CJ 696
Legal Aspects of Criminal Justice Management
Fall, 2007 (On-Line)

Professor: Dr. Margo L. Frasier
Office: CJC C223
Telephone: (936) 294-4315
Email: mfrasier@shsu.edu
Class Hours: Arranged
Office Hours:
  Tuesday and Thursday
    8:00 a.m. – 9:15 a.m.
    1:00 p.m.—3:00 p.m.
  Other times available by appointment

OFFICE HOURS:

I make every attempt to be in my office during office hours. For those of you, who are in
the Huntsville area, feel free to stop by. For those of you, who are further away, feel free
to contact me by email or telephone. As with students who are present on campus, it is
possible that I will have a meeting or another obligation that will conflict with office
hours so it is always best to send me an email to arrange a specific time.

BOOK(S):

Required:
Atichison, Will. The Rights of Law Enforcement Officers (5th Ed.), LRIS Publications
2004. (referred to below as “Atichison”)

to below as “Ross”)

COURSE DESCRIPTION AND OBJECTIVE:

Description:

The course is an overview of the legal issues commonly facing managers in criminal
justice agencies. Particular emphasis is placed on public employment law including
hiring, promoting, disciplining and discharging of employees, fair employment practices,
and agency and administrator civil liability. Both state and federal statutory and case are
examined.

Objective:

The course is designed to provide the student with a basic understanding of the legal
aspects of public administration which particular focus on criminal justice management.
Included in the course are discussions on the legal issues surrounding the role of a criminal justice administrator with particular emphasis on personnel practices. Additionally, the legal constraints on administrative agencies that interact with the criminal justice process will be overviewed. The legal liability of criminal justice administrators and their agencies will be covered.

**ATTENDANCE POLICY:** Class attendance requirements will be followed in accordance with Academic Policy Statement 800401. As this class is being taught online and will be designed in such a manner as to provide the maximum flexibility, “attendance” should not be an issue. However, students who do not timely complete assignments and partake in discussions, will be considered “absent”. More than three absences will result in failure of the course.

**ASSIGNMENTS:** Each on-line class meeting the student will be expected to have reviewed the assignment for that meeting and be prepared to discuss them. Additionally, the student will prepare a case brief for any judicial case assigned for that date. Each student will prepare a typed response to the problem that has been assigned. Each response should be no more than five pages, contain at least three references (cases or statutory) and use appropriate bibliographic citation.

While students who are assigned the same problem may choose to work together, each student turn in his/her own individually prepared response.

**GRADING POLICY AND EXAMINATIONS:** Final grade determination will be based on the following scale: 90-100 A; 80-89 B; 70-79 C; 60-69 D; 59 and below F.

The course will be based on two examinations, the outside projects, the case briefs, and contribution to class discussion. Each exam will be worth 25 percent of the grade, the written answers to problems will be worth a total of 20 percent, the case briefs will be worth 20 percent of the grade, and class discussion and participation will be worth 10 percent of the grade. No make-up work will be given. All assignments are due on the date indicated by midnight. Students may monitor their grades on the Blackboard website.

As you will be taking exams on-line, there is obviously no way to prevent you from having access to the assigned materials and notes while you take the examination. However, you are expected to complete the examinations without consulting anyone else.

As always, the professor reserves the right to change the format of the class to maximize the learning experience and to encourage students to participate.

Grades will not be “curved”.

**MAKE-UP EXAMINATIONS:** As this is an on-line course with maximum flexibility, there should be no need for a make-up examination. However, if you find yourself in the
position of needing to take a make-up examination, arrangement must be made prior to the due date.

**HOW COURSE WILL BE CONDUCTED:**

While the course is designed to be taught on-line, I hope that it will be a course in which we (you the student and me the professor) interact frequently. Equally important to our interaction is the interaction between the members of the class.

We will determine as a group a time and day of the week that it convenient for all of us to meet on-line for discussion. I will facilitate the discussion by “lecturing” on certain aspects of the reading. Your written assignments will be sent to me through Blackboard’s Assignment Manager. You are encouraged to communicate with each other by email, Blackboard, and telephone.

