PHL 263(01): Contemporary Moral Issues
Spring 2008
Neisser

MWF 10-10:50  SHB 128

Office: ABIV 403  Email: jun001@shsu.edu
Hours: MW 3:15-4:45 & by appointment  Phone: x4-3779

This course is a critical engagement with the public debates over some major moral issues. Topics include abortion, euthanasia, human rights, capital punishment, affirmative action, war, and the environment. The methods of instruction will include lecture, reading difficult primary sources, discussion, and rigorous testing.

Course objectives: (1) Students will display an informed and critical understanding of the arguments regarding the issues covered, and (2) Students will display general skills in moral reasoning which will be useful in other contexts and throughout life.

Text:


There will also be several in-class handouts during the semester.

Grading:

Exams: There will be eight tests in all: seven unit tests and an optional final. Your overall grade will be the average of your best six test scores. So, each test is worth 16.67% of the overall grade.

Make-up policy: There will be one and only one opportunity to make-up exams you miss: during the final exam period for this class. If you have a documented excuse for missing an exam, you may make it up then. If you have no properly documented excuse for missing the test, you may not make-up the test.

Attendance: In accordance with university policy, attendance will be taken at every class. NOTE: If you decide to drop the course, you must inform the registrar. If you simply stop coming to class, you will not be automatically dropped from the role. Unless you drop the course, you will end up receiving an F.

Grade scale: 90-100 = A, 80-89 = B, 70-79 = C, 60-69 = D, 59 or below = F
## Schedule:

1/16: Introduction: On conscientious moral reasoning

1/18: Relativism, moral reasoning, and multicultural ethics  
**Read:** May, et.al. ‘Introduction’ pp.1-3  
Sumner, ‘Cultural relativism’ Handout

1/21: MLK Day. No Class

1/23: Kantian Ethics primer  
**Read:** O’Neill, ‘A simplified account of Kant’s ethics’ pp.45-50

1/25: Utilitarianism primer  
**Read:** Mill, ‘Utilitarianism’ pp.36-44.

1/28: Kantian vs Utilitarian justifications for human rights  
**Read:** ‘United Nations Universal Declaration of Human Rights’ pp.80-84

1/30: **Exam One**

2/1: World hunger and the obligation to help people  
**Read:** Singer, ‘Famine, affluence, and morality’ pp.254-262

2/4: World hunger and the right to be fed  
**Read:** Narveson, ‘Feeding the hungry’ pp.263-270  
Filice, ‘On the obligation to keep informed about distant atrocities’ pp.271-78

2/6: Animals and persons  
**Read:** Kant, ‘Why we have no obligations to animals’ Handout

2/8: Animals and equality  
**Read:** Singer, ‘All animals are equal’ Handout

2/11: **Exam Two**

2/13: Euthanasia, active and passive  
**Read:** Rachels, ‘Active and Passive Euthanasia’ pp.575-579

2/15: Euthanasia and the Doctrine of Double Effect  
**Read:** Steinbock, ‘The intentional termination of life’ pp.580-586

2/18: Euthanasia as an institutionalized medical practice  
**Read:** Potts, ‘Objections to the institutionalization of euthanasia’ pp.587-591

2/20: Comparing end-of-life medical practices in the U.S.A. and Western Europe  
**Read:** Battin, ‘Euthanasia: The way we do it, the way they do it’ pp.592-607
2/22: End-of-life attitudes in Japanese history and culture
   Read: Becker, ‘Buddhist views of suicide and euthanasia’ pp.642-652

2/25: Exam Three

2/27: Abortion, persons, and the genetic criterion
   Read: Noonan, ‘An almost absolute value in history’ pp.510-515

2/29: Abortion, persons, and the core traits criterion
   Read: Warren, ‘On the moral and legal status of abortion’ pp.516-524

3/3: Abortion and a future like ours
   Read: Marquis, ‘Why abortion is immoral’ pp.525-531

3/5: Abortion and killing in self-defense
   Read: English, ‘Abortion and the concept of the person’ Handout

3/7: Abortion and family values in Japan
   Read: LaFleur, ‘Contestation and Consensus’ pp.560-567

3/10-3/14: Spring Break

3/17: Review

3/19: Exam Four

3/21: Good Friday. No Class

3/24: Capital punishment and retributive justice
   Read: Van den Haag, ‘In defense of the death penalty’ Handout

3/26: Capital punishment, justice, and deterrence
   Read: Reiman, ‘Against the death penalty’ Handout

3/28: War: When and how to fight
   Read: Lackey, ‘Just War Theory’ pp.298-306

3/31: Terrorism and Just War Theory
   Read: Cook, ‘Ethical Issues in Counterterrorism Warfare’ Handout

4/2: Humanitarian war
   Read: Meggle, ‘Is this [Kosovo] war good?’ pp.318-327

4/4: Exam Five

4/7: Virtue Ethics and Natural Law Theory primers
   Read: Hursthouse, ‘On Virtue Ethics’ pp. 51-58
4/9: Natural Law Theory Primer  
   Read: Aquinas, ‘Ethics and natural law’ Handout

