Artifacts 003: Aggregate Report on Artifact Templates

Parameter Title	Parameter Value
Select an Artifact Template	Teacher Candidate Services and Operations Survey (begin use Fall 2013): Student Teaching
Select From Date	09/01/2018
Select To Date	08/31/2019
Select a Program	All

🖪 | export

THE TABLE/TABLES BELOW CONTAIN AGGREGATE DATA FOR THE ARTIFACT TEMPLATE : Teacher Candidate Services and Operations Survey (begin use Fall 2013): Student Teaching

		Walker	Grimes, Robertson,	Burleson, Grimes, Robertson, or	Montgomery or Liberty	Montgomery or Liberty	Freestone,	Freestone, Limestone,		Harris	San Jacinto, or	San Jacinto,		Houston, Leon, or Madison	Brazoria, Ft. Bend, or						Total Response
Please indicate the county in which you resided during the current semester	159	35.89%	17	3.84%	97	21.9%	1	0.23%	108	24.38%	5	1.13%	8	1.81%	16	3.61%	32	7.22%	0	0%	443

By whom was your primary advisement conducted?	# SAM Center Personnel 189	% SAM Person 42.66%	nel	# Progr Instruc 107	ram tor/Profess	or In	Progran structor/ .15%	n /Professor		ned Program	% Assigned Advisor 33.18%	Program	# No Response 0	% N Res 0%	o ponse	Total Response 443
		# SAM Cer	nter % SAM	Center	# On-line	% On-line	# Face	-to-Face	% Face-to-Face	# By Phone	% By Phone	# No Resp	onse %N	o Respo	nse T	otal Response
In what location was your primary advisen	nent conducted?	184	41.53%		81	18.28%	172		38.83%	6	1.35%	0	0%		4	43
Please rate the following on ADVISEME	NT	# low quality	% low quality	# acce quality		% accepta quality	able	# high quality	% high quality	# No Response	% No Response	Total Respons		n Media	n Mode	Standard Deviation
Accuracy of advisement information		15	3.4%	133		30.16%		293	66.44%	2	0.45%	441	2.63	3	3	0.55
Responsiveness of advisement personnel	I	14	3.16%	119		26.86%		310	69.98%	0	0%	443	2.67	3	3	0.53
Quality of information regarding adviseme website	nt posted on	14	3.21%	138		31.65%		284	65.14%	7	1.58%	436	2.62	3	3	0.55

Importance of the above items to my success	6	1.36%	86	19.55%	348	79.09%	3	0.68%	440	2.77	3	3	0.45
OVERALL QUALITY of services related to advisement in your program	12	2.71%	135	30.54%	295	66.74%	1	0.23%	442	2.64	3	3	0.53
Total/Percentage	61	2.77%	611	27.75%	1530	69.48%	13	0.59%	2202				

Please rate the following on ADMISSION TO PROGRAM	# low qualty	% low qualty	# acceptable quality	% acceptable quality	# high quality	% high quality	# No Response	% No Response	Total Response	Mean	Mediar	Mode	Standard Deviation
Accuracy of information related to admission to the program	13	2.93%	116	26.19%	314	70.88%	0	0%	443	2.68	3	3	0.53
Responsiveness of college as related to admission to the program	22	4.98%	119	26.92%	301	68.1%	1	0.23%	442	2.63	3	3	0.58
Quality of information on the website related to admission to the program	17	3.86%	140	31.82%	283	64.32%	3	0.68%	440	2.6	3	3	0.56
Importance of the above items to my success	7	1.59%	80	18.14%	354	80.27%	2	0.45%	441	2.79	3	3	0.44
OVERALL QUALITY of services related to admission to the program	10	2.26%	120	27.09%	313	70.65%	0	0%	443	2.68	3	3	0.51
Total/Percentage	69	3.12%	575	26.03%	1565	70.85%	6	0.27%	2209				

Please rate the following on ASSESSMENT OF YOUR PERFORMANCE BY YOUR PROFESSORS AND SUPERVISORS	# low quality	% low quality	# acceptable quality	% acceptable quality	# high quality	% high quality	# No Response	% No Response	Total Response	Mean	Median	Mode	Standard Deviation
Accuracy of assessments of your academic performance in your education/pedagogy/teaching courses	7	1.58%	82	18.51%	354	79.91%	0	0%	443	2.78	3	3	0.45
Fairness of assessments of your academic performance in your education/pedagogy/teaching courses	5	1.13%	89	20.18%	347	78.68%	2	0.45%	441	2.78	3	3	0.44
Accuracy of assessment of your teaching/planning /pedagogical skills and performances in student teaching or internship	4	0.9%	74	16.74%	364	82.35%	1	0.23%	442	2.81	3	3	0.41
Fairness of assessment of your teaching/planning /pedagogical skills and performances in student teaching or internship	4	0.9%	78	17.65%	360	81.45%	1	0.23%	442	2.81	3	3	0.42
Accuracy of assessment of your attitudes or dispositions	3	0.68%	74	16.86%	362	82.46%	4	0.9%	439	2.82	3	3	0.4
Degree to which education professors/instructors (education or support courses) modeled (demonstrated in their practices) the types of assessments that you are expected to employ in the field	19	4.29%	89	20.09%	335	75.62%	0	0%	443	2.71	3	3	0.54
Importance of the above items to my success	2	0.45%	60	13.57%	380	85.97%	1	0.23%	442	2.86	3	3	0.36
OVERALL QUALITY of assessment of your performance by your professors and supervisors	5	1.14%	65	14.77%	370	84.09%	3	0.68%	440	2.83	3	3	0.41
Total/Percentage	49	1.39%	611	17.3%	2872	81.31%	12	0.34%	3532				

