


Stanton, Texas: A Graphic Summary



Prepared by:

Gene L. Theodori

**Department of Rural Sociology
Texas Cooperative Extension
Texas A&M University**

November 2002

Acknowledgement

Support for this research was provided by the Cooperative State Research, Education, and Extension Service, United States Department of Agriculture, under Grant No. 00-35401-9258.

Table of Contents

Acknowledgement	ii
Introduction	1
Methodology	2
Section I Individual-Level Characteristics	3
Section II Quality of Life, Community Satisfaction, and Community Attachment.....	16
Section III Social Interaction and Social Bonds	45
Section IV Community Involvement.....	54
Section V Goods and Services	83

Introduction

This document provides a graphic summary of the results obtained from a 2002 general population survey of individuals in Stanton, Texas.

The purpose of this document is to provide insights into the current attitudes and behaviors of the citizens of Stanton, as well as to present information on selected individual-level characteristics. Figures and tables are used to simplify presentation of the data. No conclusions or inferences are made. Community leaders and members of the general population interested in statistical analyses and more detailed information should consult the full report available from the Department of Rural Sociology at Texas A&M University.

Methodology

During the spring of 2002, a survey questionnaire was mailed to a randomly selected sample of 498 households in Stanton, Texas. A cover letter explaining the purpose of the study and an addressed postage-paid return envelope accompanied the questionnaire.

To obtain a representative sample of individuals within households, we stated in the cover letter that the questionnaire was to be completed by the adult in the household who celebrated his or her birthday most recently. We also noted that completion and return of the questionnaire automatically entered the individual's household into a drawing for \$200.00.

Approximately three weeks after the initial mailing, a reminder postcard was mailed to each sampled household that had not yet completed and returned the questionnaire. One week later a second wave of surveys was mailed. Then, approximately three weeks after the second mailing, a third and final wave was mailed.

The survey instrument, organized as a self-completion booklet, contained 38 questions and required approximately 40 minutes to complete. After three mailings, we received completed surveys from 224 individuals for a response rate of 45 percent. One randomly selected individual who completed and returned the questionnaire received a check for \$200.00.

Section I

Individual-Level Characteristics

Figures 1 through 12 summarize selected individual-level traits of the survey respondents. Included here are characteristics such as gender, age, marital status, ethnicity, level of education, employment status, household income, political ideology, attendance at religious meetings, home ownership, and length of residence in the community.

Figure 1

Gender (n = 222)




Figure 2

Age
(n = 221)




Figure 3

Marital status

(n = 222)




Figure 4

Ethnicity

(n = 216)





Figure 5

Level of education

(n = 216)



- Did not complete high school
- Completed high school or equivalent
- Some college or post high school training
- Complete college degree
- Graduate or professional training beyond college degree

Figure 6

Employment status

(n = 216)




Figure 7

2001 household income

(n = 194)




Figure 8

Political ideology

(n = 204)




Figure 9

Attendance at religious meetings (n = 223)




Figure 10

Home ownership

(n = 222)





Figure 11

Length of residence in the community

(n = 219)





Figure 12

Life-long resident of the community (n = 211)



Section II

Quality of Life, Community Satisfaction, and Community Attachment

This section deals with community satisfaction, community attachment, and general quality of life issues in Stanton. Figures 13 through 22 summarize levels of community satisfaction. Community satisfaction was assessed with a single measure of general satisfaction (Figure 13) and also with nine domain-specific items (Figures 14 through 22). Table 1 shows the ranking of the domain-specific measures of community satisfaction from the most satisfying to the least satisfying.

Figures 23 through 36 illustrate levels of community attachment. The fourteen items capture both affective and cognitive dimensions of attachment to the community. Table 2 shows the ranking of eleven measures of community attachment in terms of respondents' level of agreement (Figures 26 through 36).

Figure 37 summarizes respondents' perception of the overall quality of life in Stanton.

Figure 38 shows the percentage of individuals who may move away from Stanton within the next two years.

Figure 13

In general, how satisfied are you with life in this community?

