date of an administrator's decision to appeal to the next level administrator.
 - f. When a student does not appeal to the next level, on the eleventh (11th) working day, the last academic decision shall become final and the academic penalty, if any, shall be enacted by the last reviewer. When a student appeals to the Provost, any academic penalty imposed is effective and final on the date the Provost's decision is issued.
- 3.02 Student Discipline Process. The Provost may refer the matter to the Vice President for Student Affairs or designee for further disciplinary action. No disciplinary action shall become effective against the student until the student has received procedural due process as provided in the *Student Guidelines*. This disciplinary process is independent of the appeals process for academic dishonesty.

APPROVED: _____ < signed >
Dana G. Hoyt, President

DATED: _____ 5/11/18

CERTIFICATION STATEMENT

This academic policy statement (APS) has been approved by the reviewer(s) listed below and represents SHSU's Division of Academic Affairs' policy from the date of this document until superseded.

Original:	February 13, 1981	Review Cycle:	Five years*
Reviewer(s):	Council of Academic Deans Faculty Senate Academic Affairs Council	Review Date:	Spring 2022

Approved:	<u> < signed > </u>	Date:	<u> 5/15/18 </u>
	Richard Eglsaer Provost and Vice President for Academic Affairs		

*Effective January 2018, Academic Policy Statements will be reviewed on a rotating 5-year schedule. To transition to a distributed review load, some policies may be reviewed prior to the 5-year timeframe, with subsequent reviews transitioning to the 5-year schedule.

Appendix D:
Academic Grievance Procedures for Students
(Academic Policy Statement 900823)

1. PURPOSE

The purpose of this academic policy statement is to provide for the resolution of student academic grievances in a prompt and equitable manner.

2. GENERAL

2.01 Under the provisions of this policy, academic grievances include disputes over:

- a. Course and/or assignment grades, except cases of academic dishonesty (see Academic Policy Statement 810213 for procedures in cases of academic dishonesty)
- b. Unauthorized class absences or tardiness
- c. An instructor's alleged unprofessional conduct related to academic matters
- d. Withdrawal or suspension of privileges related to degree-required clinical rotation, internships, or other clinical service delivery in professional degree programs

2.02 Allegations, questions, or appeals involving academic dishonesty, i.e., cheating, plagiarism, collusion, and/or abuse of resource materials, will be processed in accordance with the procedures set forth in Chapter VI of the Rules and Regulations, Board of Regents, The Texas State University System and addressed under Academic Policy Statement 910312 "Academic Probation, Suspension, and Termination".

2.03 If the dispute is determined to be based upon professional judgment, the aggrieved student is entitled to have, as appropriate and in turn, the department/school chair, College Academic Review Panel, academic dean (or his or her designee), and Provost and Vice President for Academic Affairs (or his or her designee) form an opinion about the dispute and so advise the individual(s) involved. After considering the advice provided by any or all of the administrators participating in hearing the grievance, the individual(s) involved in the dispute shall retain the academic freedom to decline to change the original judgment in the matter. The University retains the obligation and right to adjust the record in accordance with the ultimate grievance decision.

2.04 If, in turn, the department/school chair, College Academic Review Panel, academic dean, or Provost and Vice President for Academic Affairs finds that a

disputed action conflicts with federal or State law, University, college, or departmental policy, or with an instructor's stated class policy, a decision should be rendered in favor of the aggrieved student.

- 2.05 Degree programs in fields requiring governmental licensing to practice the profession may propose internal academic grievance procedures for matters including, but not limited to those listed in 2.01.d above. Once approved and signed by the Provost, the program grievance procedures shall govern 2.01.d matters in that department and be the final authority over academic grievances in that program. Professional degree programs include, but are not limited to Clinical Psychology, Music Therapy, and Nursing.

3. COLLEGE ACADEMIC REVIEW PANEL

- 3.01 There shall be in each college a Standing College Academic Review Panel. The members of the panel shall be chosen by procedures established by the college dean. The panel will consist of three (3) faculty members and two (2) student members. The chair of the panel will be selected from the panel members by the appointees to the panel. A department/school chair or any party to the appeal being heard may not serve on the panel. At least two (2) faculty members and at least one (1) student member must be present for action to be taken.
- 3.02 The Academic Review Panel will be involved in an alleged grievance only after the procedures outlined in paragraph 4.01 through 4.04 below have been exhausted.
- 3.03 The Academic Review Panel will hear only appeals involving disputes over those matters set forth in paragraph 2.01.a through 2.01.d of this policy. Appeals regarding University/college degree requirements or student misconduct will not be addressed by the panel.

4. PROCEDURES

The steps below are to be followed in pursuing an academic grievance (Sec 2.01, a-d):

- 4.01 The student must first appeal to his/her instructor for a resolution of the matter and must do so in writing within ten (10) working days following the posting of the grade, the absence or tardiness, or the alleged conduct.