**ANNOTATED OUTLINE OF TOPICS TO BE COVERED FOR EACH WEEK:**

**August 24**  
Course Introduction; Legal Research Methods

A. Introduction  
B. Basics of legal research

Assignment of Problem #1

Readings:  
How to Brief a Case (handout)  
Legal Abbreviations (handout)  
Internet Legal Research Guide (handout)  
Outline of Legal Reference Materials (handout)

**August 31**  
Public Information Act

A. Discoverable documents  
B. Exceptions to Act  
C. Discussion of Problem #1

Assignment of Problem #2

Readings:  
Attorney General Opinions JC-0283, ORD 679, ORD 680
September 7 Civil Service

A. Various versions of civil service
B. Appeals of civil services decisions
C. Discussion of Problem #2

Assignment of Problem Three

Readings:
Proctor v. Andrews, 972 S.W.2d 729 (Tex. 1998) (delegation doctrine)
Texas Local Government Code, Chapters 143 and 158

September 14 Nepotism, gifts and conflicts of interest

A. Nepotism
B. Gratuities and gifts
C. Conflicts of interest
D. Discussion of Problem #3

Assignment of Problem #4

Readings
Texas Government Code, Chapter 573
Texas Penal Code, Chapter 36

September 21 Liability of administrators and ability of officers to sue

A. Liability for own actions
B. Liability for actions of others
C. Ability of officers to sue
D. Immunity from suit
E. Discussion of Problem #4

Assignment of Problem #5

Readings
Texas Civil Practice & Remedies Code, Chapter 101
Aitchison, pp. 449-455, 335-361
Ross, pp. 107-136
Telthorster v. Tennell, 92 S.W.3d 457 (Tex. 2002)

September 28 Liability of agencies

A. Liability for actions of policymakers
B. Liability for actions of employees
C. Liability for hiring and training decisions
D. Discussion of Problem #5

Assignment of Problem #6

Readings
Ross, pp. 137-168
Texas Civil Practices & Remedies Code, Chapter 101
Monell v. New York City Dept. of Social Services, 436 U.S. 658 (1978)
City of Oklahoma v. Tuttle, 471 U.S. 808 (1985)
Board of the County Commissioners of Bryan County, Oklahoma v. Brown, et al., 520 U.S. 397 (1997)
Piotrowski v. City of Houston, 237 F.3d 567 (5th Cir. 2001)

October 5 Personnel Practices: Selection and Assignment

A. Religious considerations
B. Racial considerations
C. Discussion of Problem #6

Assignment of Problem #7

Readings
Aitchison, pp. 1-5, 327-334, 389-426
Irvin v. Aubrey, 92 S.W.3d 87 (Ky. App. 2001)
Pontarelli v. Stone, 930 F.2d 104 (1st Cir. 1991)
Petit v. City of Chicago, 352 F.3d 1111 (7th Cir. 2004)
Attorney General Opinion, Letter Opinion 98-095
October 12  Personnel Practices: ADA and Light Duty

A. ADA
B. Reasonable accommodations
C. Light duty
D. Pregnancy Issues
E. Discussion of Problem #7

Assignment of Problem #8

Readings
Aitchison, pp. 411-426
Ross, pp. 343-362
Adams v. Nolan, 962 F.2d 791 (8th Cir. 1992)
Mincey v. City of Bremerton, 38 Fed.Appx. 402 (9th Cir. 2002)
Hoskins v. Oakland County Sheriff’s Department, 227 F.3d 719 (6th Cir. 2000)
Simon v. St. Louis County, Missouri, 735 F.2d 1082 (8th Cir. 1984)
Cripe v. City of San Jose, 261 F.3d 877 (9th Cir. 2001)
Local Government Code, Section 143.073
Texas Constitution, Article III, Section 52e
Attorney General Opinions, Letter Opinion 93-62

October 19  Personnel Practices: Evaluation and Promotion

A. Requirements for promotion
B. Considerations for evaluations
C. Political promotions
D. Discussion of Problem #8

Assignment of Problem #9

Readings
Snoddy v. City of Nacogdoches, 98 Fed.Appx.338 (5th Cir. 2004)
Reynolds v. City of Chicago, 296 F.3d 524 (7th Cir. 2002)
James v. Sheahan, 137 F.3d 1003 (7th Cir. 1998)
Cripe v. City of San Jose, 261 F.3d 877 (9th Cir. 2001)
Attorney General Opinions, JM-1191, GA-0325