(n = 223)




Figure 14

Satisfaction with Stanton: As a place to raise a family (n = 221)




Figure 15

Satisfaction with: Medical and health care services (n = 222)




Figure 16

Satisfaction with: Local schools

(n = 217)




Figure 17

Satisfaction with: Opportunity to earn an adequate income (n = 217)




Figure 18

Satisfaction with: Senior citizens' programs (n = 213)




Figure 19

Satisfaction with: Youth programs (n = 208)




Figure 20

Satisfaction with: Local shopping facilities (n = 219)




Figure 21

Satisfaction with: Recreation facilities and programs (n = 214)




Figure 22

Satisfaction with:
Overall physical appearance of the
community
(n = 219)




Table 1

A ranking of the nine domain-specific measures of community satisfaction (Figures 14 through 22)

Domain-specific measures of community satisfaction	Mean score*
As a place to raise a family	4.35
Local schools	3.95
Senior citizen's programs	3.68
Overall physical appearance of the community	3.20
Medical and health care services	3.10
Youth programs	2.88
Opportunity to earn an adequate income	2.86
Local shopping facilities	2.03
Recreation facilities and programs	2.00

* Computed using a scale ranging from 1 (completely dissatisfied) to 5 (completely satisfied).

Figure 23

Suppose that for some reason you had to move away from this community. How sorry or pleased would you be to leave?

(n = 223)




Figure 24

How interested are you in knowing what goes on in your community?

(n = 224)




Figure 25

Some people feel their community is a real home to them, while others feel it is just a place where they happen to live. Circle a number between 1 (*It is Just a Place to Live*) and 7 (*It is a Real Home*) that corresponds to how you feel about your community.

(n = 222)




Figure 26

Overall, I am very attached to this community.

(n = 223)




Figure 27

I feel like I belong in this community.
(n = 222)




Figure 28

The friendships and associations I have with other people in this community mean a lot to me.

(n = 223)




Figure 29

If the people in this community were planning something, I'd think of it as something **WE** were doing rather than **THEY** were doing.

(n = 218)




Figure 30

If I needed advice about something, I could go to someone in this community.

(n = 219)




Figure 31

I think I agree with most people in this community about what is important in life.

(n = 220)




Figure 32

Given the opportunity, I would move out of this community.

(n = 222)




Figure 33

I feel loyal to the people in this community.

(n = 217)




Figure 34

I plan to remain a resident of this community for a number of years.

(n = 218)




Figure 35

I like to think of myself as similar to the people who live in this community.

(n = 219)




Figure 36

The future success of this community
is very important to me.

(n = 219)




Table 2

A ranking of the eleven measures of community attachment (Figures 26 through 36)

Measures of community attachment	Mean score*
The friendships and associations I have with other people in this community mean a lot to me.	3.38
The future success of this community is very important to me.	3.29
I plan to remain a resident of this community for a number of years.	3.28
Overall, I am very attached to this community.	3.17
I feel like I belong in this community.	3.14
If I needed advice about something, I could go to someone in this community.	3.07
I like to think of myself as similar to the people who live in this community.	3.06
I feel loyal to the people in this community.	3.06
I think I agree with most people in this community about what is important in life.	3.04
If the people in this community were planning something, I'd think of it something WE were doing rather than THEY were doing.	2.75
Given the opportunity, I would move out of this community.	1.97

* Computed using a scale ranging from 1 (strongly disagree) to 4 (strongly agree).

Figure 37

Overall, how would you rate the quality of life of your community?

(n = 223)





Figure 38

Is it likely that you will be moving to another community within the next two years?
(n = 217)



Section III

Social Interaction and Social Bonds

This section deals with social interactions and social bonds in Stanton. Respondents were asked to indicate how often they interacted with family, close friends, acquaintance, and neighbors (Figures 39 through 42). They were also asked to indicate their level of agreement on four statements concerning family members and/or friends (Figures 43 through 46).

Figure 39

Interaction with:
Family
(i.e., kin living outside household)
(n = 218)




Figure 40

Interaction with:
Close friends

(n = 219)




Figure 41

Interaction with:
Acquaintances

(n = 222)




Figure 42

Interaction with:
Neighbors
(n = 220)




Figure 43

I know enough people to help me
with tasks or errands.

(n = 224)




Figure 44

I know someone who will take care of my house while I am away.

(n = 224)




Figure 45

If I am sick, I have someone to care for me.

(n = 222)





Figure 46

If I need a ride to some place, I have someone to take me.

(n = 222)



Section IV

Community Involvement

This section deals with levels of community involvement. Figures 47 through 54 summarize respondents' levels of involvement in community groups and organizations.

Figures 55 through 66 illustrate individuals' involvement in community affairs and reasons why they do, and conversely do not, get involved.

Figures 67 through 74 show the extent to which respondents have participated in Old Sorehead Trade Days and Old Settlers Reunion during the past two years.

Figure 47

In general, how would you describe your level of involvement in community or local area activities or events?

(n = 223)




Figure 48

On average, about how many hours do you ordinarily spend in a normal month attending or taking part in any kind of organized or planned group activity or event (not associated with work or a job) that involves other members of this community?