- 4.02 The instructor must reply in writing to the aggrieved student within ten (10) working days following receipt of the appeal.
- 4.03 If an academic grievance is not satisfactorily resolved with the instructor, or the student does not receive a response from the instructor within ten (10) working days, the student may appeal to the chair of the academic department/school in which the complaint or dispute is centered. The student appealing must provide to the chair a written summary of the pertinent issues of the grievance within ten (10) working days of the date of the response of the instructor or when the response from the instructor was due. In addition, a student may include other faculty or staff members or any other informed individual who might act as advocates in support of his/her appeal.
- 4.04 The chair of the academic department/school in which the complaint or dispute is centered reviews the evidence provided by students and may request/review information provided by the instructor. The chair must respond to the student and the instructor with a written decision within ten (10) working days of receipt of a timely appeal.
- 4.05 If the student remains aggrieved after an appeal to the chair of the department/school, the student may, within ten (10) working days of receipt of the chair's decision, forward the written appeal (plus any other additional material) to the college dean in whose college the dispute arose with a request to have the case heard by the College Academic Review Panel. Within ten (10) working days of receiving the appeal, the Panel will investigate the alleged grievance and present such findings and recommendations as the Panel finds appropriate as soon as possible to the student and to other relevant parties, including the department/school chair and the faculty member(s) against whom the grievance is directed. During the panel hearing(s), all parties involved in the original grievance will be invited to appear before the Panel. The student may request either oral or written statements from advocates. The inclusion of these statements at the hearing(s) will be at the discretion of the Panel. Under no circumstances will advocates be permitted to directly question or cross-examine any person who is involved in the grievance. Legal counsel, if included by the grievant, may act only in an advisory capacity and may not be a directly active participant in the proceedings.
- 4.06 If a student wishes to appeal the Academic Review Panel resolution, he or she may, within ten (10) working days, request in writing that the grievance be forwarded to the college dean in whose college the dispute arose for review and

adjudication. The Review Panel will forward all documents pertaining to the dispute to the dean, and the dean will inform the student, the instructor, and the administrators participating in the appeals process of the decision and the disposition of the matter within ten (10) working days of receipt of the appeal.

- 4.07 If a student wishes to appeal the college dean's decision, he or she may, within ten (10) working days, request in writing that the grievance be forwarded to the Provost and Vice President for Academic Affairs. The Provost will receive all documents pertaining to the dispute from the Academic Review Panel and the dean for review. The Provost, or his/her designee, will inform the student, the instructor, and/or the committee chair, and the administrators participating in the appeals process of the decision and the disposition of the matter within ten (10) working days of receipt of the appeal. The Provost's decision is final.

APPROVED: < signed >
Dana G. Hoyt, President

DATE: 5/11/18

CERTIFICATION STATEMENT

This academic policy statement (APS) has been approved by the reviewer(s) listed below and represents SHSU's Division of Academic Affairs' policy from the date of this document until superseded.

Original:	August 23, 1990	Review Cycle:	Five years*
Reviewer(s):	Council of Academic Deans Faculty Senate Academic Affairs Council	Review Date:	Fall 2019

Approved: < signed > Date: 5/15/18
Richard Eglsaer
Provost and Vice President
for Academic Affairs

*Effective January 2018, Academic Policy Statements will be reviewed on a rotating 5-year schedule. To transition to a distributed review load, some policies may be reviewed prior to the 5-year timeframe, with subsequent reviews transitioning to the 5-year schedule.

**Appendix E:
Practicum Supervisor Evaluation
(Field Supervisor Rating of Candidate Performance)**

School Psychology Practicum Competency Evaluation
Sam Houston State University
(Conducted by Site Supervisor)

School Psychology Practicum Student: _____

Practicum Site: _____

Site Supervisor: _____ Date: _____

Instructions: Please rate the practicum student’s competence level based on actual observation, discussion of cases during supervision, and/or evaluation of reports written by the student as well as on information received from other school staff, families, students, etc.

- The expectation for practicum student performance is a rating of ‘3,’ with final practicum students evidencing readiness to progress to their internship placement.
- Variability in performance across all areas evaluated is also expected, and it is possible/reasonable for practicum students to have one to two areas that would warrant an overall rating of ‘Improvement Needed.’ (Averaged score range of 2.5 – 2.9)
- Most practicum students – *according to Texas standards* – would merit a rating of ‘5’ for *few, if any*, items as all students are to receive supervision during their internship year plus an additional year of practice.

Each item should be rated independently by circling the number that **best exemplifies the student’s current level of competency**. Please use the following key to guide your rating:

Rating	Description
1	Major Area of Concern (Additional, Intensive Supervision Required)
2	Improvement Needed (Additional Supervision Required)
3	Meets Expectations for Training Level (Supervision Needed)
4	Exceeds Expectations for Training Level (Supervision Needed)
5	Professionally Competent (No Supervision Needed)
n/o	Not observed
n/a	Not applicable for this practicum experience

Standard II: Practices that Permeate all Aspects of Service Delivery:
Data-Based Decision-Making and Accountability

