

On Spline Wavelets

Dedicated to Professor Charles Chui on the
occasion of his 65th birthday

Jianzhong Wang

Sam Houston State University

Huntsville, Texas

International Conference

Interactions between Wavelets and Splines

The University of Georgia

Athens Georgia, May 16–19, 2005

Outline

A survey of my joint work with Charles on spline wavelets

- Duality principle.
- Construction of spline wavelets.
- Truncate errors of spline wavelet IIR filters.
- Time-frequency localization of spline wavelets.
- Sub-band decomposition of signals.

Wavelet basis

A wavelet basis of $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ is an unconditional basis (also called a Riesz basis) of $L^2(\mathbb{R})$, generated by the dilations and translates of a wavelet function ψ :

$$\{\psi_{j,k}\}_{j,k \in \mathbb{Z}}, \quad \psi_{j,k} = 2^{j/2} \psi(2^j x - k).$$

Multiresolution analysis

[Meyer and Mallat (1988)]

A multiresolution analysis of L^2 is a nest of subspaces of L^2

$$\cdots \subset V_{-1} \subset V_0 \subset V_1 \subset \cdots$$

that satisfies the following conditions.

- $\bigcap_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} V_j = \{0\}$,
- $\overline{\bigcup_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} V_j} = L^2$,
- $f(\cdot) \in V_j$ if and only if $f(2\cdot) \in V_{j+1}$,
- there exists a function $\phi \in V_0$ such that $\{\phi(x - n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is an unconditional basis of V_0 .

Scaling function

An MRA generator satisfies a scaling equation:

$$\phi(x) = 2 \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} h(m) \phi(2x - m), \quad (h(m))_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \in l^2.$$

In frequency Domain,

$$\hat{\phi}(\omega) = H(e^{-i\omega/2}) \hat{\phi}(\omega/2),$$

where $\{h(m)\}$ is the mask of ϕ and $H(z)$ is the symbol of ϕ .

Wavelet subspaces

Wavelet subspace W_j is the supplement of V_j with respect to V_{j+1} :

$$W_j \oplus V_j = V_{j+1}, \quad j \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Then we have the space decomposition

$$L^2(\mathbb{R}) = \bigoplus_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} W_j, \quad W_j \cap W_k = \{0\}, j \neq k,$$
$$g \in W_j \iff g(2\cdot) \in W_{j+1}, \quad j \in \mathbb{Z}$$

or

$$L^2(\mathbb{R}) = V_j \oplus_{k \geq j} W_k.$$

Wavelet function

The wavelet ψ is a function in W_0 such that

- $\{\psi(\cdot - n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ forms a Riesz basis of W_0 .
- Its mask $\{g(m)\}$ is defined by

$$\psi(t) = 2 \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} g(k) \phi(2x - k), \quad g \in l^2.$$

- Symbol $G(z)$ is

$$\hat{\psi}(\omega) = G(e^{-i\omega/2}) \hat{\phi}(\omega/2).$$

Duality principle in time domain

Let ϕ and $\tilde{\phi}$ be the dual scaling functions with masks $\mathbf{h} = (h_k)$ and $\tilde{\mathbf{h}} = (\tilde{h}_k)$ respectively. Let ψ and $\tilde{\psi}$ be their dual wavelets with masks $\mathbf{g} = (g_k)$ and $\tilde{\mathbf{g}} = (\tilde{g}_k)$ respectively. Then

$$\begin{aligned}2 \sum_k h(k) \tilde{h}(k - 2l) &= \delta_{0l}, \\2 \sum_k g(k) \tilde{g}(k - 2l) &= \delta_{0l}, \\ \sum_k h(k) \tilde{g}(k - 2l) &= 0, \\ \sum_k \tilde{h}(k) g(k - 2l) &= 0.\end{aligned}$$

Duality principle in frequency domain

Duality principle in frequency domain derives equations in C^* -algebra.

$$\begin{aligned}\overline{H(z)}\tilde{H}(z) + \overline{H(-z)}\tilde{H}(-z) &= 1, \\ \overline{G(z)}\tilde{G}(z) + \overline{G(-z)}\tilde{G}(-z) &= 1, \\ \overline{\tilde{G}(z)}H(z) + \overline{\tilde{G}(-z)}H(-z) &= 0, \\ \overline{G(z)}\tilde{H}(z) + \overline{G(-z)}\tilde{H}(-z) &= 0.\end{aligned}$$