October 26  Mid-Term Examination

November 2  Personnel Practices: Employee Discipline

A. Progressive discipline
B. Creative discipline
C. Whistleblowers
D. Discussion of Problem #9

Assignment of Problem #10

Readings
Aitchison, pp. 85-208
Polanco v. City of Austin, 78 F.23d 968 (5th Cir. 1996)
Attorney General’s Opinion, Letter Opinion 96-018, JC-0257

November 9  Personnel Practices: Employee Discharge

A. Constructive discharge
B. Dismissal procedures
C. At-will employees
D. Discussion of Problem #10

Assignment of Problem #11

Readings
Ross, pp. 362-369
City of Carrollton v. Popescu, 806 S.W.2d 268 (Tex.App.-Dallas 1991)
Attorney General’s Opinion, DM-79

November 16 Personnel Practices: Organizing of Employees and Political Activity

A. Collective bargaining and strikes
B. Political activity
C. Discussion of Problem #11

Assignment of Problem #12

Readings
Aitchison, pp. 7-84, 309-325
Ross, pp. 369-377
“Application of the Fair Labor Standards…”, 29 Code of Federal Regulations, Sections 553.01-553.233
Texas Government Code, Sections 617.001-.005
Texas Local Government Code, Sections 150.002, 142.051-.068

November 30 Personnel Practices: Freedom of employees in workplace

A. Searches of employees
B. Freedom of speech
C. Discussion of Problem #12

Assignment of Problem #13

Readings
Aitchison, pp. 223-308
Attorney General’s Opinion, Letter Opinion 98-047

December 7  Fair Labor Standards and Extra Jobs

A. Overtime issues
B. Exempt versus non-exempt
C. Limitations on extra jobs
D. Discussion of Problem #13

Readings
Aitchison, pp. 427-448
*Christensen v. Harris County, Texas*, 529 U.S. 576 (2000)
Attorney General’s Opinion GA-0256

December 12  Final Examination

**ACADEMIC HONESTY:** The Faculty of the College of Criminal Justice expects students to conduct their academic work with integrity and honesty. Acts of academic dishonesty will not be tolerated and can result in the failure of a course and dismissal from the University.

Academic dishonesty includes, but is not limited to, cheating on a test, plagiarism, collusion – the unauthorized collaboration with another person in preparing work offered for credit, the abuse of resource materials, and misrepresentation of credentials or accomplishments as a member of the college.

The University’s policy on academic honesty and appeal procedures can be found in the manual entitled *Student Guidelines*, distributed by Division of Student Services. (Reference Section 5.3 of the SHSU Student Guidelines)

**DISABLED STUDENT POLICY:**
“Students with a disability which affects their academic performance are expected to arrange for a conference with the instructor in order that appropriate strategies can be considered to ensure that participation and achievement opportunities are not impaired.” The physically impaired may contact the Director of the Counseling Center as chair of
the Committee for Continuing Assistance for Disabled Students by telephone (ext. 41720).

**SERVICES FOR DISABLED STUDENTS:**

**STUDENT ABSENCES ON RELIGIOUS HOLY DAYS POLICY:**
Section 51.911 (b) of the Texas Education Code requires that an institution of higher education excuse a student from attending classes or other required activities, including examinations for the observance of a religious holy day, including travel for that purpose. A student whose absence is excused under this subsection may not be penalized for that absence and shall be allowed to take an examination or complete an assignment from which the student is excused within a reasonable time after the absence.

University policy 861001 provides the procedures to be followed by the student and instructor. A student desiring to absent himself/herself from a scheduled class in order to observe (a) religious holy day(s) shall present to each instructor involved a written statement concerning the religious holy day(s). This request must be made within the first fifteen days of the semester or the first seven days of a summer session in which the absence(s) will occur. The instructor will complete a form notifying the student of a reasonable timeframe in which the missed assignments and/or examinations are to be completed.