1. Knowledgeable of various assessment models and methods.	1	2	3	4	5	n/o	n/a
2. Knowledgeable of various data collection methods useful for identifying strengths and needs.	1	2	3	4	5	n/o	n/a
3. Demonstrates knowledge to support <i>developing effective services and programs</i> .	1	2	3	4	5	n/o	n/a
4. Demonstrates knowledge to support <i>appropriate measurement of progress and outcomes</i> .	1	2	3	4	5	n/o	n/a
5. Demonstrates skill in the use of <i>traditional norm-referenced psychological and educational assessment instruments</i> .	1	2	3	4	5	n/o	n/a
6. Demonstrates skill in the use of <i>curriculum-based norm-referenced and non-norm-referenced assessment measures</i> .	1	2	3	4	5	n/o	n/a
7. Demonstrates skill in applying <i>a variety of data collection strategies</i> .	1	2	3	4	5	n/o	n/a
8. Demonstrates skill in applying <i>technological resources</i> to support data							

collection, data management, and the interpretation and presentation of results.	1	2	3	4	5	n/o	n/a
9. Demonstrates the ability to <i>apply results</i> of data collection in order to design services and programs.	1	2	3	4	5	n/o	n/a
10. Demonstrates the ability to <i>implement services and programs</i> based on the results of assessment data collection efforts.	1	2	3	4	5	n/o	n/a
11. Demonstrates the ability to <i>evaluate the response to services and programs</i> provided as a result of assessment data collection efforts.	1	2	3	4	5	n/o	n/a

Comments:

Standard III: Practices that Permeate all Aspects of Service Delivery:
Consultation and Collaboration

1. Knowledgeable of various consultation, collaboration, and communication methods used to promote effective implementation of services at the <i>individual level</i> .	1	2	3	4	5	n/o	n/a
							n/a

2. Knowledgeable of various consultation, collaboration, and communication methods used to promote effective implementation of services <i>within families</i> .	1	2	3	4	5	n/o	
3. Knowledgeable of various consultation, collaboration, and communication methods used to promote effective implementation of services <i>within groups</i> .	1	2	3	4	5	n/o	n/a
4. Knowledgeable of various consultation, collaboration, and communication methods used to promote effective implementation of services <i>at the system level</i> .	1	2	3	4	5	n/o	n/a
Continued... 5. Demonstrates skill in consulting, collaborating, and communicating with others in order to <i>design</i> services and programs.	1	2	3	4	5	n/o	n/a
6. Demonstrates skill in consulting, collaborating, and communicating with others in order to <i>implement</i> services and programs.	1	2	3	4	5	n/o	n/a
7. Demonstrates skill in consulting, collaborating, and communicating with others in order to <i>evaluate</i> services and programs.	1	2	3	4	5	n/o	n/a

Comments:

Standard IV: Direct and Indirect Services:
Student Level Services

4.1 Interventions and Instructional Support to Develop Academic Skills

1. Knowledgeable of various <i>biological</i> influences on academic skills.	1	2	3	4	5	n/o	n/a
2. Knowledgeable of various <i>cultural</i> influences on academic skills.	1	2	3	4	5	n/o	n/a
3. Knowledgeable of various <i>social</i> influences on academic skills.	1	2	3	4	5	n/o	n/a
4. Knowledgeable of <i>human learning</i> processes.	1	2	3	4	5	n/o	n/a
5. Knowledgeable of <i>cognitive</i> processes.	1	2	3	4	5	n/o	n/a
6. Knowledgeable of <i>developmental</i> processes.	1	2	3	4	5	n/o	n/a
7. The candidate, in collaboration with others, demonstrates skills necessary to <i>use assessment and data-collection methods</i> that support cognitive and academic skills.	1	2	3	4	5	n/o	n/a

8. The candidate, in collaboration with others, demonstrates skills necessary to <i>implement services</i> that support cognitive and academic skills.	1	2	3	4	5	n/o	n/a
9. The candidate, in collaboration with others, demonstrates skills necessary to <i>evaluate services</i> that support cognitive and academic skills.	1	2	3	4	5	n/o	n/a

Comments:

4.2 Interventions and Mental Health Services to Develop Social and Life Skills

1. Knowledgeable of <i>biological</i> influences on behavior and mental health.	1	2	3	4	5	n/o	n/a
2. Knowledgeable of <i>cultural</i> influences on behavior and mental health.	1	2	3	4	5	n/o	n/a
3. Knowledgeable of <i>developmental</i> influences on behavior and mental health.	1	2	3	4	5	n/o	n/a

4. Knowledgeable of <i>social</i> influences on behavior and mental health.	1	2	3	4	5	n/o	n/a
5. Knowledgeable of <i>behavioral</i> and emotional impacts on learning.	1	2	3	4	5	n/o	n/a
6. Knowledgeable of <i>behavioral and emotional</i> impacts on life skills.	1	2	3	4	5	n/o	n/a
7. Knowledgeable of evidence-based strategies to promote social-emotional functioning and mental health.	1	2	3	4	5	n/o	n/a
8. The candidate, in collaboration with others, demonstrates skills necessary to <i>use assessment and data-collection methods</i> that support socialization, learning, and mental health.	1	2	3	4	5	n/o	n/a
9. The candidate, in collaboration with others, demonstrates skills necessary to <i>implement services</i> that support socialization, learning, and mental health.	1	2	3	4	5	n/o	n/a
10. The candidate, in collaboration with others, demonstrates skills necessary to <i>evaluate services</i> that support socialization, learning, and mental health.	1	2	3	4	5	n/o	n/a

Comments:

Standard V: Direct and Indirect Services:
Systems Level Services – Schools

5.1 School-Wide Practices to Promote Learning

1. Knowledgeable of school and systems structure, organization, and theory.	1	2	3	4	5	n/o	n/a
2. Knowledgeable of general and special education.	1	2	3	4	5	n/o	n/a
3. Knowledgeable of technology resources.	1	2	3	4	5	n/o	n/a
4. Knowledgeable of evidence-based school practices that promote <i>academic outcomes</i> .	1	2	3	4	5	n/o	n/a
5. Knowledgeable of evidence-based school practices that <i>promote learning</i> .	1	2	3	4	5	n/o	n/a
6. Knowledgeable of evidence-based school practices that promote <i>social development</i> .	1	2	3	4	5	n/o	n/a
Continued... 7. Knowledgeable of evidence-based school practices that promote <i>mental health</i> .	1	2	3	4	5	n/o	n/a
8. The candidate, in collaboration with others, demonstrates skills							n/a

necessary to <i>develop</i> practices and strategies used to create and/or maintain effective and supportive learning environments for children and others.	1	2	3	4	5	n/o	
9. The candidate, in collaboration with others, demonstrates skills necessary to <i>implement</i> practices and strategies used to create and/or maintain effective and supportive learning environments for children and others.	1	2	3	4	5	n/o	n/a

Comments:

5.2 Preventive and Responsive Services

1. Knowledgeable of principles and research related to resilience and risk factors in <i>learning</i> .	1	2	3	4	5	n/o	n/a
2. Knowledgeable of principles and research related to resilience and risk factors in <i>mental health</i> .	1	2	3	4	5	n/o	n/a
3. Knowledgeable of services in <i>schools</i> used to support multi-tiered prevention.	1	2	3	4	5	n/o	n/a

4. Knowledgeable of services in the <i>community</i> used to support multi-tiered prevention.	1	2	3	4	5	n/o	n/a
5. Knowledgeable of evidence-based strategies for effective crisis response.	1	2	3	4	5	n/o	n/a
6. The candidate, in collaboration with others, demonstrates skills necessary to promote services that enhance <i>learning</i> through protective and adaptive factors.	1	2	3	4	5	n/o	n/a
7. The candidate, in collaboration with others, demonstrates skills necessary to promote services that enhance <i>mental health</i> through protective and adaptive factors.	1	2	3	4	5	n/o	n/a
8. The candidate, in collaboration with others, demonstrates skills necessary to promote services that enhance <i>safety</i> through protective and adaptive factors.	1	2	3	4	5	n/o	n/a
9. The candidate, in collaboration with others, demonstrates skills necessary to promote services that enhance <i>physical well-being</i> through protective and adaptive factors.	1	2	3	4	5	n/o	n/a
10. The candidate, in collaboration with others, demonstrates skills necessary to <i>implement effective crisis preparation</i> .	1	2	3	4	5	n/o	n/a

11. The candidate, in collaboration with others, demonstrates skills necessary to <i>implement effective crisis response.</i>	1	2	3	4	5	n/o	n/a
12. The candidate, in collaboration with others, demonstrates skills necessary to <i>implement effective crisis recovery.</i>	1	2	3	4	5	n/o	n/a

Comments:

Standard VI: Direct and Indirect Services:
Systems Level Services – Family-School Collaboration

1. Knowledgeable of principles and research related to family systems, and the strengths and needs within such systems.	1	2	3	4	5	n/o	n/a
2. Knowledgeable of principles and research related to culture.	1	2	3	4	5	n/o	n/a
3. Knowledgeable of evidence-based strategies to support family influences on children's <i>learning.</i>	1	2	3	4	5	n/o	n/a
4. Knowledgeable of evidence-based strategies to support family influences on children's <i>socialization.</i>	1	2	3	4	5	n/o	n/a

5. Knowledgeable of evidence-based strategies to support family influences on children's <i>mental health</i> .	1	2	3	4	5	n/o	n/a
6. The candidate, in collaboration with others, demonstrates skills necessary to <i>design</i> services that respond to culture and context and <i>facilitate family and school partnership</i> for enhancement of academic and social-behavioral outcomes for children.	1	2	3	4	5	n/o	n/a
7. The candidate, in collaboration with others, demonstrates skills necessary to <i>design</i> services that <i>facilitate interactions with community agencies</i> for enhancement of academic and social-behavioral outcomes for children.	1	2	3	4	5	n/o	n/a
8. The candidate, in collaboration with others, demonstrates skills necessary to <i>implement</i> services that respond to culture and context and <i>facilitate family and school partnership</i> for enhancement of academic and social-behavioral outcomes for children.	1	2	3	4	5	n/o	n/a
9. The candidate, in collaboration with others, demonstrates skills necessary to <i>implement</i> services that <i>facilitate interactions with community agencies</i> for enhancement of academic and social-behavioral outcomes for children.	1	2	3	4	5	n/o	n/a

10. The candidate, in collaboration with others, demonstrates skills necessary to <i>evaluate</i> services that respond to culture and context and <i>facilitate family and school partnership</i> for enhancement of academic and social-behavioral outcomes for children.	1	2	3	4	5	n/o	n/a
11. The candidate, in collaboration with others, demonstrates skills necessary to <i>evaluate</i> services that <i>facilitate interactions with community agencies</i> for enhancement of academic and social-behavioral outcomes for children.	1	2	3	4	5	n/o	n/a

Comments (Family-School Collaboration):

Standard VII: Foundations of School Psychologists' Service Delivery:

Diversity

1. Knowledgeable of individual differences, abilities, disabilities, and other diverse characteristics.	1	2	3	4	5	n/o	n/a
2. Knowledgeable of principles and research related to diversity factors for children, families, and schools.	1	2	3	4	5	n/o	n/a

3. Knowledgeable of principles and research related to diversity factors related to culture, context, and individual and role differences.	1	2	3	4	5	n/o	n/a
4. Knowledgeable of evidence-based strategies to enhance services and address potential influences related to diversity.	1	2	3	4	5	n/o	n/a
5. Demonstrates skills necessary to provide professional services that promote effective functioning for individuals, families, and schools with diverse characteristics, cultures, and backgrounds.	1	2	3	4	5	n/o	n/a
6. Demonstrates skills necessary to provide professional services sensitive to diverse characteristics, cultures, and backgrounds across multiple contexts.	1	2	3	4	5	n/o	n/a
7. Demonstrates recognition that an understanding of and respect for diversity in development and learning is a foundation of all aspects of service delivery.	1	2	3	4	5	n/o	n/a
8. Demonstrates recognition that an understanding of and respect for advocacy for social justice is a foundation of all aspects of service delivery.	1	2	3	4	5	n/o	n/a

Comments:

**Standard VIII: Foundations of School Psychologists' Service Delivery:
Research, Program Evaluation, Legal, Ethical and Professional Practice**

8.1 Research and Program Evaluation

1. Knowledgeable of research design.	1	2	3	4	5	n/o	n/a
2. Knowledgeable of statistics.	1	2	3	4	5	n/o	n/a
3. Knowledgeable of measurement.	1	2	3	4	5	n/o	n/a
4. Knowledgeable of varied data collection and analysis techniques.	1	2	3	4	5	n/o	n/a
5. Knowledgeable of program evaluation methods sufficient for understanding research and interpreting data in applied settings.	1	2	3	4	5	n/o	n/a
6. Demonstrates skills necessary to evaluate and apply research as a foundation for service delivery.	1	2	3	4	5	n/o	n/a

7. The candidate, in collaboration with others, demonstrates skills necessary to use various techniques and technology resources for data collection, measurement, analysis, and program evaluation to support effective practices at the <i>individual</i> level.	1	2	3	4	5	n/o	n/a
8. The candidate, in collaboration with others, demonstrates skills necessary to use various techniques and technology resources for data collection, measurement, analysis, and program evaluation to support effective practices at the <i>group</i> level.	1	2	3	4	5	n/o	n/a
9. The candidate, in collaboration with others, demonstrates skills necessary to use various techniques and technology resources for data collection, measurement, analysis, and program evaluation to support effective practices at the <i>systems</i> level.	1	2	3	4	5	n/o	n/a

Comments:

8.2 Legal, Ethical, and Professional Practice

1. Knowledgeable of the history and foundations of school psychology.	1	2	3	4	5	n/o	n/a
2. Knowledgeable of multiple service models and methods.	1	2	3	4	5	n/o	n/a
3. Knowledgeable of <i>ethical</i> standards.	1	2	3	4	5	n/o	n/a
4. Knowledgeable of <i>legal</i> standards.	1	2	3	4	5	n/o	n/a
5. Knowledgeable of <i>professional</i> standards.	1	2	3	4	5	n/o	n/a
6. Knowledgeable of other factors related to professional identity and effective practice in school psychology.	1	2	3	4	5	n/o	n/a
7. Demonstrates skills necessary to provide services consistent with ethical, legal, and professional standards.	1	2	3	4	5	n/o	n/a
8. Demonstrates skills necessary to engage in responsive ethical and professional decision-making.	1	2	3	4	5	n/o	n/a
9. Demonstrates skills necessary to collaborate with other professionals.	1	2	3	4	5	n/o	n/a

10. Demonstrates the ability to show respect for human diversity and social justice.	1	2	3	4	5	n/o	n/a
11. Demonstrates professionally relevant communication skills.	1	2	3	4	5	n/o	n/a
12. Demonstrates effective interpersonal skills.	1	2	3	4	5	n/o	n/a
13. Demonstrates responsibility.	1	2	3	4	5	n/o	n/a
14. Demonstrates adaptability.	1	2	3	4	5	n/o	n/a
15. Demonstrates initiative.	1	2	3	4	5	n/o	n/a
16. Demonstrates dependability.	1	2	3	4	5	n/o	n/a
17. Demonstrates professionally relevant technology skills.	1	2	3	4	5	n/o	n/a

Comments:

Overall Strengths of student:

Overall Weaknesses of student:

Suggestions to university training program:

Site Supervisor

Date

Practicum student*

Date

University Supervisor

Date

****The student's signature only indicates that this evaluation was discussed with him or her; it does not indicate that the student agrees with the report in part or in whole.***

Appendix F:
Internship Supervisor Evaluation
(Field Supervisor Rating of Intern Performance)

School Psychology Internship Competency Evaluation Sam Houston State University

(Conducted by Site Supervisor)

School Psychology Intern: _____

Internship Site: _____

Site Supervisor: _____

Date: _____ Circle One: Mid-term Evaluation Final Evaluation

Instructions: Please rate the intern’s competence level based on actual observation, discussion of cases during supervision, and/or evaluation of reports written by the SSP intern as well as on information received from other school staff, families, students, etc.