Solutions of duality equations (I)

The symbol of an orthonormal scaling function satisfies

$$|H(z)|^2 + |H(-z)|^2 = 1.$$

By the duality principle, we have

$$G(z) = z^{2l-1} \overline{H(-z)},$$

which is the symbol of the corresponding orthonormal wavelet. Their dual functions are themselves, i.e.,

$$\tilde{H}(z) = H(z), \quad \tilde{G}(z) = G(z).$$

Solutions of duality equations II

For semi-orthogonal scaling function and wavelet, we have,

$$V_j = \tilde{V}_j \quad W_j = \tilde{W}_j.$$

Let $\Pi(e^{-i\omega}) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} |\hat{\phi}(\omega + 2k\pi)|^2$. Then

$$\tilde{H}(z) = \frac{H(z)\Pi(z)}{\Pi(z^2)},$$

$$G(z) = z^{2l-1} \overline{H(-z)\Pi(-z)} K(z^2),$$

$$\tilde{G}(z) = \frac{z^{2l-1} \overline{H(-z)}}{K(z^2)\Pi(z^2)},$$

where K is in the Wiener class \mathcal{W} and $K(z) \neq 0$ on Γ .

Solutions of duality equations III

For biorthogonal compactly supported scaling function and wavelet, we have that H and \tilde{H} both are finite Laurent polynomials. It yields

$$\overline{H(z)}\tilde{H}(z) + \overline{H(-z)}\tilde{H}(-z) = 1,$$

$$G(z) = z^{2l-1}\overline{\tilde{H}(-z)},$$

$$\tilde{G}(z) = z^{2l-1}\overline{H(-z)}.$$

Cardinal B-splines

[Schonberg, deBoor, 1973]

The m th order B-spline with integer knots is defined by

$$N_m = N_{m-1} * N_1, \quad N_1 = \chi_{[0,1)}.$$

The Fourier transform of N_m is

$$\hat{N}_m(\omega) = \left(\frac{1 - e^{-i\omega}}{i\omega} \right)^m.$$

Properties of B-splines

The cardinal B-spline of order m satisfies the following:

- $\text{supp } N_m = [0, m]$, and $\{N_{m;k}\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is locally linearly independent.

Properties of B-splines

The cardinal B-spline of order m satisfies the following:

- $\text{supp } N_m = [0, m]$, and $\{N_{m;k}\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is locally linearly independent.
- The m th order splines achieve approximation order m .

Properties of B-splines

The cardinal B-spline of order m satisfies the following:

- $\text{supp } N_m = [0, m]$, and $\{N_{m;k}\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is locally linearly independent.
- The m th order splines achieve approximation order m .
- $N_m(x) > 0$, for all $x \in (0, m)$, and its support is $[0, m]$.

Properties of B-splines

The cardinal B-spline of order m satisfies the following:

- $\text{supp } N_m = [0, m]$, and $\{N_{m;k}\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is locally linearly independent.
- The m th order splines achieve approximation order m .
- $N_m(x) > 0$, for all $x \in (0, m)$, and its support is $[0, m]$.
- Its symbol is $\left(\frac{1+z}{2}\right)^m$.

Euler-Frobenius polynomials

The Euler-Frobenius polynomial (of order $m - 1$)

$$E_{m-1}(z) = \sum_{j=0}^{m-2} N_m(j+1)z^j, \quad m \geq 2.$$

When $m = 2n$, we write

$$\Pi_n(z) = z^{-n+1} E_{2n-1}(z), \quad n \geq 1.$$

Properties of Π_n

The Laurent polynomial $\Pi_n(z)$ has the following properties.

- $\Pi_n(z) > 0, \forall z \in \Gamma$, and all of its $2n - 2$ roots are

$$0 < r_{n,1} < \cdots < r_{n,n-1} < 1 < r_{n,n} < \cdots < r_{n,2n-2},$$

where $r_{n,j}r_{n,2n-1-j} = 1$.

Properties of Π_n

The Laurent polynomial $\Pi_n(z)$ has the following properties.