Please rate the following on MANAGEMENT AND ORGANIZATION OF YOUR LEARNING EXPERIENCES/INFORMATION NEEDS	# low quality	% low quality	# acceptable quality	% acceptable quality	# high quality	% high quality	# No Response	% No Response	Total Response	Mean	Median	Mode	Standard Deviation
Access to education courses you needed	11	2.48%	109	24.6%	323	72.91%	0	0%	443	2.7	3	3	0.51
Access to library/media materials	14	3.2%	102	23.34%	321	73.46%	6	1.35%	437	2.7	3	3	0.52
Quality of library holdings	12	2.91%	133	32.28%	267	64.81%	31	7%	412	2.62	3	3	0.54
Quality of departmental holdings (e.g., curriculum guides, assessment materials)	16	3.73%	130	30.3%	283	65.97%	14	3.16%	429	2.62	3	3	0.56
Accessibility of materials (library)	10	2.34%	126	29.44%	292	68.22%	15	3.39%	428	2.66	3	3	0.52
Please rate your program⊡s web site	10	2.27%	138	31.36%	292	66.36%	3	0.68%	440	2.64	3	3	0.52

Access to technology (computers, instructional technology equipment and software)	9	2.04%	90	20.36%	343	77.6%	1	0.23%	442	2.76	3	3	0.47
Quality of technology support arrow ease of use - functionality	12	2.73%	117	26.59%	311	70.68%	3	0.68%	440	2.68	3	3	0.52
Importance of the above items to my success	7	1.59%	84	19.09%	349	79.32%	3	0.68%	440	2.78	3	3	0.45
OVERALL QUALITY of learning experiences and information needs	4	0.9%	102	23.02%	337	76.07%	0	0%	443	2.75	3	3	0.45
Total/Percentage	105	2.41%	1131	25.98%	3118	71.61%	76	1.72%	4354				

Please rate the following on MANAGEMENT AND ORGANIZATION OF YOUR FIELD EXPERIENCES	# low quality	% low quality	# acceptable quality	% acceptable quality	# high quality	% high quality	# No Response	% No Response	Total Response	Mean	Median	Mode	Standard Deviation
Types of field experiences available	6	1.36%	72	16.29%	364	82.35%	1	0.23%	442	2.81	3	3	0.43
Number/length of field experiences available to you	8	1.81%	79	17.87%	355	80.32%	1	0.23%	442	2.79	3	3	0.45
Your overall experience with field experiences in terms of preparation	14	3.17%	77	17.46%	350	79.37%	2	0.45%	441	2.76	3	3	0.49
Application of educational technologies in field experience settings	13	2.95%	102	23.18%	325	73.86%	3	0.68%	440	2.71	3	3	0.52
Integration of technologies throughout field experience settings	12	2.72%	107	24.26%	322	73.02%	2	0.45%	441	2.7	3	3	0.51
Importance of the above items to my success	7	1.59%	60	13.61%	374	84.81%	2	0.45%	441	2.83	3	3	0.41
OVERALL QUALITY of the management and organization of your field experiences	7	1.58%	79	17.87%	356	80.54%	1	0.23%	442	2.79	3	3	0.44
Total/Percentage	67	2.17%	576	18.65%	2446	79.18%	12	0.39%	3089				

Please rate the following on DIVERSITY OF INTERACTIONS IN YOUR LEARNING EXPERIENCES	# low quality	% low quality	# acceptable quality	% acceptable quality	# high quality	% high quality	# No Response	% No Response	Total Response	Mean	Median	Mode	Standard Deviation
Quality of interactions you experienced with peers of other races, languages, and ethnic traditions	8	1.81%	75	16.97%	359	81.22%	1	0.23%	442	2.79	3	3	0.45
Quality of interactions you experienced with faculty of other races, languages, and ethnic traditions	9	2.04%	95	21.54%	337	76.42%	2	0.45%	441	2.74	3	3	0.48
Quality of interactions you experienced with EC-12 school-based faculty - or other professionals - of other races, languages, and ethnic traditions	6	1.36%	87	19.68%	349	78.96%	1	0.23%	442	2.78	3	3	0.45
Quality of interactions you experienced with EC-12 students - or students and individuals - of other races, languages, and ethnic traditions	6	1.36%	68	15.38%	368	83.26%	1	0.23%	442	2.82	3	3	0.42
Importance of the above items to my success	5	1.13%	60	13.61%	376	85.26%	2	0.45%	441	2.84	3	3	0.4
OVERALL QUALITY of diversity of interactions in your learning experiences	3	0.68%	79	17.87%	360	81.45%	1	0.23%	442	2.81	3	3	0.41
Total/Percentage	37	1.4%	464	17.51%	2149	81.09%	8	0.3%	2650				