- The expectation for intern performance in any given area is a ‘3.’
- Variability in performance across all areas evaluated is also expected.
- Most interns – *according to Texas standards* – would *not* merit a rating of ‘5’ for the majority of items, as all newly licensed LSSPs continue to receive supervision for at least an additional year of practice.

Each item should be rated independently by circling the number that **best exemplifies the Intern’s current level of competency**. Please use the following key to guide your rating:

Rating	Description
1	Major Area of Concern (Additional, Intensive Supervision Required)
2	Improvement Needed (Additional Supervision Required)
3	Meets Expectations for Training Level (Supervision Needed)
4	Exceeds Expectations for Training Level (Supervision Needed)
5	Professionally Competent (No Supervision Needed)
n/o	Not observed

Standard II: Practices that Permeate all Aspects of Service Delivery:
Data-Based Decision-Making and Accountability

1. Knowledgeable of various assessment models and methods.	1	2	3	4	5	n/o
2. Knowledgeable of various data collection methods useful for identifying strengths and needs.	1	2	3	4	5	n/o
3. Demonstrates knowledge to support <i>developing effective services and programs</i> .	1	2	3	4	5	n/o
4. Demonstrates knowledge to support <i>appropriate measurement of progress and outcomes</i> .	1	2	3	4	5	n/o
5. Demonstrates skill in the use of <i>traditional norm-referenced psychological and educational assessment instruments</i> .	1	2	3	4	5	n/o
6. Demonstrates skill in the use of <i>curriculum-based norm-referenced and non-norm-referenced assessment measures</i> .	1	2	3	4	5	n/o
7. Demonstrates skill in applying <i>a variety of data collection strategies</i> .	1	2	3	4	5	n/o
Continued... 8. Demonstrates skill in applying <i>technological resources</i> to support data collection, data management, and the interpretation and presentation of results.	1	2	3	4	5	n/o

9. Demonstrates the ability to <i>apply results</i> of data collection in order to design services and programs.	1	2	3	4	5	n/o
10. Demonstrates the ability to <i>implement services and programs</i> based on the results of assessment data collection efforts.	1	2	3	4	5	n/o
11. Demonstrates the ability to <i>evaluate the response to services and programs</i> provided as a result of assessment data collection efforts.	1	2	3	4	5	n/o

Comments:

Standard III: Practices that Permeate all Aspects of Service Delivery:
Consultation and Collaboration

1. Knowledgeable of various consultation, collaboration, and communication methods used to promote effective implementation of services at the <i>individual level</i> .	1	2	3	4	5	n/o
2. Knowledgeable of various consultation, collaboration, and communication methods used to promote effective implementation of services <i>within families</i> .						

	1	2	3	4	5	n/o
3. Knowledgeable of various consultation, collaboration, and communication methods used to promote effective implementation of services <i>within groups</i> .	1	2	3	4	5	n/o
4. Knowledgeable of various consultation, collaboration, and communication methods used to promote effective implementation of services <i>at the system level</i> .	1	2	3	4	5	n/o
5. Demonstrates skill in consulting, collaborating, and communicating with others in order to <i>design</i> services and programs.	1	2	3	4	5	n/o
6. Demonstrates skill in consulting, collaborating, and communicating with others in order to <i>implement</i> services and programs.	1	2	3	4	5	n/o
7. Demonstrates skill in consulting, collaborating, and communicating with others in order to <i>evaluate</i> services and programs.	1	2	3	4	5	n/o

Comments:

Standard IV: Direct and Indirect Services:
Student Level Services

4.1 Interventions and Instructional Support to Develop Academic Skills

1. Knowledgeable of various <i>biological</i> influences on academic skills.	1	2	3	4	5	n/o
2. Knowledgeable of various <i>cultural</i> influences on academic skills.	1	2	3	4	5	n/o
3. Knowledgeable of various <i>social</i> influences on academic skills.	1	2	3	4	5	n/o
4. Knowledgeable of <i>human learning</i> processes.	1	2	3	4	5	n/o
5. Knowledgeable of <i>cognitive</i> processes.	1	2	3	4	5	n/o
6. Knowledgeable of <i>developmental</i> processes.	1	2	3	4	5	n/o
7. The candidate, in collaboration with others, demonstrates skills necessary to <i>use assessment and data-collection methods</i> that support cognitive and academic skills.	1	2	3	4	5	n/o
8. The candidate, in collaboration with others, demonstrates skills necessary to <i>implement services</i> that support cognitive and academic skills.	1	2	3	4	5	n/o

9. The candidate, in collaboration with others, demonstrates skills necessary to <i>evaluate services</i> that support cognitive and academic skills.	1	2	3	4	5	n/o

Comments:

4.2 Interventions and Mental Health Services to Develop Social and Life Skills

1. Knowledgeable of <i>biological</i> influences on behavior and mental health.	1	2	3	4	5	n/o
2. Knowledgeable of <i>cultural</i> influences on behavior and mental health.	1	2	3	4	5	n/o
3. Knowledgeable of <i>developmental</i> influences on behavior and mental health.	1	2	3	4	5	n/o
4. Knowledgeable of <i>social</i> influences on behavior and mental health.	1	2	3	4	5	n/o
5. Knowledgeable of <i>behavioral</i> and emotional impacts on learning.	1	2	3	4	5	n/o