- $\Pi_n(z) > 0, \forall z \in \Gamma$, and all of its $2n - 2$ roots are $0 < r_{n,1} < \dots < r_{n,n-1} < 1 < r_{n,n} < \dots < r_{n,2n-2}$, where $r_{n,j}r_{n,2n-1-j} = 1$.
- Let $P_n(z) = \left(\frac{1+z}{2}\right)^n \left(\frac{1+z^{-1}}{2}\right)^n$. Then Π_n satisfies the following identity.

$$P_n(z)\Pi_n(z) + P_n(-z)\Pi_n(-z) = \Pi_n(z^2).$$

Orthonormal spline wavelets

[Lemarié (1988), Mallat (1989)] The symbol of orthonormal scaling spline of order n is

$$H_n^\perp(z) = \left(\frac{1+z}{2} \right)^n \frac{\sqrt{\Pi_n(z)}}{\sqrt{\Pi_n(z^2)}}.$$

The symbol of the orthonormal spline-wavelet is

$$G_n^\perp(z) = -z \overline{H_n^\perp(-z)}.$$

Semi-orthogonal spline wavelets

[Chui and Wang (1992)] Let ψ_n be the semi-orthogonal, compactly supported wavelet corresponding to N_n . Then the symbol of ψ_n is

$$G_n(z) = z^{2n-1} \left(\frac{1 - z^{-1}}{2} \right)^n \Pi_n(-z),$$

$\text{supp}(\psi_n) = [0, 2n - 1]$. The symbols of the dual scaling function and wavelet of N_n and ψ_n are

$$\tilde{H}_n(z) = \frac{\left(\frac{1+z}{2}\right)^n \Pi(z)}{\Pi(z^2)}, \quad \tilde{G}_n(z) = \frac{z^{2n-1} \left(\frac{1-z^{-1}}{2}\right)^n}{\Pi(z^2)}.$$

Interpolating spline-wavelets

[Chui and Wang 1991] An interpolating spline of order $2n$, $L_{2n} \in V_{2n,0}$, is defined by $L_{2n}(k) = \delta_{0,k} \forall k \in \mathbb{Z}$. It satisfies

$$\hat{L}_{2n}(\omega) = \frac{e^{in\omega} \hat{N}_{2n}(\omega)}{\Pi_n(e^{-i\omega})}.$$

We call $L_{2n}^{(n)}(2x - 1 - n) \in W_{n,0}$ an interpolating spline-wavelet. It is a semi-orthogonal spline-wavelet with respect to N_n with the symbol

$$G(z) = z \left(\frac{1 - z^{-1}}{2} \right)^n \Pi_n(-z) \frac{(-2)^n}{\Pi_n(z) \Pi_n(-z)}.$$

Truncate error

The FWT algorithm based on spline-wavelets will be involved in filters of infinite impulse responses (IIR). In computation, an IIR filters have to be truncated.

Let $H = \{h_m\}_{m \in \mathbb{Z}}$ be an IIR. Assume we truncate H to $H_M = \{h_k\}_{k=-M}^M$.

The M -truncated error of H is

$$E_M(H) := \|(H - H_M)\|_{l^2}.$$

For any $c \in l^2$, we have

$$\|H * c - H_M * c\|_{l^2} \leq E_M(H) \|c\|_{l^2}.$$

Truncate error for semi-orthogonal spline-wavelet

[Chui and Wang,(1992)]

We obtained the following estimate.

Let \tilde{H}_m be the symbol of the semi-orthogonal spline dual to N_m . Let $\{r_{m,k}\}_{k=1}^{2m-2}$ be the root set of $\Pi_m(z)$. For any $m > 0$, there is an integer M_m such that for all $M \geq M_m$,

$$\|(\tilde{H}_m - \tilde{H}_{m,M})\|_{l^2} \leq \left(2 \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} \frac{r_{m,k}^{m+M}}{\Pi_m(r_{m,k})} \right).$$

Particularly, when $m = 2, 3, 4$, the estimate above is true for all truncations (i.e., $M_m = 0$).

Examples of error estimations

- For linear spline-wavelets,

$$\|(\tilde{H}_2 - \tilde{H}_{2,M})\|_{l^2} \leq 0.73205 \times (0.26795)^M.$$

Examples of error estimations

- For linear spline-wavelets,

$$\|(\tilde{H}_2 - \tilde{H}_{2,M})\|_{l^2} \leq 0.73205 \times (0.26795)^M.$$

- For cubic spline wavelets

$$\|(\tilde{H}_4 - \tilde{H}_{4,M})\|_{l^2} \leq 4.1952 \times (0.53528)^M.$$

Asymptotic characteristic of time-frequency localization

- The measure of time-frequency localization.