(n = 220)




Figure 49

Do you belong to any local community clubs, groups, or organizations of any kind?

(n = 220)




Figure 50

Level of involvement with:
Civic organizations (e.g., Rotary,
Kiwanis, VFW, Elks, etc.)
(n = 217)




Figure 51

Level of involvement with:
Athletic teams or recreational groups
(e.g., softball, soccer, etc.)
(n = 217)




Figure 52

*Level of involvement with:
Educational or school groups (e.g.,
PTA, band boosters, etc.)*
(n = 217)




Figure 53

Level of involvement with:
Church groups (e.g., Sunday school,
choir, church board, etc.)
(n = 218)




Figure 54

Level of involvement with:
County or community government
(e.g., county board, etc.)
(n = 217)




Figure 55

Have you ever participated in any type of community improvement activity in this community?

(n = 220)




Figure 56

Have you ever attended a public meeting on town or school affairs in this community?

(n = 218)




Figure 57

Have you ever worked with others in this community to try to solve community problems?

(n = 218)




Figure 58

Have you ever taken part in forming a new group or a new organization to try to solve community problems?

(n = 221)




Figure 59

I would devote more time to helping solve community problems if someone would tell me how to get involved.

(n = 215)




Figure 60

I would devote more time to helping solve community problems if I thought it would make a difference.

(n = 215)




Figure 61

I would devote more time to helping solve community problems if I could worry less about personal money issues.

(n = 213)




Figure 62

I would devote more time to helping solve community problems if I thought I would be listened to.

(n = 211)




Figure 63

I would devote more time to helping solve community problems if I had more free time.

(n = 213)




Figure 64

How much influence do you feel you have as an individual in community decision-making?

(n = 219)




Figure 65

Solving this community's problems should be left to the local officials.

(n = 215)




Figure 66

I have more important things to do than getting involved in community affairs.

(n = 215)




Figure 67

Old Sorehead Trade Days:
Attended during the past two years
(n = 220)




Figure 68

Old Sorehead Trade Days:
Organized and/or planned activities
during the past two years
(n = 205)




Figure 69

Old Sorehead Trade Days:
Volunteered time during the past two
years
(n = 205)




Figure 70

Old Sorehead Trade Days:
Donated goods and/or services
during the past two years
(n = 209)




Figure 71

Old Settlers Reunion:
Attended during the past two years
(n = 215)




Figure 72

Old Settlers Reunion:
Organized and/or planned activities
during the past two years
(n = 202)




Figure 73

Old Settlers Reunion:
Volunteered time during the past two
years
(n = 203)





Figure 74

Old Settlers Reunion:
Donated goods and/or services
during the past two years
(n = 199)



Section V



Goods and Services

This section deals with the purchase and use of selected goods and services. Respondents were asked to indicate the name of the town or community where they (or members of their household) usually obtain certain types of goods and services. Included among the list of goods and services were the following 17 items:



- groceries
- health care
- dental care
- lumber and/or hardware supplies
- fast food
- banking services
- major appliances and/or home furnishings
- auto repair services
- movie theater
- religious services
- beautician or barber
- nightclub or bar
- prescription drugs
- clothing and/or shoes
- laundry and/or dry cleaning
- lawn and garden supplies
- video rentals (VHS or DVD)

If respondents (or members of their household) do not use a particular type of good or service, they were asked to write “none” and go on to the next item. The results are summarized in Figures 75 through 91. Multiple responses were accepted. Therefore, the data reported in each figure total more than 100%.

NOTE: The “Other” category in Figures 75, 76, 77, 78, 79, 80, 81, 82, 84, 85, 87, 88, and 90 includes all towns or communities other than Stanton, Midland, and Big Spring AND all of the “none” responses (i.e., “don’t go”/“don’t use”). In Figures 83, 86, 89, and 91, the “don’t go” or “don’t use” responses were separated from the other towns/communities. This was done to illustrate the relatively large percentage of individuals (more than 20%) who do not use that particular good or service.



Stanton, Texas: A Graphic Summary







Figure 81: Major appliances and/or home furnishings (n = 221)




Figure 82: Auto repair services (n = 219)




Figure 83: Movie theater (n = 216)




Figure 84: Religious services (n = 220)




Figure 85: Beautician or barber (n = 220)




Figure 86: Nightclub or bar (n = 210)




Figure 87: Prescription drugs (n = 222)




Figure 88: Clothing and/or shoes (n = 221)




Figure 89: Laundry and/or dry cleaning
(n = 220)




Figure 90: Lawn and garden supplies (n = 220)