Please rate the following on SHSU SERVICE RELATED TO DT EXAMINATIONS		low uality	% low quality	# acceptable quality	% acceptable quality	# high quality	% high quality	# No Response	% No Response	Total Response	Mean	Median	Mode	Standard Deviation
Accuracy of information received from SHSU related to TExES Ex	aminations 26	6	5.88%	132	29.86%	284	64.25%	1	0.23%	442	2.58	3	3	0.6
Responsiveness of Educator Preparation Services personnel relation of TExES Examinations	ed to facilitation 37	7	8.41%	132	30%	271	61.59%	3	0.68%	440	2.53	3	3	0.65
Quality of information on the SHSU website related to TExES Exa	minations 37	7	8.47%	146	33.41%	254	58.12%	6	1.35%	437	2.5	3	3	0.65
Importance of the above items to my success	7		1.58%	74	16.7%	362	81.72%	0	0%	443	2.8	3	3	0.44
OVERALL QUALITY of services from Educator Preparation Servic TEXES Examinations	ces related to 23	3	5.2%	124	28.05%	295	66.74%	1	0.23%	442	2.62	3	3	0.58

Total/Percentage 130	5.9%	608	27.59%	6 1466	66.5	52% 11	0.	5%	2204				
Please rate the following on SHSU SERVICE RELATED TO OBTAINING TEA/SBEC CERTIFICATION	# low quality	% low quality	# acceptable quality	% acceptable quality	# high quality	% high quality	# No Response	% No Response	Total Response	Mean	Median	Mode	Standard Deviation
Accuracy of information from SHSU related to the state of Texas certification procedures	28	6.36%	125	28.41%	287	65.23%	3	0.68%	440	2.59	3	3	0.61
Responsiveness of college as related to facilitation of certification procedures	38	8.76%	125	28.8%	271	62.44%	9	2.03%	434	2.54	3	3	0.65
Quality of information on the Educator Preparation Services website related to certification procedures and obtaining teacher certification	35	8.01%	127	29.06%	275	62.93%	6	1.35%	437	2.55	3	3	0.64
Importance of the above items to my success	6	1.37%	72	16.4%	361	82.23%	4	0.9%	439	2.81	3	3	0.42
OVERALL QUALITY of services by SHSU related to obtaining certification	22	5.02%	118	26.94%	298	68.04%	5	1.13%	438	2.63	3	3	0.57
Total/Percentage	129	5.9%	567	25.91%	1492	68.19%	27	1.22%	2188				

Please rate the following on CONNECTION OF LEARNING EXPERIENCES TO CONCEPTUAL FRAMEWORK	# low quality	% low quality	# acceptable quality	% acceptable quality	# high quality	% high quality	# No Response	% No Response	Total Response	Mean	Median	Mode	Standard Deviation
Quality of learning experiences that prepared you to create authentic technological environments	12	2.72%	122	27.66%	307	69.61%	2	0.45%	441	2.67	3	3	0.53
Quality of learning experiences that prepared you to be an effective communicator using a variety of media	7	1.59%	94	21.36%	339	77.05%	3	0.68%	440	2.75	3	3	0.47
Quality of learning experiences related to your ability to assess performance and provide feedback that will lead to P-12 student growth both academically and developmentally	6	1.36%	95	21.54%	340	77.1%	2	0.45%	441	2.76	3	3	0.46
Quality of learning experiences related to the use of multiple formal and informal methods of assessing development needs and strengths	5	1.13%	82	18.55%	355	80.32%	1	0.23%	442	2.79	3	3	0.43
Quality of learning experiences that led you to master analysis and use of learner profiles to facilitate P- 12 student success	9	2.05%	100	22.73%	331	75.23%	3	0.68%	440	2.73	3	3	0.49
Importance of the above items to my success	2	0.45%	65	14.74%	374	84.81%	2	0.45%	441	2.84	3	3	0.38
OVERALL QUALITY of the connection of your learning experiences to the conceptual framework	4	0.91%	89	20.23%	347	78.86%	3	0.68%	440	2.78	3	3	0.44
Total/Percentage	45	1.46%	647	20.97%	2393	77.57%	16	0.52%	3085				