Asymptotic characteristic of time-frequency localization

- The measure of time-frequency localization.
- Uncertainty principle.

Asymptotic characteristic of time-frequency localization

- The measure of time-frequency localization.
- Uncertainty principle.
- Semi-orthogonal spline wavelets have asymptotically optimal time-frequency localization.

Asymptotic characteristic of time-frequency localization

- The measure of time-frequency localization.
- Uncertainty principle.
- Semi-orthogonal spline wavelets have asymptotically optimal time-frequency localization.
- Orthonormal wavelets asymptotically lose time-frequency localization.

Centers of time-frequency window

Let $\phi \in L^2 \cap L^1$ (called a window function).

- The time center of ϕ is

$$t_\phi = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\int_{-N}^N x |\phi(x)|^2 dx}{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\phi(x)|^2 dx}.$$

Centers of time-frequency window

Let $\phi \in L^2 \cap L^1$ (called a window function).

- The time center of ϕ is

$$t_\phi = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-N}^N x |\phi(x)|^2 dx / \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\phi(x)|^2 dx.$$

- The frequency center of ϕ is

$$w_\phi = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-N}^N \omega |\hat{\phi}(\omega)|^2 d\omega / \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\hat{\phi}(\omega)|^2 d\omega.$$

Radii of time/frequency localization

- The time localization radius.

$$\Delta_{\phi} = \frac{\left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (x - t_{\phi})^2 |\phi(x)|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\phi(x)|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}}.$$

Radii of time/frequency localization

- The time localization radius.

$$\Delta_{\phi} = \frac{\left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (x - t_{\phi})^2 |\phi(x)|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\phi(x)|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}}.$$

- The frequency localization radius.

$$\Delta_{\hat{\phi}} = \frac{\left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (\omega - \omega_{\phi})^2 |\hat{\phi}(\omega)|^2 d\omega \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\hat{\phi}(\omega)|^2 d\omega \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}}.$$

Size of time-frequency window of ϕ

The size of time-frequency window of ϕ is measured by

$$M(\phi) = \Delta_{\phi} \Delta_{\hat{\phi}}.$$

Uncertain Principle

If ϕ is a window function, then

$$M(\phi) \geq \frac{1}{2},$$

and the equality holds if and only if

$$\phi = kG_{\sigma}(x - \mu), \quad k \neq 0, \quad \sigma > 0, \quad \mu \in \mathbb{R},$$

where

$$G_{\sigma}(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{(\sigma x)^2}{2}}$$

is a Gaussian function.

Frequency window of a wavelet

[Charles and Wang (1994)] We modify frequency window for wavelets because a wavelet is a bandpass function. Hence, it usually has two peaks in the frequency domain.

The positive frequency center.

$$\omega_{\hat{\psi}}^+ = \frac{\int_0^{\infty} \omega |\hat{\psi}(\omega)|^2 d\omega}{\int_0^{\infty} |\hat{\psi}(\omega)|^2 d\omega}.$$

Frequency window of a wavelet

The positive frequency localization radius.

$$\Delta_{\hat{\psi}}^+ = \frac{\left(\int_0^\infty (\omega - \omega_{\hat{\psi}}^+)^2 |\hat{\psi}(\omega)|^2 d\omega \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\left(\int_0^\infty |\hat{\psi}(\omega)|^2 d\omega \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}}.$$

Size of time-frequency window of ψ

When ψ is a real-valued function, we can ignore its negative frequency since it can be derived from the positive one. Hence, we measure its time-frequency localization by

$$M^+(\psi) := \Delta_\psi \Delta_{\widehat{\psi}}^+.$$

Uncertain principle for wavelets

[Chui and Wang (1994)]

If $\psi \in L^2 \cap L^1$ is a real-valued symmetric or anti-symmetric function that satisfies

$t\psi(t) \in L^2$, $\psi' \in L^2$, and $\widehat{\psi}(0) = 0$, then

$$M^+(\psi) > \frac{1}{2}.$$

Furthermore, the lower bound $\frac{1}{2}$ cannot be improved and cannot be attained.