6. Knowledgeable of <i>behavioral and emotional</i> impacts on life skills.	1	2	3	4	5	n/o
7. Knowledgeable of evidence-based strategies to promote social-emotional functioning and mental health.	1	2	3	4	5	n/o
8. The candidate, in collaboration with others, demonstrates skills necessary to <i>use assessment and data-collection methods</i> that support socialization, learning, and mental health.	1	2	3	4	5	n/o
9. The candidate, in collaboration with others, demonstrates skills necessary to <i>implement services</i> that support socialization, learning, and mental health.	1	2	3	4	5	n/o
10. The candidate, in collaboration with others, demonstrates skills necessary to <i>evaluate services</i> that support socialization, learning, and mental health.	1	2	3	4	5	n/o

Comments:

Standard V: Direct and Indirect Services:
Systems Level Services – Schools

5.1 School-Wide Practices to Promote Learning

1. Knowledgeable of school and systems structure, organization, and theory.	1	2	3	4	5	n/o
2. Knowledgeable of general and special education.	1	2	3	4	5	n/o
3. Knowledgeable of technology resources.	1	2	3	4	5	n/o
4. Knowledgeable of evidence-based school practices that promote <i>academic outcomes</i> .	1	2	3	4	5	n/o
5. Knowledgeable of evidence-based school practices that <i>promote learning</i> .	1	2	3	4	5	n/o
6. Knowledgeable of evidence-based school practices that promote <i>social development</i> .	1	2	3	4	5	n/o
7. Knowledgeable of evidence-based school practices that promote <i>mental health</i> .	1	2	3	4	5	n/o
8. The candidate, in collaboration with others, demonstrates skills necessary to <i>develop</i> practices and strategies used to create and/or maintain effective and supportive	1	2	3	4	5	n/o

learning environments for children and others.						
9. The candidate, in collaboration with others, demonstrates skills necessary to <i>implement</i> practices and strategies used to create and/or maintain effective and supportive learning environments for children and others.	1	2	3	4	5	n/o

Comments:

5.2 Preventive and Responsive Services

1. Knowledgeable of principles and research related to resilience and risk factors in <i>learning</i> .	1	2	3	4	5	n/o
2. Knowledgeable of principles and research related to resilience and risk factors in <i>mental health</i> .	1	2	3	4	5	n/o
3. Knowledgeable of services in <i>schools</i> used to support multi-tiered prevention.	1	2	3	4	5	n/o
4. Knowledgeable of services in the <i>community</i> used to support multi-tiered prevention.	1	2	3	4	5	n/o
5. Knowledgeable of evidence-based strategies for effective crisis response.	1	2	3	4	5	n/o

6. The candidate, in collaboration with others, demonstrates skills necessary to promote services that enhance <i>learning</i> through protective and adaptive factors.	1	2	3	4	5	n/o
7. The candidate, in collaboration with others, demonstrates skills necessary to promote services that enhance <i>mental health</i> through protective and adaptive factors.	1	2	3	4	5	n/o
8. The candidate, in collaboration with others, demonstrates skills necessary to promote services that enhance <i>safety</i> through protective and adaptive factors.	1	2	3	4	5	n/o
9. The candidate, in collaboration with others, demonstrates skills necessary to promote services that enhance <i>physical well-being</i> through protective and adaptive factors.	1	2	3	4	5	n/o
10. The candidate, in collaboration with others, demonstrates skills necessary to <i>implement effective crisis preparation.</i>	1	2	3	4	5	n/o
11. The candidate, in collaboration with others, demonstrates skills necessary to <i>implement effective crisis response.</i>	1	2	3	4	5	n/o
12. The candidate, in collaboration with others, demonstrates skills necessary to	1	2	3	4	5	n/o

<i>implement effective crisis recovery.</i>						
---	--	--	--	--	--	--

Comments:

Standard VI: Direct and Indirect Services:
Systems Level Services – Family-School Collaboration

1. Knowledgeable of principles and research related to family systems, and the strengths and needs within such systems.	1	2	3	4	5	n/o
2. Knowledgeable of principles and research related to culture.	1	2	3	4	5	n/o
3. Knowledgeable of evidence-based strategies to support family influences on children's <i>learning</i> .	1	2	3	4	5	n/o
4. Knowledgeable of evidence-based strategies to support family influences on children's <i>socialization</i> .	1	2	3	4	5	n/o
5. Knowledgeable of evidence-based strategies to support family influences on children's <i>mental health</i> .	1	2	3	4	5	n/o
6. The candidate, in collaboration with others, demonstrates skills necessary to <i>design</i> services that	1	2	3	4	5	n/o

respond to culture and context and <i>facilitate family and school partnership</i> for enhancement of academic and social-behavioral outcomes for children.						
7. The candidate, in collaboration with others, demonstrates skills necessary to <i>design</i> services that <i>facilitate interactions with community agencies</i> for enhancement of academic and social-behavioral outcomes for children.	1	2	3	4	5	n/o
8. The candidate, in collaboration with others, demonstrates skills necessary to <i>implement</i> services that respond to culture and context and <i>facilitate family and school partnership</i> for enhancement of academic and social-behavioral outcomes for children.	1	2	3	4	5	n/o
9. The candidate, in collaboration with others, demonstrates skills necessary to <i>implement</i> services that <i>facilitate interactions with community agencies</i> for enhancement of academic and social-behavioral outcomes for children.	1	2	3	4	5	n/o
10. The candidate, in collaboration with others, demonstrates skills necessary to <i>evaluate</i> services that respond to culture and context and <i>facilitate family and school partnership</i> for enhancement of academic and	1	2	3	4	5	n/o

social-behavioral outcomes for children.						
11. The candidate, in collaboration with others, demonstrates skills necessary to <i>evaluate</i> services that <i>facilitate interactions with community agencies</i> for enhancement of academic and social-behavioral outcomes for children.	1	2	3	4	5	n/o