4/11: Homosexuality and Natural Law  
   Read: Leiser, ‘Is homosexuality unnatural?’ Handout

4/14: Same-sex marriage  
   Read: Bolte, ‘Do wedding dresses come in lavender?’ pp.399-412

4/16: Same-sex marriage  
   Read: Jordan, ‘Is it wrong to discriminate on the basis of homosexuality?’ pp.413-422

4/18: Exam Six

4/21: Cloning and genetic enhancement  
   Read: Kass, ‘Preventing Brave New World’ Handout

4/23: Cloning and genetic enhancement  
   Read: Pence, ‘Will cloning harm people?’ Handout

4/25: Cloning and genetic enhancement  
   Read: Sandel, ‘The case against perfection’ Handout

4/28: The environment and intrinsic value  
   Read: Rolston, ‘Challenges in environmental ethics’ Handout

4/30: Prudential Anthropocentrism  
   Read: Baxter, ‘A “good” environment’ pp.186-190

5/1: The environment and Virtue Ethics  
   Read: Hill, ‘Ideals of human excellence and preserving the natural environment’ Handout

5/5: Exam Seven

5/7: Big Finish

An **Optional Cumulative Final** (exam eight) will be also offered to replace your second-lowest test score (since your lowest will be dropped altogether).

**Policies:**

(1) **ACADEMIC DISHONESTY:** All students are expected to engage in all academic pursuits in a manner that is above reproach. Students are expected to maintain complete honesty and integrity in the academic experiences both in and out of the classroom. Any student found guilty of dishonesty in any phase of academic work will be subject to disciplinary action. The University and its official representatives may initiate disciplinary proceedings against a student accused of any form of academic dishonesty including, but not limited to, cheating on an
examination or other academic work which is to be submitted, plagiarism, collusion, and the abuse of resource materials. See University Academic Policy Statement 810213.

**(2) CLASSROOM RULES OF CONDUCT:** Students are expected to assist in maintaining a classroom environment that is conducive to learning. Students therefore must refrain from behavior in the classroom that intentionally or unintentionally disrupts the learning process and, thus, impedes the mission of the university. **This means:** (1) Do not surf the web during class. (2) Cellular phones, pagers, and music players must be turned off and earphones removed before class begins. (3) Do not make offensive remarks, read the newspaper, talk at inappropriate times, use tobacco, or engage in any other form of distraction. Inappropriate behavior in the classroom will result in a directive to leave class. In accordance with university policy, students who are especially disruptive also may be reported to the Dean of Students for disciplinary action.

**(3) VISITORS IN THE CLASSROOM:** Unannounced visitors to class must present a current, official SHSU identification card to be permitted in the classroom. They must not present a disruption to the class by their attendance. If the visitor is not a registered student, it is at the instructor’s discretion whether or not he/she will be allowed to remain.

**(4) STUDENT ABSENCES ON RELIGIOUS HOLY DAYS:** Section 51.911(b) of the Texas Education Code requires that an institution of higher education excuse a student from attending classes or other required activities, including examinations, for the observance of a religious holy day, including travel for that purpose. Section 51.911(a)(2) defines religious holy days as: "a holy day observed by a religion whose places of worship are exempt from property taxation under Section 11.20, Tax Code...." A student whose absence is excused under this subsection may not be penalized for that absence and shall be allowed to take an examination or complete an assignment from which the student is excused within a reasonable time after the absence. University policy 861001 provides the procedures to be followed by the student and instructor. A student desiring to absent himself/herself from a scheduled class in order to observe a religious holy day(s) shall present to each instructor involved a written statement concerning the religious holy day(s). The instructor will notify the student of a reasonable timeframe in which the missed assignments and/or examinations are to be completed.

**(5) NOTICE TO PERSONS WITH A DISABILITY:** It is the policy of Sam Houston State University that individuals otherwise qualified shall not be excluded, solely by reason of their disability, from participation in any academic program of the university. Further, they shall not be denied the benefits of these programs nor shall they be subjected to discrimination. Students with disabilities that might affect their academic performance are expected to visit with the Office of Services for Students with Disabilities located in the Counseling Center. They should then make arrangements with their individual instructors so that appropriate strategies can be considered and helpful procedures can be developed to ensure that participation and achievement opportunities are not impaired.

SHSU adheres to all applicable federal, state, and local laws, regulations, and guidelines with respect to providing reasonable accommodations for students with disabilities. If the student has a disability that may affect adversely their work in this class, then he or she needs to register with the SHSU Counseling Center and to talk with the instructor about how they can best be helped. All disclosures of disabilities will be kept strictly confidential. NOTE: no accommodation can be made until the student registers with the Counseling Center. There will be no retroactive accommodations.