Asymptotic time-frequency localization of orthogonal wavelets (1)

[Chui and Wang (1996)]

Let ϕ_n be the orthonormal scaling function with the symbol

$$P(z) = \left(\frac{1+z}{2} \right)^n S_n(z),$$

where

$$|S_n(e^{-i\omega})| \leq C2^n \left| \sin^n \left(\frac{\omega}{2} \right) \right|, \quad \frac{\pi}{2} \leq |\omega| \leq \pi.$$

Let ψ_n be the corresponding orthonormal wavelet.

Asymptotic time-frequency localization of orthogonal wavelets (2)

Then

- $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \| |\hat{\phi}_n| - \chi_{[-\pi, \pi]} \|_{L^2} = 0$, and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Delta_{\hat{\phi}_n} = \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{3}}, \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Delta_{\phi_n} = \infty.$$

Asymptotic time-frequency localization of orthogonal wavelets (2)

Then

- $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \left| \hat{\phi}_n \right| - \chi_{[-\pi, \pi]} \right\|_{L^2} = 0$, and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Delta_{\hat{\phi}_n} = \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{3}}, \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Delta_{\phi_n} = \infty.$$

- $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \left| \hat{\psi}_n \right| - \chi_{[-2\pi, -\pi] \cup [\pi, 2\pi]} \right\|_{L^2} = 0$ and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Delta_{\hat{\psi}_n}^+ = \frac{\pi}{2\sqrt{3}}, \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Delta_{\psi_n} = \infty.$$

Asymptotic time-frequency localization of orthogonal wavelets (2)

Then

- $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\| |\hat{\phi}_n| - \chi_{[-\pi, \pi]} \right\|_{L^2} = 0$, and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Delta_{\hat{\phi}_n} = \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{3}}, \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Delta_{\phi_n} = \infty.$$

- $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\| |\hat{\psi}_n| - \chi_{[-2\pi, -\pi] \cup [\pi, 2\pi]} \right\|_{L^2} = 0$ and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Delta_{\hat{\psi}_n}^+ = \frac{\pi}{2\sqrt{3}}, \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Delta_{\psi_n} = \infty.$$

- $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(\phi_n) = \infty, \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M^+(\psi_n) = \infty.$

Time-frequency localization of semi-orthogonal spline-wavelets (1)

[Chui and Wang (1997)]

For each n , let $\mathbf{a}^n = \{a_j^n\}_{j=0}^{k_n}$ be a finite symmetric Pólya frequency sequence with

$$a_n(z) = \left(\frac{1+z}{2} \right)^n p_n(z)$$

and

$$\deg p_n \leq Cn.$$

Let ϕ_n be the scaling function with the mask \mathbf{a}^n and σ_n be its standard variation.

Time-frequency localization of semi-orthogonal spline-wavelets(2)

- For $1 \leq p < \infty$,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \widehat{\phi}_n \left(\frac{\omega}{\sigma_n} \right) e^{i \frac{k_n \omega}{2\sigma_n}} - e^{-\frac{\omega^2}{2}} \right\|_{L^p} = 0.$$

Time-frequency localization of semi-orthogonal spline-wavelets(2)

- For $1 \leq p < \infty$,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \widehat{\phi}_n \left(\frac{\omega}{\sigma_n} \right) e^{i \frac{k_n \omega}{2 \sigma_n}} - e^{-\frac{\omega^2}{2}} \right\|_{L^p} = 0.$$

- For $2 \leq q < \infty$,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \sigma_n \phi_n \left(\sigma_n x + \frac{k_n}{2} \right) - \frac{1}{2\pi} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}} \right\|_{L^q} = 0.$$

Time-frequency localization of semi-orthogonal spline-wavelets(2)

- For $1 \leq p < \infty$,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \widehat{\phi}_n \left(\frac{\omega}{\sigma_n} \right) e^{i \frac{k_n \omega}{2 \sigma_n}} - e^{-\frac{\omega^2}{2}} \right\|_{L^p} = 0.$$

- For $2 \leq q < \infty$,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \sigma_n \phi_n \left(\sigma_n x + \frac{k_n}{2} \right) - \frac{1}{2\pi} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}} \right\|_{L^q} = 0.$$

- $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\sigma_n} \Delta \phi_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sigma_n \Delta \widehat{\phi}_n = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}.$

Time-frequency localization of semi-orthogonal spline-wavelets(2)