Comments (Family-School Collaboration):

Standard VII: Foundations of School Psychologists' Service Delivery:
Diversity

1. Knowledgeable of individual differences, abilities, disabilities, and other diverse characteristics.	1	2	3	4	5	n/o
2. Knowledgeable of principles and research related to diversity factors for children, families, and schools.	1	2	3	4	5	n/o
3. Knowledgeable of principles and research related to diversity factors related to culture, context, and individual and role differences.	1	2	3	4	5	n/o
4. Knowledgeable of evidence-based strategies to enhance services and address potential influences related to diversity.	1	2	3	4	5	n/o

5. Demonstrates skills necessary to provide professional services that promote effective functioning for individuals, families, and schools with diverse characteristics, cultures, and backgrounds.	1	2	3	4	5	n/o
6. Demonstrates skills necessary to provide professional services sensitive to diverse characteristics, cultures, and backgrounds across multiple contexts.	1	2	3	4	5	n/o
7. Demonstrates recognition that an understanding of and respect for diversity in development and learning is a foundation of all aspects of service delivery.	1	2	3	4	5	n/o
8. Demonstrates recognition that an understanding of and respect for advocacy for social justice is a foundation of all aspects of service delivery.	1	2	3	4	5	n/o

Comments:

**Standard VIII: Foundations of School Psychologists’ Service Delivery:
Research, Program Evaluation, Legal, Ethical and Professional Practice**

8.1 Research and Program Evaluation

1. Knowledgeable of research design.	1	2	3	4	5	n/o
2. Knowledgeable of statistics.	1	2	3	4	5	n/o
3. Knowledgeable of measurement.	1	2	3	4	5	n/o
4. Knowledgeable of varied data collection and analysis techniques.	1	2	3	4	5	n/o
5. Knowledgeable of program evaluation methods sufficient for understanding research and interpreting data in applied settings.	1	2	3	4	5	n/o
6. Demonstrates skills necessary to evaluate and apply research as a foundation for service delivery.	1	2	3	4	5	n/o
7. The candidate, in collaboration with others, demonstrates skills necessary to use various techniques and technology resources for data collection, measurement, analysis, and program evaluation to support effective practices at the <i>individual</i> level.	1	2	3	4	5	n/o
8. The candidate, in collaboration with others, demonstrates skills necessary to use various techniques and technology resources for data collection,	1	2	3	4	5	n/o

measurement, analysis, and program evaluation to support effective practices at the <i>group</i> level.						
9. The candidate, in collaboration with others, demonstrates skills necessary to use various techniques and technology resources for data collection, measurement, analysis, and program evaluation to support effective practices at the <i>systems</i> level.	1	2	3	4	5	n/o

Comments:

8.2 Legal, Ethical, and Professional Practice

1. Knowledgeable of the history and foundations of school psychology.	1	2	3	4	5	n/o
2. Knowledgeable of multiple service models and methods.	1	2	3	4	5	n/o
3. Knowledgeable of <i>ethical</i> standards.	1	2	3	4	5	n/o
4. Knowledgeable of <i>legal</i> standards.	1	2	3	4	5	n/o
5. Knowledgeable of <i>professional</i> standards.	1	2	3	4	5	n/o
6. Knowledgeable of other factors related to professional identity and	1	2	3	4	5	n/o

effective practice in school psychology.						
7. Demonstrates skills necessary to provide services consistent with ethical, legal, and professional standards.	1	2	3	4	5	n/o
8. Demonstrates skills necessary to engage in responsive ethical and professional decision-making.	1	2	3	4	5	n/o
9. Demonstrates skills necessary to collaborate with other professionals.	1	2	3	4	5	n/o
10. Demonstrates the ability to show respect for human diversity and social justice.	1	2	3	4	5	n/o
11. Demonstrates professionally relevant communication skills.	1	2	3	4	5	n/o
12. Demonstrates effective interpersonal skills.	1	2	3	4	5	n/o
13. Demonstrates responsibility.	1	2	3	4	5	n/o
14. Demonstrates adaptability.	1	2	3	4	5	n/o
15. Demonstrates initiative.	1	2	3	4	5	n/o
16. Demonstrates dependability.	1	2	3	4	5	n/o
17. Demonstrates professionally relevant technology skills.	1	2	3	4	5	n/o

Comments:

Overall Strengths of intern:

Overall Weaknesses of intern:

Suggestions to university training program:

Site Supervisor

Date

Intern*

Date

University Supervisor

Date

****The intern's signature only indicates that this evaluation was discussed with him or her; it does not indicate that the intern agrees with the report in part or in whole.***