- For $1 \leq p < \infty$,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \widehat{\phi}_n \left(\frac{\omega}{\sigma_n} \right) e^{i \frac{k_n \omega}{2 \sigma_n}} - e^{-\frac{\omega^2}{2}} \right\|_{L^p} = 0.$$

- For $2 \leq q < \infty$,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \sigma_n \phi_n \left(\sigma_n x + \frac{k_n}{2} \right) - \frac{1}{2\pi} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}} \right\|_{L^q} = 0.$$

- $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\sigma_n} \Delta \phi_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sigma_n \Delta \widehat{\phi}_n = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}.$

- $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(\phi_n) = \frac{1}{2}.$

Optimal time-frequency localization of semi-orthogonal spline-wavelets(3)

Let ψ_n be the wavelet corresponding to ϕ_n . Then

- For $1 \leq p < \infty$,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\| e^{\frac{i\omega}{2\tau_n}} \widehat{\psi}_n \left(\frac{\omega}{\tau_n} \right) - e^{-\frac{(\omega - \omega_n \tau_n)^2}{2}} \right\|_{L^p_{[0, \infty)}} = 0.$$

Optimal time-frequency localization of semi-orthogonal spline-wavelets(3)

Let ψ_n be the wavelet corresponding to ϕ_n . Then

- For $1 \leq p < \infty$,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\| e^{\frac{i\omega}{2\tau_n}} \widehat{\psi}_n \left(\frac{\omega}{\tau_n} \right) - e^{-\frac{(\omega - \omega_n \tau_n)^2}{2}} \right\|_{L^p_{[0, \infty)}} = 0.$$

- For $2 \leq q < \infty$,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \tau_n \psi_n \left(\tau_n x + \frac{1}{2} \right) - \frac{1}{2\pi} \cos(\tau_n \omega_n x) e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}} \right\|_{L^q} = 0$$

Optimal time-frequency localization of semi-orthogonal spline-wavelets(4)

- For odd n and $2 \leq q < \infty$,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \tau_n \psi_n \left(\tau_n x + \frac{1}{2} \right) - \frac{1}{2\pi} \sin(\tau_n \omega_n x) e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}} \right\|_{L^q} = 0,$$

Optimal time-frequency localization of semi-orthogonal spline-wavelets(4)

- For odd n and $2 \leq q < \infty$,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \tau_n \psi_n \left(\tau_n x + \frac{1}{2} \right) - \frac{1}{2\pi} \sin(\tau_n \omega_n x) e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}} \right\|_{L^q} = 0,$$



$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\tau_n} \Delta_{\psi_n} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \tau_n \Delta_{\widehat{\psi_n}}^+ = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$$

so that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M^+(\psi_n) = \frac{1}{2}.$$

Sub-band code and general sample theory

[Chui & Wang, 2002]

- Sub-band code is the main tool in multiple-channel synchronized transmission.

Sub-band code and general sample theory

[Chui & Wang, 2002]

- Sub-band code is the main tool in multiple-channel synchronized transmission.
- Find the lowest bound of bit rate for sub-band code.

Sub-band code and general sample theory

[Chui & Wang, 2002]

- Sub-band code is the main tool in multiple-channel synchronized transmission.
- Find the lowest bound of bit rate for sub-band code.
- Find the method for sub-band coding that achieves the lowest bit rate.

Shannon Sampling Theorem

A continuous-time bandlimited signal $f(t)$ with

$$\text{supp}^+ \hat{f} \subset [0, 2\pi\sigma] \quad (1)$$

has the infinite series representation

$$f(t) = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} f(kT) \text{sinc}(2\sigma(t - kT)),$$

where $T = \frac{1}{2\sigma}$.

Nyquist frequency

We always assume $2\pi\sigma$ is the least upper bound (lub) of $\text{supp}^+ \hat{f}$. Under the assumption,

- the highest band of f is σ ;
- 2σ is called the Nyquist frequency (or rate) of $f(t)$;

The sampling theorem asserts that, for a lossless sampling, the sampling rate must be larger than the Nyquist rate.

Examples

- To get a satisfied speech signal, the highest band usually is set to 4 kHz . Then the sampling rate used is 8 kHz to avoid distortion. (Computer speech quality).
- The standard highest band of a high-quality music signals is 22.05 kHz . The usually sample rate for music signals is 44.1 kHz .

However, the speech signals **do not cover all bands in** $[0, \sigma]$. There is a room for transmitting signals in a lower bit rate.

Generalized Nyquist rate

We give the following definitions.

- The (generalized) Nyquist rate for a signal is defined as the smallest lossless sample rate for the signal.
- The bit rate is the ratio of half of the generalized Nyquist rate of a signal and the highest frequency of the signal.

The bit rate for a single band-signals

Assume a signal f has a lowest band σ_1 and the highest band σ_2 . Then $\sigma := \sigma_2 - \sigma_1$ is called the bandwidth of $f(t)$. In this case, the Nyquist rate of $f(t)$ is given by

$$\sigma_m = \sigma \frac{1 + \sigma_1/\sigma}{1 + \lfloor \sigma_1/\sigma \rfloor}.$$

- If σ_1/σ is an integer, then $\sigma_m = \sigma$ and the bit rate of $f(t)$ is σ/σ_2 .
- If σ_1/σ is not an integer, then $\sigma_m \neq \sigma$ and the bit rate is a little larger.

Multiple-channel transmission

synchronized

It transmits a signal by dividing it into multiple channels in such a way that the signal in each channel can be coded from the original sampling code.
It can be done by sub-band decomposition.

Sub-band decomposition

Let $f(t)$ be a speech signal.

Decompose $f(t)$ into $f(t) = \sum_{k=1}^n f_k(t)$, where

$\text{supp}^+ \hat{f}_k \subset [2\pi\mu_k, 2\pi\nu_k]$,

$$0 \leq \mu_1 < \nu_1 \leq \mu_2 < \nu_2 \leq \cdots \leq \mu_n < \nu_n,$$

and μ_k and ν_k satisfy the sub-band decomposition conditions:

- $\mu_k/\sigma_k, k = 1, \dots, n$, are integers;
- $\sigma_k/\sigma_\ell, k, \ell = 1, \dots, n$, are rational numbers.

Theoretical Nyquist frequency

Let

$$\sigma_f = \frac{\text{mes}(\text{supp}^+ f)}{\pi}.$$

where the notation “mes” stands for the Lebesgue measure. Then $2\sigma_f$ is called the theoretical Nyquist frequency of a bandlimited signal $f(t)$.

Main results

Let f have the theoretical Nyquist frequency $2\sigma_f$ and the highest band σ . Then

- for any $\lambda_f > \sigma_f$, there is a sub-band decomposition of f , with sub-band coding bit rate no greater than λ_f/σ . and the sub-band coding bit rate of f is at least σ_f/σ ;

Main results

Let f have the theoretical Nyquist frequency $2\sigma_f$ and the highest band σ . Then

- for any $\lambda_f > \sigma_f$, there is a sub-band decomposition of f , with sub-band coding bit rate no greater than λ_f/σ . and the sub-band coding bit rate of f is at least σ_f/σ ;
- for any $\tilde{\sigma} > \sigma_f$, the sub-band coding with the bit rate $\tilde{\sigma}/\sigma$ can be realized by a Shannon wavelet packet.

Shannon wavelet

The Shannon sampling function is $\phi(t) = \text{sinc } t$ and the Shannon wavelet is

$$\psi(t) := 2\text{sinc}(2t) - \text{sinc } t,$$

$$\hat{\psi}(\omega) = \chi_{[-2\pi, -\pi) \cup [\pi, 2\pi)}(\omega)$$

They form an o.n. MRA. Let $p_0(\omega) = \chi_{[-\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2})}$, $p_1(\omega) = p_0(\omega + \pi)$. Then we have

$$\hat{\phi}(\omega) = p_0(\omega/2)\hat{\phi}(\omega/2),$$

$$\hat{\psi}(\omega) = p_1(\omega/2)\hat{\phi}(\omega/2).$$

Shannon wavelet packets

Write $\mu_0(t) = \phi(t)$, $\mu_1(t) = \psi(t)$. Define $\{\mu_l\}_{l=0}^{\infty}$ inductively as follows. For even n , set

$$\begin{cases} \hat{\mu}_{2n}(\omega) = p_0(e^{-i\omega/2})\hat{\mu}_n(\omega/2), \\ \hat{\mu}_{2n+1}(\omega) = p_1(e^{-i\omega/2})\hat{\mu}_n(\omega/2), \end{cases}$$

and for odd n , set

$$\begin{cases} \hat{\mu}_{2n}(\omega) = p_1(e^{-i\omega/2})\hat{\mu}_n(\omega/2), \\ \hat{\mu}_{2n+1}(\omega) = p_0(e^{-i\omega/2})\hat{\mu}_n(\omega/2), \end{cases}$$

Then the collection $\{\mu_l\}_{l=0}^{\infty}$ is the family of Shannon wavelet packets.

Properties

Write $\mu_{l,j,k}(t) = 2^{j/2} \mu_l(2^j t - k)$. Define

$$U_j^l = \text{clos}_{L^2} \text{span} \{2^{j/2} \mu_l(2^j t - k) : k \in \mathbb{Z}\}, \quad l \geq 0.$$

Then each function in U_j^l is a bandpass signal with lowest band $2^{j-1}l$ and highest band $2^{j-1}(l+1)$ and it satisfies the sub-band coding condition (i).

Proof

- **Lemma 1.** Let $\{I_{j,l} : j \in \Lambda, l \in \Gamma\}$ be a dyadic partition of R^+ . Then the family $\{\psi_{j,l,k} : j \in \Lambda, l \in \Gamma, k \in Z\}$ is an orthonormal basis of L^2 and $L^2 = \bigoplus_{j \in \Lambda, l \in \Gamma} U_j^l$.
- **Lemma 2.** If $f \in U_j^n$, then

$$f(t) = \sum_{k \in Z} f(k) \mu_{n,j,k}(t).$$

Recall that the Nyquist frequency of all functions in U_j^n is 2^j . The proof of the result is based on the dyadic decomposition of the signal in each channel.

More discussion

The Shannon wavelet packet introduced above has a primary bandwidth $1/2$ (i.e., both ϕ and ψ have bandwidth $1/2$). Therefore, each function in the subspace U_j^l has the dyadic bandwidth 2^{j-1} . In application we need to construct the Shannon wavelet packets with primary band different from 2^j .

Wavelet packets with other primary band

For a positive number $\nu \notin 2^j$, define

$$\phi^\nu(t) = (2\nu)^{1/2} \phi(2\nu t), \quad \psi^\nu(t) = (2\nu)^{1/2} \psi(2\nu t).$$

Then both $\phi^\nu(t)$ and $\psi^\nu(t)$ have bandwidth ν . Let

$$\phi_{j,k}^\nu(t) = 2^{j/2} \phi^\nu\left(2^j t - \frac{k}{2\nu}\right) = \left(2^{(j+1)/2} \nu^{1/2} \phi(2^{j+1} \nu t - k)\right),$$

$$\psi_{j,k}^\nu(t) = 2^{j/2} \psi^\nu\left(2^j t - \frac{k}{2\nu}\right) = \left(2^{(j+1)/2} \nu^{1/2} \psi(2^{j+1} \nu t - k)\right).$$

Then $\{\psi_{j,k}^\nu : j, k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ creates the Shannon wavelet packet has primary band ν .

Shannon wavelet library

Denote the Shannon wavelet packets with the primary band ν by P^ν . Two positive numbers ν and μ are said to be binarily similar if there exists an integer j such that $\nu = 2^j \mu$. Let $B \subset \mathbb{R}$ be the set of all numbers that are not binarily similar to each other. Then

$$\{\mathcal{P}^\nu : \nu \in B\}$$

constitutes a Shannon wavelet library.

Use a single packet for sub-band decomposition

Decompose a signal into sub-band signals from a single wavelet packet, say P^ν . In this case, the decomposition always satisfies the sub-band coding conditions (i) and (ii). In addition, all sub-band functions of a sub-band coding obtained in this way are synchronic, and therefore, no additional code is needed for synchronized transmission.

Use the library for sub-band decomposition

We may decompose a signal $f(t)$ into sub-band signals using several packets:

$$f(t) = \sum_{l=1}^m \sum_{k=1}^{n_l} f_{lk}(t),$$

where $f_{lk}(t)$ and $f_{l'k'}(t)$ have different primary bands if and only if $l \neq l'$. Thus, the signal f is decomposed by using m different wavelet packets P^{ν_l} , $1 \leq l \leq m$. The decomposition is a sub-band decomposition if all the ratios $\nu_k/\nu_{k'}$, $1 \leq k, k' \leq m$, are rational numbers. In this case, additional code may be needed for synchronized transmission.

END

THANK YOU